SUPREME COURT MINUTES WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 16, 2015 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S004605

PEOPLE v. STANLEY (GERALD FRANK)

Supplemental briefing ordered

It has come to the court's attention that on September 30, 2011, the superior court, pursuant to an order of the United States District Court of the Eastern District of California granting partial habeas corpus relief, issued an order concluding a retrospective competency hearing and finding that Appellant Stanley was mentally competent at the time of the penalty phase of his 1983 murder trial. In these circumstances, it appears that a new automatic appeal should be initiated, limited to issues regarding the retrospective competency proceedings and the superior court's determination. (See *People v. Lightsey* (2012) 54 Cal.4th 668; *People v. Lightsey*, S226760.) Appellant's appointed counsel in this court, Frank Hagie, Jr., the Attorney General, and Attorney Joseph Schlesinger are directed to serve and file, within 21 days of this order, simultaneous letter briefs addressing whether an automatic appeal should be initiated. Attorneys Hagie and Schlesinger may file a joint letter brief if they choose to do so. Responses may be served and filed within 14 days after the date of filing of the letter briefs. The court will defer consideration of the "Request for Leave to File a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus in Excess of 14,000 Words," filed on July 2, 2015, by Attorney Schlesinger, seeking to file a petition on appellant's behalf challenging the retrospective competency proceeding, pending the court's determination of whether an automatic appeal should be initiated. Should the court determine that an automatic appeal is mandated, Attorney Schlesinger may then seek leave of the court to withdraw, without prejudice to Appellant Stanley, his request to file the overlength petition.

S220187 C074264 Third Appellate District

IN RE ABBIGAIL A.

Supplemental briefing ordered

The court invites the United States to serve and file an amicus curiae brief in this case on the question whether rules 5.482(c) and 5.484(c)(2) of the California Rules of Court are preempted to the extent those rules purport to require California courts to apply the Indian Child Welfare Act (25 U.S.C. § 1901 et seq.) (ICWA) in child custody proceedings involving a minor who is not an "Indian child" as defined in ICWA. (See 25 U.S.C. § 1903(4); cf. id., §§ 1902 & 1921.) The court requests the brief be filed by October 16, 2015, or any later date specified in a subsequent order granting a request by the United States for an extension of time. The parties may serve and file answer briefs no more than 20 days after the United States' amicus curiae brief is filed.

S227876 E061030/E061704 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 CASTRO (ROBERT J.) v.
INDYMAC INDX
MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST
2005-AR21

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted.

Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *Yvanova v. New Century mortgage Corp.*, S218973 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S228352 H040617 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. MARTIN (LAWRENCE)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Chaney*, S223676, and *People v. Valencia*, S223825 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S228402 H040337 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. LANDRY (ERNEST)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Chaney*, S223676, and *People v. Valencia*, S223825 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S228478 B254802 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. FINNEY (MICHAEL M.)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Chaney*, S223676, and *People v. Valencia*, S223825 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, Liu, Cuéllar, and Kruger, JJ.

S227496 HARRIS (SHELBI) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

S227550 G050924 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. MAMMOTH (MICHAEL)

Petition for review denied

S227551 B264645 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 ROMERO (YASKI RAMIREZ) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S227562 B254039 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. GOMEZ

(CHRISTIAN)

Petition for review denied

S227650 H042121 Sixth Appellate District VIGIL, JR., (WILLIE PAUL)

ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S227652 D064241 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 HARLEY-DAVIDSON, INC. v.

FRANCHISE TAX BOARD

S227676 E059713 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. NORIEGA (ARTURO MENDEZ)

Petition for review denied

S227681 C076343 Third Appellate District BRENTWOOD, CITY OF v.

CAMPBELL (ROBERT R.)/

(COHEN)

Petition for review denied

S227735 B248355/B253264 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 LLANOS (BEATRIZ) v. BANK

OF AMERICA CORPORATION

Petition for review denied

Chin, J., was recused and did not participate.

S227757 B254298 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 MIRA OVERSEAS

CONSULTING LTD. v. MUSE

FAMILY ENTERPRISES,

LTD. (SILVA)

Petition for review denied

S227765 B263604 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 GUMERO (RICARDO) ON

H.C.

Petition for review denied

S227782 RATEPAYERS OF LAKE

ALPINE WATER COMPANY
v. CALIFORNIA PUBLIC
UTILITIES COMPANY

(ASPEN FORREST

INVESTMENT COMPANY)

The petition for writ of review is denied.

S227820 D068348 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 R.W.L. ENTERPRISES v. S.C.

(OLD CASTLE, INC.)

S227830 G051777 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 GARCIA (PORFIRIO) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S227833 B244162 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. BYRD (LOUIS)

Petitions for review denied

S227873 F067401 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. SLAUGHTER

(LAWRENCE)

Petition for review denied

S227882 F068205 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. MORALES (NARCISO TORRES)

Petition for review denied

S227923 A140740 First Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. MANKINI (RICKY

PATRICK)

Petition for review denied

S227951 C076480 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. McLISH (EFFRIM

E.)

Petition for review denied

S227953 C073267 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. SEAY (HAKEEM)

Petition for review denied

S227957 B264544 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 KURWA (BADRUDIN) v. S.C.

(KISLINGER)

Petition for review denied

The request for judicial notice is granted.

S227968 B252493 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. SCHUMANN

(JASON)

S227988 A138401/A143741 First Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. CHANDRA (AARON)

Petition for review denied

S228010 E060044 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. VENTURA (PEDRO SALVADOR)

Petition for review denied

S228013 H040703 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. MORRIS (WAYNE EVAN)

Petition for review denied

S228021 D067449 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. ABAD (RUBEN)

Petition for review denied

S228075 B254406 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. YUN (CHONG)

Petition for review denied

S228086 D065403 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. JACKSON (ERIC)

Petition for review denied

S228088 A139432 First Appellate District, Div. 4 T & A DROLAPAS & SONS

LP v. SAN FRANCISCO
RESIDENTIAL RENT
STABILIZATION &
ARBITRATION BOARD

(BORJAS)

Petition for review denied

S228100 D065585 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. GREENSPAN

(HOWARD MAURICE)

S228113 A140459 First Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. CRUMP (STEVEN R.)

Petition for review denied

The request for abandonment and dismissal of the petition for review is denied. The petition for review is denied.

S228139 C071676 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. NEAVES (LORENZO EDWARDO)

Petition for review denied

S228147 B265146 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 ASH (KEITH) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S228148 F067098 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. GONZALES (SHAWN PATRICK)

Petition for review denied

S228168 C079375 Third Appellate District T. (F.) v. S.C. (SACRAMENTO

COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF

HEALTH & HUMAN

SERVICES)

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S228197 C076643 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. SIMS, JR., (CHARLIE ANTHONY)

Petition for review denied

S228204 B253607 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. SOTO (CARLOS)

Petition for review denied

S228224 D067077 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. KEATING

(SHERIDAN LOUIS)

S228346 B255566 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. GARCIA (MANUEL)

Petition for review denied

S228368 B256148 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. RAOULT (JEREMY EVAN)

Petition for review denied

S228376 A140045 First Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. WALLIS (NATHAN TIMOTHY)
Petition for review denied

S228394 A140044 First Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. WATSON (MICHAEL ANTHONY)

Petition for review denied

S228398 A145542 First Appellate District, Div. 1 WALKER (CEDRIC) ON H.C. Petition for review denied

S228403 A145593 First Appellate District, Div. 3 FREDERICKS (ALAN) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S228405 F067670 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. AGUIRRE (CHRISTOPHER DOMINGO)

Petition for review denied

S228411 G050882 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. JACKSON, JR.,

(ELBERT)

Petition for review denied

S228413 B255903 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. DIXON (JEFFERY LEE)

PEOPLE v. MILWARD

(GEORGE ANTHONY)

S228414 Petition for rev	F071932 Fifth Appellate District view denied	AGUILAR (ROBERT) ON H.C.
S228415 Petition for rev	C079807 Third Appellate District view denied	MILES (DEANDRE D.) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)
S228420 Petition for rev	A145707 First Appellate District, Div. 5 view denied	MALONE (EDWARD T.) ON H.C.
S228421 Petition for rev	H040370 Sixth Appellate District view denied	VERHOEVEN (CARMEN) v. HSU (JAMES)
S228422 Petition for re-	E064040 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 view denied	MARICH (MICHAEL) ON H.C.
S228424 Petition for rev	E063939 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 view denied	GARRETT (JAMES C.) ON H.C.
S228427 Petition for rev	B265412 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 view denied	HUH (JOHN) v. S.C. (WOO)
S228428 Petition for rev	B265579 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 view denied	BORHAN (PAYMAN) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

C076534 Third Appellate District

S228435

S228437 A137520 First Appellate District, Div. 4 PINELA (JUAN CARLOS) v. NEIMAN MARCUS GROUP
Petition for review denied

S228440 C075293 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. GRIFFIN (BRANDON DANIEL)

Petition for review denied

S228443 A141549 First Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. GREEN (CEDRIC TYRONE)

Petition for review denied

S228460 A140969 First Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. BURT (DUSTIN MICHAEL)

Petition for review denied

S228462 B265599 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 BRANDSRUD (NICK) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Petition for review denied

S228467 E061334 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. DAVID (JACOB GEORGE)

Petition for review denied

S228470 A145751 First Appellate District, Div. 3 **JENKINS (JEREMY) ON H.C.** Petition for review denied

S228473

BUTLER (CHRISTOPHER S.)
v. COURT OF APPEAL,
FOURTH APPELLATE
DISTRICT, DIVISION ONE

(PEOPLE)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

S228475 SAMAD (ALAMIN) v. COURT

OF APPEAL, THIRD APPELLATE DISTRICT

(PEOPLE)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

S228476 PHILLIPS (RICHARD LOUIS

ARNOLD) v. S.C. (MADERA COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF

CORRECTIONS & REHABILITATION)

Petition for writ of certiorari & application for stay denied

S228506 B253437 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 IN RE VALENTIN R.

Petition for review denied

S228515 B257539 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. HUDSON (TREVIS

LEE)

Petition for review denied

S228528 B257164 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 PEOPLE v. AMAYA (VICTOR

ENRIQUE)

Petition for review denied

S228545 E064082 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 SKANDS (CAROL) v. S.C.

(LLEWELLYN III)
Petition for review denied

S228677 B265934 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 VAUGHEN (CHRISTINE MICHELLE) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Petition for review denied

S228813 H042572 Sixth Appellate District SINGH (KARAMJIT) v. S.C.

(STANFORD HOSPITAL)

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S229169 MNYANDU (PAMELA) v. S.C.

(LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition & application for stay denied

S222565 ACINELLI, JR., (SAMUEL

ANTHONY) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S224087 GRAY (DAVID E.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S224665 COWAINS (ADRON

CONSAHUNDRI) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S224930 OWENS (STEVEN L.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S225069 PHINNEY (RICHARD) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S226576 NGUYEN (DUNG TRI) ON

H.C

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759.)

S226597 HUDSON, JR., (ARCHER B.)

ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Villa* (2009) 45 Cal.4th 1063, 1070-1071.)

S226806 RAMIREZ (EDY) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S226835 JOSEPH (ALONZO) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S226866 ALMEIDA (CARLOS) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S226874 HALL (TIMOTHY) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S226909 LANNING (MICHAEL) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926.)

S226910 BODDIE (RODERICK) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S226926 ESCALANTE (ANGEL) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S226930 ALVARES (ENRIQUE

ORTEGA) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S226933 MARTINEZ (FRANCISCO

XAVIER) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

J'WEIAL (XAVIER LUMAR) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S226942

PERRYMAN (RICHARD LEE)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S226943

PERRYMAN (RICHARD LEE)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S226949

FIELDS (BRODERICK R.) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

S227026

CAMPBELL (KIRK EDWARD) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S227042

ATENCO (ALEXIS) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S227046

WOOTEN (ISAIAH ISAAC)

ON H.C

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S227092

ROBERSON (DANTE R.) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

DUGGER (PHILIP GRAHAM)

ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S227137

MURPHY (MICHAEL) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S227138

SAGASTA (MARIO) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S227139

JOSEPH (ALONZO) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S227145

BERRY (GEORGE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S227149

WALLACE (JERMAINE DEVONNE) ON H.C.

22,010

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S227151

FULTON (MICHAEL D.) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S227185

SIMMONS, JR., (MELVIN)

ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759; *In re Lindley* (1947) 29 Cal.2d 709, 723.)

S227901

PARKS (STEVEN DEAN) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

JOSEPH (ALONZO) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S228334

BAKER (LECHAUN DWYAN)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S228479

VENEGAS (SALVADOR) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926.)

S227966

BROWN (KEITH A.) ON H.C.

Order: (dispositive)

Petitioner's "Motion to File Petition for Habeas Corpus Under Seal, Sealing the Record Accompanied by Memorandum," filed on July 20, 2015, is denied. (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 2.550, 2.551, and 8.46.) The clerk of this court is directed to return the "Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Accompanied by Memorandum Points and Authorities with Exhibits," and "Exhibit A," lodged conditionally under seal in this court on July 20, 2015, to petitioner, unless petitioner notifies the court in writing within 10 days after the date of this order that the petition and the lodged exhibits are to be filed as part of the public record. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.46(d)(7).)

S227634 B256826 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 IN RE D.R. Publication request denied (case closed)

S227776 B257882/B257892 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 LIRA (CARLOS) v. NICK PACHECO LAW GROUP, APC

Publication request denied (case closed)

S228164 B252343 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 SAUL BRANDMAN FOUNDATION, IN RE

Publication request denied (case closed)

S228356 PEOPLE v. AMEND (MICHAEL JOHN)

Publication request denied (case closed)

S228465 B249505 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 MUNOZ (CORINA) v. CHIPOTLE MEXICAN

GRILL, INC.

Depublication requests denied (case closed)

S227669 B251303 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 **PEOPLE v. GOMEZ (JOVANI)** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to

October 23, 2015.

S227771 B251643 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 HAMBRICK (COREY) v. HEALTHCARE PARTNERS

MEDICAL GROUP, INC.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 26, 2015.

S227887 C074781 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. MESINAS (CARLOS GUADALUPE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 23, 2015.

S228070 B257558 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 HMA, INC. v. GEMINI ALUMINUM CORPORATION

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 23, 2015.

S228087 B252566 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 SHERMAN (MICHAEL) v.

HENNESSY INDUSTRIES,

INC.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 23, 2015.

S228157 B251182 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 FALK (MICHELLE) v.

CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL LOS ANGELES

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 28, 2015.

S228165 B265202 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 HALL (NICK) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 28, 2015.

S228167 B256029 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 WILLIAMS (EDWARD CHARLES) ON H.C.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 28, 2015.

S228176 B251469 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. SOKAU (RICHARD)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 29, 2015.

S228181 H037884 Sixth Appellate District EPIC COMMUNICATIONS,

INC. v. RICHWAVE TECHNOLOGY, INC.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 29, 2015.

S111336 BENAVIDES FIGUEROA (VICENTE) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file traverse to return to order to show cause is extended to November 13, 2015.

PEOPLE v. MELENDEZ (ANGELO MICHAEL)

Extension of time granted

The application of appellant for relief from default for the failure to timely file appellant's request for extension of time is granted.

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Saor E. Stetler's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by November 3, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 3, 2015. After that date, no further extension will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his assisting attorney or entity of this schedule and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

S149039

PEOPLE v. AGUAYO (JOSEPH MORENO)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Pamala Sayasane's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by January 29, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 13, 2015. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 78 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S152269

PEOPLE v. LUTHER (JOHNATHAN ROSS)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Ronald S. Smith's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by June 15, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 20, 2015. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 207 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S172750

PEOPLE v. KENNEDY (JOHN FITZGERALD)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Theodore M. Cropley's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by May 17, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 17, 2015. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 180 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

PEOPLE v. OYLER (RAYMOND LEE)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Michael W. Clough's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by January 1, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 7, 2015. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 27 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S182278

PEOPLE v. NELSON (TANYA JAIME)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to November 9, 2015.

S188067

PEOPLE v. WHITESIDE (GREGORY C.)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to November 16, 2015.

S189296

PEOPLE v. PANIAGUA, JR., (RODRIGO ORTIZ)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to November 20, 2015.

S191346

PEOPLE v. BERNOUDY (KEVIN)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to November 13, 2015.

ZAMBRANO (ENRIQUE) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Assistant Federal Defender Deborah Anne Czuba's representation that the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by November 19, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to November 19, 2015. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S222732

B249546 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

DYNAMEX OPERATIONS WEST, INC. v. S.C. (LEE)

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to October 14, 2015.

S225205

G048039 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

GERARD (JAZMINA) v. ORANGE COAST MEMORIAL MEDICAL CENTER

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to October 19, 2015.

S227632

GRADILLAS (LILLIAN) v. LINCOLN GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY

Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted

The application of Edward F. Ruberry for admission pro hac vice to appear on behalf of appellant is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)

S227632

GRADILLAS (LILLIAN) v. LINCOLN GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY

Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted

The application of Ellen D. Jenkins for admission pro hac vice to appear on behalf of appellant is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)

GRADILLAS (LILLIAN) v. LINCOLN GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY

Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted

The application of David S. Allen for admission pro hac vice to appear on behalf of appellant is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)

S224408

PEOPLE v. RAMIREZ (RICHARD RAYMOND)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Barry L. Morris is hereby appointed to represent appellant Richard Raymond Ramirez for the direct appeal in the above automatic appeal now pending in this court.

S195127

BEAMES (JOHN MICHAEL) ON H.C.

Motion for access to sealed record denied

Respondent's "Motion for Access to Sealed Penal Code Section 987.9 Materials Filed in Case Number S050455 for use in the Pending Federal Habeas Proceeding," filed on August 21, 2015, is denied because the appellate record does not contain any such materials.

S117489

PEOPLE v. WINBUSH (GRAYLAND)

Order filed

The Clerk is directed to file the "Appellant's Supplemental Brief," received on September 10, 2015. Because this is not a supplemental brief authorized by rules 8.630(d) and 8.520(d) of the California Rules of Court, appellant's "Application for Permission to File Supplemental Brief Exceeding 2,800 Words," filed on September 10, 2015, is denied as unnecessary. Respondent is directed to serve and file a supplemental respondent's brief no later than 30 days from the date of this order. Any appellant's reply brief must be served and filed no later than 30 days after the date respondent's supplemental brief is filed.

S161909

PEOPLE v. SHOVE (THEODORE CHURCHILL)

Order filed

Because appellant is represented by counsel, the Clerk is directed to return to appellant the pro se submissions received on September 15, 2014, September 30, 2014, October 10, 2014, October 24, 2014, December 8, 2014, April 1, 2015, and August 21, 2015. (*In re Barnett* (2003) 31 Cal.4th 466.)

ROBINSON, JR., (JAMES) ON H.C.

Referee appointed

Based on the record in this matter and good cause appearing:

The Honorable William C. Ryan, Judge of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, is appointed to serve as a referee in this proceeding. He will take evidence and make findings of fact on the following questions regarding the case of *People v. James Robinson, Jr.* (Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles No. PA007095, Judge Ronald S. Coen):

- 1) During the guilt phase deliberations, did any jurors discuss the possible sentences petitioner faced if he were convicted? If such discussions occurred, which jurors participated in the discussions, and what was the extent and context of any discussion about the possible sentences?
- 2) Assuming for the purposes of answering the following question that Evidence Code section 1150 does not apply, during guilt phase deliberations did any jurors agree to vote to convict petitioner of the charged crimes in exchange for voting against a death verdict during the penalty phase?
- 3) Assuming for the purposes of answering the following question that Evidence Code section 1150 does not apply, was Juror Nancy Gronewold biased against petitioner due to his race?
- 4) If Juror Nancy Gronewold was biased against petitioner due to his race, did she fail to disclose her bias on her juror questionnaire and during voir dire at petitioner's trial? If she did, was the nondisclosure intentional and deliberate?

It is further ordered that the referee prepare and submit to this court a report of the proceedings conducted pursuant to this appointment, of the evidence adduced, and the findings of fact made. Petitioner's "Motion for Discovery," filed in this court on March 13, 2015, is referred to the referee for disposition. All future requests for discovery in this matter must be addressed to the referee.

If petitioner believes additional funds are required for investigator or expert services, he should apply in the first instance to the referee. The referee is instructed to consider the investigation petitioner has already undertaken and to decide - bearing in mind that any further investigation must be pertinent to the questions this court has posed in this order, and considering what is normally paid for comparable investigation pretrial - whether petitioner reasonably needs additional funds to prepare for and conduct the evidentiary hearing, and if so, how much. The referee will then make a recommendation concerning any further funding to this court, which will make the final decision.

S228430 ACCUSATION OF ARELLANO

Petition denied (accusation)

S228432 ACCUSATION OF WONG

Petition denied (accusation)

ACCUSATION OF KAGAN

Petition denied

(accusation)

S226796

ACCUSATION OF AVALOS

Remanded to State Bar

The above-entitled matter is returned to the State Bar for further consideration of the complaint against the attorney and the basis for the decision not to reopen the complaint, in light of the seriousness of the allegations and the findings of the United States District Court for the Central District of California in its March 9, 2015, Order Vacating November 2012 Judgment Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b), in Case No. EDCV 10-01121-JAK (DTB).

S226992

ZIMMERMAN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that HARRY WILLARD ZIMMERMAN, State Bar Number 166422, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

HARRY WILLARD ZIMMERMAN must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S227047

Van SICKLE ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that BARRY L. Van SICKLE, State Bar Number 98645, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. BARRY L. Van SICKLE is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first 90 days of probation and he will remain suspended until the following conditions are satisfied:
 - i. BARRY L. Van SICKLE must satisfy the judgment entered on August 8, 2013 in *In re Sandra Lynn Concetti*, (U.S. Bankruptcy Court, N.D.Cal.), case no. 13-30705-HLB, and provide satisfactory proof thereof to the State Bar Office of Probation.
 - ii. If he remains suspended for two years or more as a result of not satisfying the preceding condition, he must provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)

- 2. BARRY L. Van SICKLE must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on March 24, 2015; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if BARRY L. Van SICKLE has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

BARRY L. Van SICKLE must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S227048

SANTOS ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that PATRICK THOMAS SANTOS, State Bar Number 265982, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. PATRICK THOMAS SANTOS must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on April 13, 2015; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if PATRICK THOMAS SANTOS has complied with the terms of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2016 and 2017. If PATRICK THOMAS SANTOS fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S227049

TUSTANIWSKY ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that OLEH R. TUSTANIWSKY, State Bar Number 123975, is suspended from the practice of law in California for four years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for four years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. OLEH R. TUSTANIWSKY is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first two years of probation, and he will remain suspended until he provides proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)
- 2. OLEH R. TUSTANIWSKY must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on April 10, 2015.
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if OLEH R. TUSTANIWSKY has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

OLEH R. TUSTANIWSKY must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his suspension and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

OLEH R. TUSTANIWSKY must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S227069

ESCAMILLA ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that MYAVA R. ESCAMILLA, State Bar Number 268834, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that her name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

MYAVA R. ESCAMILLA must make restitution to the following payees:

- (1) Mario Pineda in the amount of \$780.60 plus 10 percent interest per year from August 9, 2013;
- (2) Mario Pineda in the amount of \$10,713.35 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 17, 2013.

Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

MYAVA R. ESCAMILLA must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

CHAE ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that RICHARD CHAE, State Bar Number 224610, is summarily disbarred from the practice of law and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

RICHARD CHAE must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S227071

ESTES ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that MARK DOUGLAS ESTES, State Bar Number 110518, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. MARK DOUGLAS ESTES must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S227072

MA ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that LAWRENCE TANG MA, State Bar Number 265868, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. LAWRENCE TANG MA, is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of three years of probation (with credit given for the period of interim suspension which commenced on July 12, 2013), and he will remain suspended until he provides proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.2(c)(1).)
- 2. LAWRENCE TANG MA, must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on April 13, 2015.
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if LAWRENCE TANG MA, has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

LAWRENCE TANG MA, must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his suspension and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

LAWRENCE TANG MA, must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One half of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2016 and 2017. If LAWRENCE TANG MA, fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S227073

KASSAM ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that STEVEN KASSAM, State Bar Number 224739, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. STEVEN KASSAM must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on April 8, 2015; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if STEVEN KASSAM has complied with the terms of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

STEVEN KASSAM must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2016 and 2017. If STEVEN KASSAM fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

KECK ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that MICHAEL SCOTT KECK, State Bar Number 124536, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

MICHAEL SCOTT KECK must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S227188

LAREYBI ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that ALI LAREYBI, State Bar Number 185732, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

ALI LAREYBI must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S227307

BROWN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that GORDON DEAN BROWN, State Bar Number 171745, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. GORDON DEAN BROWN is suspended from the practice of law for the first six months of probation;
- 2. GORDON DEAN BROWN must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on April 24, 2015; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if GORDON DEAN BROWN has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

GORDON DEAN BROWN must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2016 and 2017. If GORDON DEAN BROWN fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S227309

LANE ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that BRIAN ALAN LANE, State Bar Number 90302, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. BRIAN ALAN LANE is suspended from the practice of law for the first 90 days of probation;
- 2. BRIAN ALAN LANE must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on April 28, 2015; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if BRIAN ALAN LANE has complied with all conditions of probation, the period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

BRIAN ALAN LANE must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

BRIAN ALAN LANE must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2016 and 2017. If BRIAN ALAN LANE fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

KIM ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that JOHN K. KIM, State Bar Number 183020, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

JOHN K. KIM must make restitution to Shelly Saysomphane in the amount of \$40,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from February 9, 2011. Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

JOHN K. KIM must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S227313

REGINA ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that LESLEY ANNE REGINA, State Bar Number 209541, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that her name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

LESLEY ANNE REGINA must make restitution to the following payees:

- (1) Donald Livsey in the amount of \$5,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 29, 2013;
- (2) Annie Meza Kobashikawa in the amount of \$4,259.75 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 29, 2013; and
- (3) David Conder in the amount of \$900 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 29, 2013.

Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

LESLEY ANNE REGINA must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

LAVEN ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of PETER JEFFREY LAVEN, State Bar Number 60250, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

PETER JEFFREY LAVEN must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

S229188

BARTLETT ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of RICHARD JOSEPH BARTLETT, State Bar Number 87840, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

RICHARD JOSEPH BARTLETT must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

S229189

BROWN ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of KATHRYN LACHELT BROWN, State Bar Number 155592, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

KATHRYN LACHELT BROWN must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

S229190

COLE-CHU ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of LEELAND J. COLE-CHU, State Bar Number 83200, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

LEELAND J. COLE-CHU must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

COULSON ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of TAMARA COULSON, State Bar Number 139544, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

TAMARA COULSON must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

S229218

CRAYCROFT ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of MICHELE MARIE CRAYCROFT, State Bar Number 123294, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

MICHELE MARIE CRAYCROFT must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

S229220

CULBERTSON ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of RUSSELL DUANE CULBERTSON, State Bar Number 129260, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

RUSSELL DUANE CULBERTSON must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

S229221

DEMMING ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of MARK DEMMING, State Bar Number 226831, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

MARK DEMMING must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

STREETER ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of JEFFREY ALLAN STREETER, State Bar Number 251047, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

JEFFREY ALLAN STREETER must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

S229226

STUEBING ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of BRADLEY FORD STUEBING, State Bar Number 80722, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

BRADLEY FORD STUEBING must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

S229234

ZUCKERMAN ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of RICHARD STEPHAN ZUCKERMAN, State Bar Number 91104, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

RICHARD STEPHAN ZUCKERMAN must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

S229236

ZUSI ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of DALE ALLYN ZUSI, State Bar Number 116983, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

DALE ALLYN ZUSI must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

MILES ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of CAROLYN J. MILES, State Bar Number 71955, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

CAROLYN J. MILES must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

S229240

MITCHELL ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of COURTNEY LEE MITCHELL, State Bar Number 229598, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

COURTNEY LEE MITCHELL must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

S229241

PATE ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of WILLIAM MICHAEL PATE, State Bar Number 193251, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

WILLIAM MICHAEL PATE must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

S229242

REID ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of BONNIE SHEEHY REID, State Bar Number 62489, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

BONNIE SHEEHY REID must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE **BAR MISC. 4186** OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 1,220)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)



SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA ORAL ARGUMENT CALENDAR SAN FRANCISCO SESSION OCTOBER 6 and 7, 2015 FIRST AMENDED

The following cases are placed upon the calendar of the Supreme Court for hearing at a Special Student Outreach Session in the Ronald M. George State Office Complex, Milton Marks Auditorium, Lower Level, 350 McAllister Street, San Francisco, California, on Tuesday morning, October 6, 2015.

<u>TUESDAY, OCTOBER 6, 2015 — 9:00 A.M.</u>

(1)	S220289	Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association et al. v. Alex Padilla, as Secretary of State, etc.
(2)	S206587	(Legislature of the State of California, Real Party in Interest) Gillette Company et al. v. Franchise Tax Board (Chin, J., not participating; Murray, Jr., J., assigned
(3)	S213132	justice pro tempore) Hampton (Randall Keith) et al. v. County of San Diego

The following cases are placed upon the calendar of the Supreme Court for hearing at its courtroom in the Ronald M. George State Office Complex, 350 McAllister Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California, on Tuesday afternoon, October 6, and Wednesday 7, 2015.

TUESDAY, OCTOBER 6, 2015 — 2:00 P.M.

(4) (5)	\$143743 \$120583	People v. Mendoza (Huber Joel) [Automatic Appeal] People v. Cage (Micky Ray) [Automatic Appeal]
		WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 7, 2015 — 9:00 A.M.
(6)	S213478	California Building Industry Association v. Bay Area Air Quality Management District
(7)	S216305	Quesada (Michelle) v. Herb Thyme Farms, Inc.
(8)	S209643	People v. Stevens (Mark)
		<u>1:30 P.M.</u>
(9)	S115872	People v. Sandoval, Jr. (Ramon) [Automatic Appeal]
		G 1 3 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7

CANTIL-SAKAUYE

Chief Justice

If exhibits are to be transmitted to this court, counsel must apply to the court for permission. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.224(c).)