

# Judicial Council of California

455 Golden Gate Avenue · San Francisco, California 94102-3688 www.courts.ca.gov/policyadmin-invitationstocomment.htm

## INVITATION TO COMMENT

**SPR24-30** 

#### Title

Probate Guardianship: Participation of a Minor Ward in Court

Proposed Rules, Forms, Standards, or Statutes Amend Cal. Rules of Court, rule 7.1016

#### **Proposed by**

Probate and Mental Health Advisory Committee Hon. Jayne Chong-Soon Lee, Chair

#### **Action Requested**

Review and submit comments by May 3, 2024

#### **Proposed Effective Date**

January 1, 2025

#### Contact

Corby Sturges, 415-865-4507 corby.sturges@jud.ca.gov

## **Executive Summary and Origin**

The Probate and Mental Health Advisory Committee proposes amending rule 7.1016 of the California Rules of Court to conform to recent legislation that amended Family Code section 3042. The legislation changed the conditions under which a minor child participates or testifies in court in a child custody or visitation proceeding, including a probate guardianship of the person. The committee also proposes amending the rule to conform more closely to statute by narrowing the scope of its application and expanding the protections afforded to wards who are parties, as well as to clarify its requirements and simplify its language.

#### **Background**

The Legislature amended Family Code section 3042 in 2010 to expand the provisions governing a child's participation and testimony in a child custody or visitation proceeding. (Assem. Bill 1050; Stats. 2010, ch. 187.) The legislation required the Judicial Council to adopt a rule of court "establishing procedures for examination of a child witness" and "guidelines on methods other than direct testimony for obtaining information or other input from the child regarding custody or visitation." (*Ibid.*) On the recommendation of the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee

and the Elkins Family Law Implementation Task Force, the Judicial Council adopted rule 5.250, effective January 1, 2012, to fulfill this mandate.<sup>1</sup>

A probate guardianship of the person gives custody of a minor child to an adult other than the child's parent. Probate Code section 1514(b)(1) provides that, "[i]n appointing a guardian of the person, the court is governed by" Family Code sections 3020–3032 and 3040–3049, "relating to custody of a minor." Thus, Family Code section 3042 governs the probate court in appointing a guardian of the person. Effective January 1, 2013, the Judicial Council approved the Probate and Mental Health Advisory Committee's recommendation to adopt rule 7.1016, governing the participation and testimony of a ward or a proposed ward in a probate guardianship of the person.<sup>2</sup>

In 2021, Senate Bill 654 (Stats. 2021, ch. 768, § 2) amended Family Code section 3042 again. The bill required the Judicial Council to develop or amend rules to implement that section no later than January 1, 2023. (Fam. Code, § 3042(j).) On the recommendation of the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee, the council amended rule 5.250, effective January 1, 2023, to implement the statutory amendments made by SB 654.<sup>3</sup> Amending rule 7.1016 was not considered at that time.

### The Proposal

The proposed amendments to rule 7.1016 would implement the changes to Family Code section 3042 made by SB 654 as they apply to probate guardianships. In particular, SB 654 barred a court from permitting a child to address the court regarding custody or visitation *in the presence of the parties* unless the court found that doing so in the parties' presence would be in the child's best interest and stated the reasons for that finding on the record. (Fam. Code, § 3042(f).) The amendment also required the court to provide a way to obtain the child's input out of the presence of the parties. (*Id.*, § 3042(f)(1).) Proposed amendments to rule 7.1016(e)(1)–(3) would implement those statutory changes as they apply to probate guardianships.

SB 654 also amended section 3042 to impose a duty on the child's attorney, an evaluator, an investigator, or a child custody recommending counselor to indicate, as soon as feasible, to the judge, the parties or their attorneys, and other professionals serving on the case if a child has changed their preference about addressing the court. (*Id.*, § 3042(h).) Proposed amendments to rule 7.1016(c) would implement those statutory changes as they apply to probate guardianships.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., Family Law: Children's Participation and Testimony in Family Court Proceedings (Oct. 6, 2011).

All further unspecified references to rules are to the California Rules of Court.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Probate Guardianships: Testimony and Alternatives to Testimony of Wards and Proposed Wards in Guardianship Cases* (Aug. 29, 2012).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., Family Law: Child Custody and Visitation in Cases Involving Abuse by Parent and Child Testimony (Sept. 2, 2022), pp. 2–3, 7, 9, https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=11204080&GUID=A2EE8E73-47E5-40A6-8441-C95EC7CE60D2.

In addition, the committee proposes amendments that would apply the rule's general provisions to a ward regardless of whether the ward is a party. The protections in rule 7.1016(c)–(e) and (g) currently apply only to a ward who is not a party. Family Code section 3042, however, does not limit its application to a child who is not a party, and a child may be a party to a family law custody proceeding. In particular, a child may be a party to a custody proceeding brought in the context of a Domestic Violence Prevention Act (Fam. Code, §§ 6211(e)–(f), 6229, 6301(a)) case or an action under the Uniform Parentage Act (Fam. Code, § 7630(a)). (See generally Fam. Code, §§ 3021(e)–(f), 3022.) In the absence of a reason for excluding wards who are parties, proposed amendments to rule 7.1016(c)–(e) and (g) would extend their application to a ward who is a party and wishes to participate in the hearing or testify.<sup>4</sup>

On the other hand, the committee proposes that the rule continue to separately address situations in which a ward who is a party receives a discovery request or is called as a witness by another party. These situations are beyond the scope of Family Code section 3042 because they could entail a ward's unwilling participation or testimony. (Rule 7.1016(h).) Nevertheless, a rule governing the participation and testimony of a ward in a guardianship proceeding would be incomplete without them.

An additional proposed amendment to rule 7.1016(d) would clarify the distinction between a ward 12 years of age or older, who *must* be permitted to address the court *unless* doing so would not be in the ward's best interest, and a ward younger than 12 years old, who *may* be permitted to address the court *if* doing so would be in the ward's best interests.<sup>5</sup>

Further proposed amendments would eliminate the existing distinction between a "proceeding," to which the rule applies, and "[an]other matter subject to this rule," which, as used, is actually *not* subject to the rule but a matter to which the court may, in an exercise of discretion, apply all or part of the rule. The amendments would instead specify clearly that the rule applies to a ward's participation and testimony in a hearing on appointment or removal of a guardian of the person, parental visitation of a ward during a guardianship of the person, or the termination of a guardianship of the person. (Rule 7.1016(b)(1).) The court would have discretion to apply the rule's provisions to the participation or testimony of a ward in any other hearing in a guardianship of the person or of the estate. (Rule 7.1016(b)(2).)

<sup>4</sup> 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Excluding a ward who is a party from the scope of the rule's protections could be problematic for another reason. Probate Code section 1043(b) authorizes an interested person to appear and make a response or objection orally at a hearing. In line with this statute and probate practice, rule 7.1016(a)(2) would treat a ward as a party if the ward filed a petition or made a response or objection in a guardianship proceeding. A ward who was not a party to a guardianship would thus become a party by virtue of expressing to the court a preference regarding a matter before the court. It does not make sense to afford the protections of Family Code section 3042 to a ward before they have begun to address the court but not afterward.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Rule 7.1016(d)(2)–(3). This distinction aligns with, but is not limited to, the Probate Code's authorization of a minor child 12 years of age or older to file a petition for appointment of a guardian for themselves and thereby become a party. (Prob. Code, § 1510(a); see also Fam. Code, § 3042(c)–(d).)

#### **Alternatives Considered**

The committee did not consider taking no action because legislation mandated revisions to the rule. The committee considered limiting its proposed amendments to those necessary to conform to the amendments to Family Code section 3042 enacted by SB 654. On reviewing the rule, however, the committee determined that additional amendments were needed to (1) bring the rule into conformity with existing law more generally, (2) clarify its requirements and the scope of its application, and (3) simplify its language.

## **Fiscal and Operational Impacts**

The proposal is not expected to generate any additional costs for the courts. If there are costs to implement the proposal, they would result from the underlying legislative action.

## **Request for Specific Comments**

In addition to comments on the proposal as a whole, the advisory committee is interested in comments on the following:

• Does the proposal appropriately address the stated purpose?

The advisory committee also seeks comments from *courts* on the following cost and implementation matters:

- Would the proposal provide cost savings? If so, please quantify.
- What would the implementation requirements be for courts—for example, training staff (please identify position and expected hours of training), revising processes and procedures (please describe), changing docket codes in case management systems, or modifying case management systems?
- Would three months from Judicial Council approval of this proposal until its effective date provide sufficient time for implementation?
- How well would this proposal work in courts of different sizes?

#### Attachments and Links

- 1. Cal. Rules of Court, rule 7.1016, at pages 5–13
- 2. Link A: Fam. Code, § 3042, https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes\_displaySection.xhtml?lawCode=FAM&section Num=3042
- 3. Link B: Prob. Code, § 1514, https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes\_displaySection.xhtml?lawCode=PROB&sectionNum=1514

Rule 7.1016 of the California Rules of Court would be amended, effective January 1, 2025, to read:

Rule 7.1016. Participation and testimony of wards in guardianship proceedings 1 2 (Prob. Code, § 1514(b)(1); Fam. Code, § 3042) 3 4 (a) **Definitions** 5 6 As used in this rule, the following terms have the meanings specified: 7 8 "Ward" includes a "proposed ward." (1) 9 10 A "proceeding" is a matter before the court for decision in a probate (2) 11 guardianship of the person that concerns appointment or removal of a 12 guardian, visitation, determination of the ward's place of residence, or 13 termination of the guardianship by court order. 14 15 <del>(3)</del> "Party," as used in this rule to when referring to the a ward, means indicates a 16 ward who has filed a petition or opposition made a response or objection to a 17 petition concerning a proceeding or other matter subject to this rule in a 18 probate guardianship proceeding. 19 20 Purpose and scope of rule **(b)** 21 22 This rule applies Family Code section 3042 to the participation and testimony (1) 23 of the a ward in a proceeding in a probate hearing on: 24 25 Appointment or removal of a guardianship of the person, including (A) appointment of a successor guardian; 26 27 28 Parental visitation of a ward in a guardianship of the person; or (B) 29 30 Termination of a guardianship of the person. The testimony of other (C) 31 minors in a guardianship case is governed by Evidence Code sections 32 765(b) and 767(b). 33 34 (2) The court may, in its discretion, may apply all or part of this rule, in whole or 35 in part, to the participation and testimony of a ward in a hearing in a 36 guardianship of the estate or in a matter before the court in a guardianship of 37 the person that is not a proceeding within the meaning of this rule. The phrase 38 "or other matter subject to this rule" following the term "proceeding" is a 39 reference to the matters described in this paragraph a hearing in a 40 guardianship of the person on a matter not described in (1). 41

1		(3)	No sta	atutory mandate, rule, or practice requires a ward who is not a party to		
2			the pr	oceeding or other matter subject to this rule to participate in This rule		
3			does 1	not require a ward to address the court or prohibits him or her a ward		
4			from	doing so. When a ward desires to participate but is not a party to the		
5			proce	eding or other matter subject to this rule, the court must balance the		
6			protec	ction of the ward, the statutory duty to consider the wishes of and other		
7			input	from the ward, and the probative value of the ward's input while		
8			ensur	ing all parties' due process rights to challenge evidence relied on by the		
9				in making decisions affecting the ward in matters covered by the rule.		
10				Ç		
11		(4)	This 1	rule rather than rRule 5.250, on children's participation and testimony in		
12		( )		y court proceedings, applies in does not apply to probate guardianship		
13				edings.		
14			1			
15		<u>(5)</u>	Nothi	ng in this rule limits the application of Evidence Code sections 765(b)		
16		<del>~~</del>		67(b) to the testimony of a minor in a guardianship proceeding.		
17						
18	(c)	Dete	rminir	ng whether the nonparty a ward wishes to address the court or has		
19	` '	changed their preference about addressing the court				
20						
21		(1)	The fe	ollowing persons must inform the court judicial officer if they have		
22			inforr	mation indicating are aware that a ward who is not a party wishes to		
23				ss the court in a proceeding or other matter subject to this rule:		
24						
25			(A)	The ward's counsel; attorney or guardian ad litem;		
26						
27			(B)	A court or county guardianship investigator;		
28						
29			(C)	A child custody recommending counselor who provides		
30				recommendations to the judicial officer under Family Code section		
31				3183; <u>or</u>		
32						
33			(D)	An expert appointed by the court under Evidence Code section 730 to		
34				assist the court in the matter; or.		
35						
36			<del>(E)</del>	The ward's guardian ad litem.		
37			` /			
38		(2)	The fo	ollowing persons A party to the proceeding or a party's attorney may		
39		. ,		n the court judicial officer if they have information indicating that a		
40				who is not a party wishes to address the court in a proceeding or other		
41				r subject to this rule: court.		
42				-		
43			<del>(A)</del>	A party in the guardianship case; and		

1					
2			(B) An attorney for a party in the guardianship case.		
3					
4		(3)	In the absence of information indicating that a ward who is not a party wishes		
5			to address the court in a proceeding or other matter subject to this rule, the		
6			judicial officer may inquire whether the ward wishes to do so.		
7					
8		<u>(4)</u>	If a ward informs any of the persons specified in (1) that the ward has		
9			changed their preference about addressing the court, that person must, as		
10			soon as feasible, inform the parties or their attorneys, the ward's attorney or		
11			guardian ad litem, the court investigator, and the judicial officer of that		
12			change.		
13					
14	<b>(d)</b>	Guid	lelines for determining Determining whether addressing the court is in the		
15		nonp	<del>party</del> <u>a</u> ward's best interest		
16					
17		(1)	When If a ward who is not a party indicates that he or she wishes to address		
18			the court, the judicial officer must consider whether involving permitting the		
19			ward in the proceeding or other matter subject to this rule to address the court		
20			is in the ward's best interest.		
21					
22		(2)	If the ward is 12 years old or older, the judicial officer must hear from permit		
23			the ward to address the court unless the court makes a finding finds that		
24			addressing the court is not in the ward's best interest and states the reasons		
25			for that finding on the record.		
26		/ <b>-</b> \			
27		(3)	If the ward is younger than 12 years of age, the court may permit the ward to		
28			address the court if the court finds that addressing the court is appropriate and		
29			in the ward's best interest.		
30		(4)			
31		<u>(4)</u>	In determining whether addressing the court is in the ward's best interest, the		
32			judicial officer should consider the following:		
33			(A) WI 4 4 1 1 C CC 1 4 C		
34			(A) Whether the ward is of sufficient age and capacity to form an		
35			intelligent preference as to the matter to be decided;		
36			(D) Whether the word is of sufficient as and sometime to we denote ad the		
37			(B) Whether the ward is of sufficient age and capacity to understand the		
38			nature of testimony;		
39 40			(C) Whather information has been presented indicating that the word may		
40 41			(C) Whether information has been presented indicating that the ward may		
42			be at risk of emotionally harm if he or she is permitted or denied the		
42			opportunity to address the court; or that		
43					

1			(D) Whether the ward may benefit from addressing the court;
2			
3			(D) (E) Whether the subjects areas about which the ward is anticipated to
4			address the court are relevant to the court's decision the court must
5			make;
6			
7			(E) (F) Whether the appointment of counsel under Probate Code section 1470
8			an attorney or a guardian ad litem for the ward would be helpful to the
9			determination or would be necessary to protect the ward's interests; and
10			
11			(F) (G) Whether any other factors weigh in favor of or against having
12			permitting the ward to address the court, taking into consideration the
13			ward's desire to do so.
14			
15	(e)		elines for rReceiving testimony and other input from the nonparty a
16		ward	
17			
18		<del>(1)</del>	No testimony of a ward may be received without such testimony being heard
19			on the record or in the presence of the parties. This requirement may not be
20			waived.
21			
22		<del>(2)</del>	On deciding to take the testimony of a ward who is not a party in a
23			proceeding or other matter subject to this rule, the judicial officer should
24			balance the necessity of taking the ward's testimony in the courtroom with
25			parents, the guardian or proposed guardian, other parties, and attorneys
26			present with the need to create an environment in which the ward can be open
27			and honest. In each case in which a ward's testimony will be taken, the
28			judicial officer should consider:
29			
30			(A) Where the testimony will be taken;
31			
32			(B) Who should be present when the testimony is taken;
33			
34			(C) How the ward will be questioned; and
35			
36			(D) Whether a court reporter is available in all instances, but especially
37			when the ward's testimony may be taken outside the presence of the
38			parties and their attorneys. If the court reporter will not be available,
39			whether there are other means to collect, preserve, transcribe, and make
40			the ward's testimony available to parties and their attorneys.
41			
42		<u>(1)</u>	Unless the court determines that permitting a ward to address the court in the
43			presence of the parties would be in the ward's best interest and states the

reasons for that finding on the record, the court must not permit the ward to 1 2 address the court in the presence of the parties. 3 4 In determining the best interest of the ward under (1), the court must consider (2) 5 whether addressing the court in the presence of the parties is likely to be 6 detrimental to the ward. 7 8 (3) If the court does not permit the ward to address the court in the presence of 9 the parties, the court must provide an alternative method for the ward to address the court so that the court can obtain input directly from the ward on 10 11 the record. If a court reporter is not available, the court must provide other 12 means to obtain the ward's input and make it available to the parties and their 13 attorneys. 14 15 (3) (4) In taking testimony from a ward who is not a party to the proceeding or 16 other matter subject to this rule, the court must take exercise the special care 17 required by Evidence Code sections 765(b) and 767(b) to the extent that 18 those sections apply. In addition, if H the ward is not represented by an 19 attorney and the court does not appoint one, the court must inform the ward 20 in an age-appropriate manner about the limitations on to the confidentiality of 21 testimony and that the information provided to the court will be on the record 22 and provided to the parties in the case. 23 24 (4) (5) In the process of listening to and inviting the ward's input, the court must 25 allow but not require the ward to state a preference regarding the matter to be 26 decided in the proceeding or other matter subject to this rule and should 27 provide information in an age-appropriate manner about the process by which 28 the court will make a decision. 29 30 (5) (6) In any case in which a ward who is not a party to the proceeding or other 31 matter subject to this rule will be called to testify, the court must consider the 32 appointment of counsel for the ward under Probate Code section 1470 and 33 may consider the appointment of a guardian ad litem appointing an attorney 34 or a guardian ad litem for the ward. In addition to satisfying the requirements for minor's counsel under rule 7.1101, minor's counsel The attorney or 35 36 guardian ad litem must: 37 38 (A) Provide information to the ward in an age-appropriate manner about the 39 limitations on to the confidentiality of testimony and indicate to the 40 ward the possibility that the information provided to the court will be 41 on the record and provided to the parties in the case; 42

1 (B) Allow but not require the ward to state a preference regarding the 2 issues to be decided in the proceeding or other matter subject to this 3 rule, and pProvide information to the ward in an age-appropriate 4 manner about the process by which the court will make a decision; 5 6 (C) If appropriate, provide the ward with an orientation to the courtroom or 7 other place where the ward will testify; and 8 9 Inform the parties and the court about the ward's desire to testify or (D) 10 otherwise provide input. 11 12 (6) (7) If the court precludes the calling of a ward who is not a party from testifying 13 as a witness in a proceeding or other matter subject to this rule, the court 14 must provide alternatives to testimony for the court to obtaining information 15 about the ward's preferences or other input from the ward. These alternatives may include: 16 17 18 A Participation of a court or county guardianship investigator 19 participating in the case under Probate Code section 1513 or 1513.2; 20 21 (B) Appointment of a child custody evaluator or investigator under 22 Evidence Code section 730; 23 24 (C) Appointment of counsel or a guardian ad litem for the ward; 25 26 (D) Admissible Receipt of admissible evidence provided by the ward's 27 parents, parties, or witnesses in the proceeding or other matter subject 28 to this rule; 29 30 Information provided by Receipt of information from a child custody (E) 31 recommending counselor authorized under Family Code section 3183 32 to make a recommendation to the court; and 33 34 Information provided Receipt of information from a child interview (F) 35 center or professional to avoid unnecessary multiple interviews. 36 37 (7) (8) If the court precludes the calling of a ward who is not a party from testifying 38 as a witness in a proceeding or other matter subject to this rule and specifies 39 one of the other an alternatives to testimony, the court must require that the 40 information or evidence obtained by through that alternative means and 41 provided by a professional (other than counsel for the ward or counsel for any 42 party) or a other nonparty:

43

1		(A)	Be <u>documented</u> in writing and fully <del>document</del> <u>reflect the views</u>				
2			expressed by the ward's views on the matters on which he or she				
3			wished to express an opinion to be decided;				
4							
5		(B)	Describe the ward's input in sufficient detail to assist the court in				
6			making its decision;				
7							
8 9		(C)	Be <u>obtained and</u> provided to the court and to the parties by a person who will be available for testimony and cross-examination; and				
10			, and the second se				
11		(D)	Be filed in the confidential portion of the case file.				
12		(-)					
13	<b>(f)</b>	Responsibilities of court-connected or appointed professionals—all wards					
14		A =1=:1.d ===	ata der avalvatan an avnant suitu asa anna inted surdan Essidan as Cada				
15			stody evaluator, an expert witness appointed under Evidence Code				
16			0, an investigator, <u>or</u> a child custody recommending counselor <del>or other</del>				
17		eustody mediator who is appointed or assigned to meet with obtain information					
18		from a war	rd and provide the information to the court and the parties must:				
19		(1) D					
20			ride information to Inform the ward in an age-appropriate manner about				
21			imitations on to the confidentiality of testimony and the possibility that				
22			rmation provided to the professional may will be shared with the court on				
23		the r	ecord and provided to the parties in the case;				
24							
25		` /	w but not require the ward to state a preference regarding the issues to be				
26			ded in the proceeding or other matter subject to this rule, and provide				
27			rmation Inform the ward in an age-appropriate manner about the process				
28		by w	which the court will make a decision; and				
29							
30		` '	w but not require the ward to state a preference regarding the issues to be				
31		decid	ded by the court; and				
32							
33		(3) (4) Provide to Give the other parties in the case information about how best to					
34		supp	ort the interest of the ward during the court process.				
35							
36	<b>(g)</b>	Methods (	of pProviding information to parties and supporting nonparty wards				
37							
38		Courts should provide information to the parties and information and support to the					
39		<u>a</u> ward <del>wh</del>	o is not a party to the proceeding or other matter subject to this rule when				
40		if the ward wants to participate or testify. Methods of providing information or					
41		support ma	ay include:				
42							

- (1) Having <u>Directing</u> court or county guardianship investigators and <u>or</u> experts appointed under Evidence Code section 730 to meet jointly or separately with the parties and their attorneys to discuss alternatives to having the ward provide direct testimony;
- (2) Providing an orientation for the ward about to the court process and the role of the judicial officer in making decisions, how the setup of the courtroom or chambers will be set up where the ward will testify or address the court, and what the process of participating or testifying will entail;
- (3) Providing information to parties before the ward participates or testifies so that they can consider the possible effect on the ward of participating or not participating in the proceeding or other matter subject to this rule testifying;
- (4) Appointing counsel under Probate Code section 1470 an attorney or a guardian ad litem for the ward to assist in the provision of information to the ward concerning his or her decision to participate in the proceeding or testify;
- (5) Including information in guardianship orientation presentations and publications about the options available to a ward who is not a party to the proceeding or other matter subject to this rule to participate or testify or not to do so, and the consequences of a ward's decision whether to become a party to the proceeding or other matter subject to this rule; and
- (6) Providing an interpreter for the ward.

#### (h) If the a ward is a party to the proceeding

- (1) A ward who is a party to the proceeding or other matter subject to this rule is subject to the law of discovery applied applicable to parties in civil actions and may be called as a witness by any other party unless the court makes a finding that providing information in response requiring the ward to respond to discovery requests or testifying as a witness is would not be in the ward's best interest and states the reasons for that finding on the record.
- (2) The court must consider appointing counsel under Probate Code section 1470 an attorney or a guardian ad litem for a ward who is a party to the proceeding or other matter subject to this rule if the ward is not represented by counsel.
- (3) In determining whether providing information in response requiring a ward to respond to discovery requests or testifying as a witness is would be in the ward's best interest, the judicial officer should consider the following:

1 (A) Whether information has been presented indicating that the ward may 2 be at risk of emotionally harm if he or she is permitted or denied the 3 opportunity to provide information in response required to respond to 4 discovery requests or by testimony testify; 5 6 (B) Whether the subjects areas about which that the ward's responses or 7 testimony is anticipated to provide information in response to discovery 8 requests or by testimony are expected to address are relevant to the 9 court's decision the court must make; and 10 11 Whether any other factors weigh in favor of or against having requiring (C) 12 the ward provide information in response to respond to discovery 13 requests or by testimony testify. 14 15 (4) In taking testimony from a ward who is a party to the proceeding or other matter subject to this rule, the court must take exercise the special care 16 17 required by Evidence Code sections 765(b) and 767(b) to the extent that 18 those sections apply. In addition, if H the ward is not represented by an 19 attorney and the court does not appoint one, the court must inform the ward 20 in an age-appropriate manner about the limitations on to the confidentiality of 21 testimony and that the information provided to the court will be on the record 22 and provided to the parties in the case. 23 24 Education and training of judicial officers and court staff (i) 25 26 Education and training content for court staff and judicial officers should include 27 information on: 28 29 A ward's' participation in proceedings or other matters subject to this rule, (1) 30 guardianship hearings; 31 32 (2) Methods other than direct testimony for receiving input from a ward to give 33 relevant information and input to the court; 34 35 (3) Procedures for taking a ward's testimony, consistent with the safeguards in 36 this rule, Family Code section 3042, and Evidence Code sections 765(b) and 37 767(b); and 38 39 The differences in the application of this rule to wards who are parties and (4) 40 those who are not parties to the proceeding or other matters subject to this 41 rule. 42