

Written Comments Received for October 28, 2011, Judicial Council Business Meeting

Name and Title	Affiliation	Topic	Date of Receipt Page No.s
1. Presiding Judge Steve White	Superior Court of California, County of Sacramento	Impacts of proposed rules, forms, and standards on the Superior Court of California, for the County of Sacramento and other counties	10/26/11 Page 2
2.			



SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

STEVE WHITE PRESIDING JUDGE 720 NINTH STREET
SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814
(916) 874-5487

October 26, 2011

Judicial Council of California Attn: Nancy E. Spero 455 Golden Gate Avenue Sac Francisco, California 94102-3688

Dear Chief Justice Cantil-Sakauye and Council Members,

Among the Judicial Council's agenda items for its scheduled meeting on Friday, October 28, 2011 are a number of "Rules, Forms, and Standards" that are part of the Council's consent agenda. (Agenda items Al-A27). I recognize that these various rules, forms and standards were developed in response to statutory changes, including the enactment of new statues which require the Council to promulgate a rule of court and/or forms and standards. However, it is important that the Council acknowledge there will be increased cost to the trial courts as a result of these new requirements.

The new mandates are not fiscally neutral and they come at a time when trial courts have suffered severe budget cuts. Sacramento Superior Court, as I am certain all of other trial courts, has been making reductions, including to our staff levels in order to be in line with the reduction to our funding allocation. As I have reminded the Council on other occasions, Sacramento remains one of the historically underfunded trial courts and thus any budget reduction compounds the "underfunded" effect on our operations. More burden at a time of dramatically reduced resources presents an obvious dilemma.

Sincerely,

Steve White

Presiding Judge

Sacramento Superior Court