

**NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS**

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FIRST APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION ONE

In re JUAN U., a Person Coming Under the  
Juvenile Court Law.

THE PEOPLE,  
Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

JUAN U.,  
Defendant and Appellant.

A137354

(Sonoma County  
Super. Ct. No. 36588-J)

Appellant Juan U. appeals following jurisdictional admissions and the dispositional order of the juvenile court. This appeal is authorized under section 800 of the Welfare & Institutions Code.

Appellate counsel has reviewed the file in this case and has determined there are no meritorious issues to raise in the appeal. She has complied with the relevant case authorities. (*People v. Kelly* (2006) 40 Cal.4th 106; *People v. Wende*(1979) 25 Cal.3d 436.) The minor was notified of his right to file a supplemental brief, but has not done so. Upon independent review of the record, we conclude no arguable issues are presented for review and affirm the judgment.

**STATEMENT OF FACTS**

On December 14, 2009, Juan U. admitted to one count of assault with a deadly weapon (Pen. Code, § 245, subd. (a)(1)) alleged in a petition filed in Solano County pursuant to Welfare & Institutions Code section 602. At the jurisdiction hearing, the

charge was amended to eliminate references to great bodily injury and force likely to produce great bodily injury, making the offense a non-strike violation. The minor was adjudged a ward of the court and placed on probation.

Appellant entered an admission to a Welfare & Institutions Code section 777, subdivision (a)(2) violation of probation petition filed in Solano County on July 9, 2010. Since the minor was moving with his mother to Sonoma County, Juan's case was transferred to that jurisdiction. On August 9, 2010, the Sonoma County Court retained Juan as a ward of the court and released him to his mother's custody.

On March 2, 2011, appellant admitted to a charge of grand theft from the person, a violation of Penal Code section 487, subdivision (c). At the disposition hearing, the court sentenced Juan to 45–60 days in juvenile hall and admonished him this could be his last chance to remain at home.

On April 7, 2011, appellant admitted a probation violation alleged in a Welfare & Institutions Code section 777 petition for removing the ankle bracelet and absconding from probation. He was again committed to juvenile hall for an additional 90–120 days and retained as a ward of the court in the home of his mother with permission to live with his aunt.

Another violation of probation was filed on August 30, 2011, after the filing of a Welfare & Institutions Code section 777 petition for alcohol use. Appellant was committed to the Departmental Commitment Program at the Sonoma County Probation Camp for a maximum period of 56 months.

On April 6, 2012, appellant admitted a new Welfare & Institutions Code 777 petition for threatening to fight a camp resident and for taunting. On April 20, 2012, Juan was retained as a ward of the court and detained at juvenile hall pending placement.

On June 18, 2012, Juan escaped from his placement at the Valley Teen Ranch in Madera County, California. On August 24, 2012, police in Solano County arrested him for drug possession. He admitted a violation of Health & Safety Code section 11377, subdivision (a) (possession of a controlled substance) in Solano County. The case was

then transferred to Sonoma County for disposition on September 10, 2012. The juvenile court continued wardship and committed Juan to juvenile hall pending placement.

On November 14, 2012, appellant admitted another Welfare & Institutions Code section 777 petition probation violation for assaulting a youth in juvenile hall. At the end of the disposition hearing, the court vacated all prior placement orders and directed each side to submit briefs on the impact of *In re Greg F.* (2012) 55 Cal.4th 393 on this case. After the review, the trial court committed appellant to juvenile hall for a period of 1,200 to 1,350 days pending placement in a locked facility with no early release.

### **DISPOSITION**

After reviewing the record in this case, we find the evidence sufficient to sustain the adjudications of the juvenile court. The individual determinations by the juvenile courts in each instance were appropriate. There is no evidence the court abused its sentencing options in each situation. The eventual sentence imposed properly reflected the ongoing evidence of delinquency and incorrigible behavior of appellant. The juvenile court did not abuse its discretion with the eventual sentence imposed. We affirm the judgment.

---

Dondero, J. Acting P. J.

We concur:

---

Banke, J.

---

Sepulveda, J.