

**NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS**

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FIRST APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION FOUR

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

QUINCY LEWIS,

Defendant and Appellant.

A145410

(City & County of San Francisco  
Super. Ct. No. SCN217116)

Defendant Quincy Lewis appeals from an adverse order on a contested violation of probation. His counsel has filed an opening brief raising no issues and asking this court for an independent review of the record. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436.) Defendant has been informed of his right to personally file a supplemental opening brief, but he has not done so.

Defendant was charged by information with one count of possession of a firearm by a felon (Pen. Code,<sup>1</sup> former § 12021, subd. (a)(1)) (count one), two counts of felony possession by a felon of a concealed firearm in a vehicle (former § 12025, subd. (a)(1)) (counts two and three), and one count of felony unlawful possession of a loaded firearm (former § 12031, subd. (a)(1)) (count four). In connection with count two, the information alleged that defendant had suffered three prior felony convictions (former § 12025, subd. (b)(1)), in connection with count three that defendant knew the firearm was stolen (former § 12025, subd. (b)(2)), and in connection with count four that he was

---

<sup>1</sup> All statutory references are to the Penal Code.

within the class of persons prohibited from possessing a firearm (former § 12031, subd. (a)(2)(D)).

In March 2012, defendant pled guilty to all four counts and admitted the prior conviction, the stolen firearm allegation, and the allegation that he was prohibited from carrying a firearm. The trial court suspended imposition of sentence and placed defendant on probation for three years, with one year in custody to be served on home detention.

The District Attorney filed a motion to revoke probation in March 2013. Defendant admitted the violation and was reinstated on probation, with the requirement that he serve 60 days in county jail.

A second motion to revoke probation was filed in December 2014 after defendant was arrested for domestic violence, criminal threats, and child endangerment (the domestic violence case).<sup>2</sup> At the hearing on the motion, defendant stipulated that the trial court could consider the evidence adduced at the trial in the domestic violence case, which had resulted in convictions for misdemeanor battery and criminal threats. The trial court found defendant in violation of his probation and terminated probation as unsuccessful.

There are no meritorious issues to be argued.

#### **DISPOSITION**

The judgment is affirmed.

---

<sup>2</sup> Defendant's appeal from the judgment in the domestic violence case is currently pending in *People v. Lewis* (A145412, app. pending).

---

Rivera, J.

We concur:

---

Ruvolo, P.J.

---

Streeter, J.