

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FIRST APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION THREE

In re A.M., a Person Coming Under the
Juvenile Court Law.

CONTRA COSTA COUNTY
CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES
BUREAU,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

A.R.,

Defendant and Appellant.

A148564

(Contra Costa County
Super. Ct. Nos. J15-00407,
J15-00408, J15-00409)

Mother (A.R.) appeals from a minute order entered on April 25, 2016, setting a contested six-month review hearing in juvenile dependency proceedings involving her three children. Her opening brief, however, raises no arguments regarding the April 25 order. Instead, she states that by this appeal, she “seeks reversal of the juvenile court’s finding on September 4, 2015, that it would be detrimental to the children to be returned home and that there were no reasonable means to protect them short of removing them from their parents’ custody.”

The Contra Costa County Children and Family Services Bureau has moved to dismiss the appeal on the ground that the September 4, 2015 dispositional order is final and cannot be challenged in this or any other subsequent appeal. The motion to dismiss is well taken.

In an opinion filed on July 25, 2016, this court affirmed the September 2015 dispositional order. (*In re A.M.* (July 25, 2016, A146350) [nonpub. opn.].) Our decision became final on August 25, 2016. (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 8.264, 8.470.) Once final, the dispositional order is binding and cannot be attacked on appeal from a subsequent order. (*In re Meranda P.* (1997) 56 Cal.App.4th 1143, 1150; *Wanda B. v. Superior Court* (1996) 41 Cal.App.4th 1391, 1396.)

Insofar as mother's opening brief fails to include any pertinent legal argument challenging the April 25 order, we shall dismiss the appeal. (*In re Sade C.* (1996) 13 Cal.4th 952, 994.)

Disposition

The appeal is dismissed.

Pollak, J.

We concur:

McGuinness, P.J.

Jenkins, J.

A148564