

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION EIGHT

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

Z.W.,

Defendant and Appellant.

B232716

(Los Angeles County
Super. Ct. No. TJ17215)

APPEAL from the judgment of the Superior Court of Los Angeles County.
Cynthia Loo, Referee. Affirmed.

Susan L. Ferguson, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.

Shortly before 3:00 p.m. on March 17, 2010, Verenice Duenas and her boyfriend Adrian Estrada were robbed by three teenage boys as they began walking home from Jefferson High School in the area of 43rd Street and Hooper Avenue. According to Duenas, she and her boyfriend encountered their attackers as each group passed the other while crossing over from opposite sides of the street. One boy reached into Estrada's pocket and said "give me your stuff." Two of the boys began hitting Estrada, and appellant Z.W. shoved Estrada. One of the boys – not Z.W. – took Adrian's iPod. When Duenas tried to break up the struggle, Z.W. punched her in the mouth and ripped her necklace from around her neck.

Two school police officers who were driving by while on patrol stopped to investigate when they saw what appeared to be a group of students fighting in the street. As they approached, one of the attackers ran away. One of the officers found Duenas's necklace on the ground nearby.

Z.W., who was 16, was arrested and a petition was filed alleging that he should be declared a ward of the juvenile court (Welf. & Inst. Code, § 602) because he committed two counts of second degree robbery. After a contested hearing, the juvenile court sustained the petition and placed Z.W. in juvenile camp for six months.

After Z.W. filed his appeal, we appointed counsel to represent him. On December 20, 2011, after examining the record, counsel filed an opening brief in which no issues were raised. The brief included a declaration stating that counsel had informed Z.W. of his right to file a supplemental brief. On that same day we sent Z.W. a letter that advised him of his counsel's inability to find any arguable issues, and told him he had 30 days to submit by brief or letter any contentions he wished this court to consider. No supplemental brief was filed.

We have examined the entire record and are satisfied that appellant's attorney has fully complied with her responsibilities and that no arguable issues exist. (*Smith v. Robbins* (2000) 528 U.S. 259; *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436.)

DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.

RUBIN, J.

WE CONCUR:

BIGELOW, P.J .

FLIER, J.