

**NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS**

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.111.5.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION SIX

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

CORY DESHAWN DUGAN,

Defendant and Appellant.

2d Crim. No. B235461  
(Super. Ct. No. 1311943)  
(Ventura County)

Cory Deshawn Dugan appeals from the judgment entered after he pled guilty to forcible rape (count 2; Pen. Code, § 261, subd. (a)(2))<sup>1</sup>, forcible sexual penetration by a foreign object (count 7; § 289, subd. (a)(1)), kidnapping for ransom (count 13; § 209, subd. (a)), battery on a custodial officer (count 17; § 243.1), and felony child abuse (count 19; § 273a, subd. (a)), and admitted that he kidnapped the victims in counts 2 and 7, substantially increasing the risk of harm to the victims (§ 667.61, subs. (a) & (d)). Pursuant to a written negotiated plea, appellant was sentenced to life without possibility of parole (count 13) plus two terms of 25 years to life (counts 2 & 7), and a determinate term of six years, eight months (counts 17 & 19). The trial court ordered appellant to pay a \$10,000 restitution fines (§§ 1202.4, subd. (b); 1202.45) and \$2,640 victim restitution (§ 1202.4, subd. (f)).

<sup>1</sup> All statutory references are to the Penal Code.

We appointed counsel to represent appellant in this appeal. After counsel's examination of the record, he filed an opening brief in which no issues were raised.

On February 14, 2012, we advised appellant that he had 30 days within which to personally submit any contentions or issues he wished us to consider. We have received no response from appellant.

We have reviewed the entire record and are satisfied that appellant's attorney has fully complied with his responsibilities and that no arguable issues exist. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, 443; *People v. Kelly* (2006) 40 Cal.4th 106, 126.)

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED.

YEGAN, J.

We concur:

GILBERT, P.J.

PERREN, J.

Timothy J. Staffel, Judge  
Superior Court County of Santa Barbara

---

California Appellate Project, under appointment by the Court of Appeal,  
Jonathan B. Steiner, Executive Director and Richard B. Lennon, Staff Attorney, for  
Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Respondent.