

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.111.5.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION SIX

THE PEOPLE,
Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

DIANNA BETTENCOURT,
Defendant and Appellant.

2d Crim. No. B257522
(Super. Ct. No. LA078065)
(Los Angeles County)

Dianna Bettencourt appeals from the judgment following her no contest plea to two counts of possession of heroin and cocaine base (Health & Saf. Code, § 11350, subd.(a)) and one count of possession of methamphetamine (Health & Saf. Code, § 11377, subd. (a)). The change of plea was entered after appellant's motion to suppress evidence was denied at the preliminary hearing. Appellant was sentenced to four years four months state prison, plus eight months on a probation violation in Case Number MA059331. The trial court suspended imposition of prison and granted three years formal probation with 365 days county jail. Appellant was ordered to pay a \$300 restitution fine (Pen. Code, § 1202.4, subd. (b))¹, a \$300 probation revocation fine (§ 1202.44), \$120 court security fees (§ 1465.8, subd. (a)(1)), a \$90 conviction assessment (Gov. Code, § 70373), and a \$50 crime lab fee and penalty assessment (Health & Saf. Code, § 11372.5).

We appointed counsel to represent appellant in this appeal. After counsel's examination of the record, counsel filed an opening brief in which no issues were raised.

¹ All statutory references are to the Penal Code unless otherwise stated.

On October 14, 2014, we advised appellant that she had 30 days within which to personally submit any contentions or issues she wished us to consider. No response has been received.

The preliminary hearing transcript and probation report reflect that Los Angeles Police stopped a vehicle in which appellant was riding because appellant was not wearing a seatbelt. (Veh. Code, § 27315, subd. (a).) Appellant stated that she was on probation for drugs with search terms. The officer confirmed appellant's probation status and found methamphetamine in her purse. Additional drugs were recovered when appellant was booked at jail.

We have reviewed the entire record and are satisfied that appellant's attorney has fully complied with his responsibilities and that no arguable issues exist. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, 443; *People v. Kelly* (2006) 40 Cal.4th 106, 126.)

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED.

YEGAN, J.

We concur:

GILBERT, P.J.

PERREN, J.

Susan M. Speer, Judge

Superior Court County of Los Angeles

Richard L. Fitzer, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Respondent.