

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

THIRD APPELLATE DISTRICT

(Yolo)

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

BRYAN JAMES TREND,

Defendant and Appellant.

C073792

(Super. Ct. No. CRF 10-0760)

Appointed counsel for defendant Bryan James Trend has asked this court to review the record to determine whether there exist any arguable issues on appeal. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436 (*Wende*)). We find no errors and shall affirm the judgment.

BACKGROUND

On February 11, 2010, at about 4:44 p.m., an officer saw defendant and another person walking through a motel parking lot in an area known for drug activity. The officer knew defendant was on parole; when defendant noticed the patrol car, he and the

other person tried to open one of the motel room doors. The officer contacted defendant and searched him, finding two plastic baggies containing a total of 0.6 grams of methamphetamine.

Defendant pleaded no contest to possession of methamphetamine (Health & Saf. Code, § 11377, subd. (a)) and admitted a prior strike and three prior prison terms (Pen. Code, §§ 1170.12, 667, subd. (e)-(i), 667.5). The trial court suspended imposition of sentence and placed defendant on three years of probation with Proposition 36 drug treatment as a condition thereof, striking defendant's prior strike in order to do so.

Defendant admitted violating probation in September 2011. The trial court imposed a six-year state prison term, suspended execution of sentence, and reinstated probation. Probation was again reinstated after defendant admitted violating probation a second time in August 2012.

Following a contested hearing in April 2013, the trial court found defendant violated his probation a third time. The trial court terminated probation, executed the previously imposed six-year prison term, imposed various fines and fees, and awarded defendant 356 days of presentence credit (218 actual and 138 conduct).

Defendant appeals.

DISCUSSION

Counsel filed an opening brief that sets forth the facts of the case and requests this court to review the record and determine whether there are any arguable issues on appeal. (*Wende, supra*, 25 Cal.3d 436.) Defendant was advised by counsel of the right to file a supplemental brief within 30 days of the date of filing of the opening brief. More than 30 days have elapsed, and we have received no communication from defendant. Having undertaken an examination of the entire record, we find no arguable error that would result in a disposition more favorable to defendant.

DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.

DUARTE, J.

We concur:

NICHOLSON, Acting P. J.

MURRAY, J.