

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
THIRD APPELLATE DISTRICT
(Sacramento)

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

KWAHERI MORRIS ROWLAND,

Defendant and Appellant.

C075192

(Super. Ct. No. 00F04159)

Defendant Kwaheri Morris Rowland appeals from an order denying a petition to recall his so-called “three strikes” sentence of 41 years to life, brought pursuant to the provisions of the Three Strikes Reform Act of 2012 (the Act), codified at Penal Code section 1170.126.¹ (See *Teal v. Superior Court* (2014) 60 Cal.4th 595.)

Defendant’s petition to recall his sentence and for resentencing was denied upon determination that he was not eligible for relief under the Act because the commitment

¹ Undesignated statutory references are to the Penal Code.

offense was attempted robbery. (See §§ 1170.126, subd. (e)(1), 1192.7, subd. (c)(19) & (39).)

Counsel was appointed to represent defendant on appeal. Counsel filed an opening brief setting forth the facts of the case and requesting this court to review the record and determine whether there are any arguable issues on appeal. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436.) Counsel advised defendant of his right to file a supplemental brief within 30 days of the date of filing of the opening brief. More than 30 days elapsed, and we received no communication from defendant. Having undertaken an examination of the entire record, we find no arguable error that would result in a disposition more favorable to defendant.

DISPOSITION

The judgment (order) is affirmed.

RAYE, P. J.

We concur:

BLEASE, J.

NICHOLSON, J.