

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
THIRD APPELLATE DISTRICT

(Yolo)

----

In re J.S. et al., Persons Coming Under the Juvenile  
Court Law.

YOLO COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF  
EMPLOYMENT AND SOCIAL SERVICES,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

Q.S.,

Defendant and Appellant.

C075882

(Super. Ct. Nos. JV12441,  
JV12443)

Father appeals from a juvenile court order terminating dependency jurisdiction over the minor children, and exit orders granting mother sole legal and physical custody of the children and limiting father to supervised visitation. Father notes this appeal is a “protective appeal” intended to preserve issues raised in a prior appeal pending before this court. Rather than write a new opening brief, father incorporates by reference the opening and reply briefs he filed in that related appeal. (Rules of Court, rule 8.200(a)(5).)

Father’s sole contention in this appeal is that the orders from which he appeals are “legally unsupportable because the factual basis for those orders, and the subsequent

orders, which removed the children from his legal and physical custody, denied reunification services to him, and allowed only supervised visits between him and his children, were not supported by substantial evidence and/or were an abuse of discretion, for the reasons specified in his opening and reply briefs in the related appeal C074723.” In our opinion in *In re J.S. et al.* (Oct. 17, 2014, C074723) [nonpub. opn.], we concluded (1) substantial evidence supports the juvenile court orders removing the children from father’s custody and denying father reunification services, and (2) father forfeited his claim that the juvenile court abused its discretion in reducing his visitation. Based on our opinion in the related appeal, we affirm the juvenile court orders terminating dependency jurisdiction and the exit orders granting mother sole legal and physical custody and limiting father to supervised visitation.

DISPOSITION

The orders of the juvenile court are affirmed.

\_\_\_\_\_ HOCH \_\_\_\_\_, J.

We concur:

\_\_\_\_\_ BLEASE \_\_\_\_\_, Acting P. J.

\_\_\_\_\_ NICHOLSON \_\_\_\_\_, J.