

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

THIRD APPELLATE DISTRICT

(Butte)

----

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

BENJEMIN JOSEPH ANDERSON,

Defendant and Appellant.

C076626

(Super. Ct. No. CM038669)

Appointed counsel for defendant Benjamin Joseph Anderson asked this court to review the record and determine whether there are any arguable issues on appeal. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436 (*Wende*)). Finding no arguable error that would result in a disposition more favorable to defendant, we will affirm the judgment.

I

Following a traffic stop, a search of defendant's car on February 18, 2013, resulted in the discovery of five methadone tablets, a jar containing 17.25 grams of marijuana, a jar containing 5.34 grams of marijuana, a baggie containing 30.56 grams of marijuana, \$2,915 in currency, and a cell phone containing numerous text messages related to drug sales.

Defendant pleaded no contest to possession of methadone. (Health & Saf. Code, § 11350.) The trial court placed defendant on three years of Proposition 36 probation. Defendant subsequently admitted violating probation by moving without notifying his probation officer and failing to appear three times for drug testing.

The trial court terminated probation and sentenced defendant to two years in county jail. The trial court awarded 240 days of presentence credit (120 actual days and 120 conduct days) and imposed various fines and fees. Defendant did not obtain a certificate of probable cause.

## II

Appointed counsel filed an opening brief setting forth the facts of the case and asking this court to review the record and determine whether there are any arguable issues on appeal. (*Wende, supra*, 25 Cal.3d 436.) Defendant was advised by counsel of the right to file a supplemental brief within 30 days of the date of filing the opening brief. More than 30 days elapsed and we received no communication from defendant.

Having undertaken an examination of the entire record, we find no arguable error that would result in a disposition more favorable to defendant.

## DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.

\_\_\_\_\_ MAURO \_\_\_\_\_, Acting P. J.

We concur:

\_\_\_\_\_ DUARTE \_\_\_\_\_, J.

\_\_\_\_\_ HOCH \_\_\_\_\_, J.