

**NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS**

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

**IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

**FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT**

**DIVISION TWO**

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

VICTORIA C. BOLD,

Defendant and Appellant.

E055438

(Super.Ct.No. RIF1105443)

OPINION

APPEAL from the Superior Court of Riverside County. Gordon R. Burkhart, Judge. (Retired judge of the Riverside Super. Ct. assigned by the Chief Justice pursuant to art. VI, § 6 of the Cal. Const.) Affirmed.

Ron Boyer, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.

Defendant appeals from her conviction after a guilty plea, and 16-month prison sentence, for one felony count and three misdemeanor counts of making terrorist threats

(Pen. Code, § 422) to four separate victims on a single day. As discussed below, we affirm the judgment.

### **PROCEDURE**

On October 15, 2011, defendant made terrorist threats against four people and violated a protective order. On November 7, 2011, the People filed a first amended complaint in which they alleged four felony counts of making terrorist threats and one misdemeanor count of violating a protective order. (Pen. Code, § 273.6, subd. (a).)

On November 30, 2011, under the terms of a plea agreement, defendant pled guilty to one felony count of making terrorist threats and three misdemeanor counts of the same. The charge of violating a protective order was dismissed. On December 2, 2011, the trial court sentenced defendant to 16 months in prison on the felony and concurrent terms of 180 days each on the three misdemeanors. This appeal followed.

### **DISCUSSION**

Upon defendant's request, this court appointed counsel to represent her. Counsel has filed a brief under the authority of *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436 and *Anders v. California* (1967) 386 U.S. 738 [87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493], setting forth a statement of the case, a summary of the facts, and potential arguable issues and requesting this court to conduct an independent review of the record.

We offered defendant an opportunity to file a personal supplemental brief, but she has not done so. Pursuant to the mandate of *People v. Kelly* (2006) 40 Cal.4th 106, we have independently reviewed the record for potential error and find no arguable issues.

**DISPOSITION**

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

RAMIREZ  
P. J.

We concur:

HOLLENHORST  
J.

KING  
J.