

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION TWO

In re R.R., a Person Coming Under the
Juvenile Court Law.

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

R.R.,

Defendant and Appellant.

E058067

(Super.Ct.No. RIJ1101388)

OPINION

APPEAL from the Superior Court of Riverside County. Roger A. Luebs, Judge.

Affirmed.

Steven J. Carroll, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.

Defendant and appellant R.R. (minor) admitted to two counts of taking or driving a golf cart in violation of Penal Code section 487, subdivision (d); in return, the

remaining allegations were dismissed. Minor was declared a ward of the court pursuant to Welfare and Institutions Code section 602, and placed in the custody of his mother on various terms and conditions of probation.

Subsequently, minor continually violated the terms of his probation, and admitted to committing grand theft person. (Pen. Code, § 487, subd. (c).) Minor was removed from the custody of his parents, and eventually placed in a group home in Michigan. Minor appeals from the judgment. We find no error and affirm.

I

FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

In October 2011, then 13-year-old minor stole a golf cart from the Moreno Valley Ranch Golf Club and drove off with it. When a deputy observed the golf cart being driven by minor, the deputy attempted to stop him. However, minor continued to drive, and eventually jumped out of the golf cart. A pursuit ensued. Minor eventually was apprehended and admitted to stealing golf carts on prior occasions.

On October 18, 2011, a petition was filed alleging that minor had driven or taken a golf cart on three prior occasions (Pen. Code, § 487, subd (d)), and that minor had committed a criminal threat (Pen. Code, § 422).

On November 2, 2011, minor admitted to two counts of taking or driving a golf cart; in return, the remaining allegations were dismissed.

On December 19, 2011, minor was declared a ward of the court pursuant to Welfare and Institutions Code section 602, and placed in the custody of his mother on various terms and conditions of probation.

While in the custody of his mother, minor had several incidents of running away from home. As a result, in June 2012, minor was placed in a group home. However, minor ran away from his group home and was placed in another home in October 2012. Less than a month later, minor ran away from that group home without permission and his whereabouts were unknown.

On October 25, 2012, a Welfare and Institutions Code section 777 petition was filed alleging that minor had violated his wardship by running away from his court-ordered placement.

On November 20, 2012, minor took a cellular telephone from a victim while she was walking down a street in Los Angeles.

On November 27, 2012, a petition was filed against minor, charging him with one count of robbery. (Pen. Code, § 211.)

On December 17, 2012, minor admitted to grand theft person (Pen. Code, § 487, subd. (c)); in return the robbery charge was dismissed. The matter was thereafter transferred to Riverside County for disposition.

On December 21, 2012, minor admitted to violating his wardship by running away from his court-ordered placement. Minor was thereafter continued a ward of the court and placed in a suitable group home.

After attempts at placing minor in group homes in California, on February 1, 2013, minor was placed at a group home in Kalamazoo, Michigan.

II

DISCUSSION

Minor appealed and, upon his request, this court appointed counsel to represent him. Counsel has filed a brief under the authority of *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436 and *Anders v. California* (1967) 386 U.S. 738, setting forth a statement of the case, a summary of the facts and potential arguable issues, and requesting this court conduct an independent review of the record.

We offered minor an opportunity to file a personal supplemental brief, but he has not done so. Pursuant to the mandate of *People v. Kelly* (2006) 40 Cal.4th 106, we have independently reviewed the record for potential error and find no arguable issues.

III

DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

RAMIREZ

P. J.

We concur:

HOLLENHORST

J.

MILLER

J.