

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION TWO

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

EDUARDO NAVA MORA,

Defendant and Appellant.

E060674

(Super.Ct.No. INF1301141)

OPINION

APPEAL from the Superior Court of Riverside County. Harold W. Hopp, Judge.

Affirmed.

Melanie K. Dorian, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.

Defendant Eduardo Mora is serving 15 years in prison after a jury convicted him of residential burglary (Pen. Code, § 459)¹ and receiving stolen property (§ 496) as a second-striker. For the reasons discussed below, we affirm the judgment.²

FACTS AND PROCEDURE

On May 8, 2013, police answering the call of an observant neighbor found defendant leaving the yard of a residence to cross a street. Defendant had in his possession a number of items that been stolen from a nearby home that morning.

On September 19, 2013, the People filed an amended information charging defendant with residential burglary and receiving stolen property. The People alleged defendant had a prior strike conviction (§§ 667, subds. (c), (e)(1), & 1170.12, subd. (c)(1)), two prior prison term convictions (§ 667.5, subd. (b)) and a prior serious felony conviction (§ 667, subd. (a).)

On September 25, 2013, the jury convicted defendant of both charged offenses.

On September 26, 2013, the trial court found each of the prior conviction allegations to be true.

On February 25, 2014, the court denied defendant's motion for new trial and sentenced him to fifteen years in prison as follows: four years for the burglary, doubled to eight years for the strike prior, plus one year for each of the two prison term priors, plus

¹ All section references are to the Penal Code unless otherwise indicated.

² Defendant filed a petition for writ of habeas corpus (case No. E061823), which we ordered considered with this appeal. We will resolve that petition by separate order.

five years for the serious felony prior. The court also imposed a four-year term, doubled to eight years for the strike prior, for the receiving stolen property conviction, but stayed it pursuant to section 654.

This appeal followed.

DISCUSSION

Defendant appealed, and upon his request, this court appointed counsel to represent him. After examination of the record, counsel has filed a brief under the authority of *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436 and *Anders v. California* (1967) 386 U.S. 738, setting forth a statement of the case, a summary of the facts and potential arguable issues, and requesting this court conduct an independent review of the record.

We offered defendant an opportunity to file a personal supplemental brief, but he has not done so.

Pursuant to the mandate of *People v. Kelly* (2006) 40 Cal.4th 106, we have independently reviewed the record for potential error and find no arguable issues.

DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

RAMIREZ
P. J.

We concur:

McKINSTER
J.

MILLER
J.