

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

**IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FIFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT**

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

ROBERT SIMON APODACA,

Defendant and Appellant.

F066592

(Super. Ct. No. 1442383)

OPINION

THE COURT*

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of Stanislaus County. Nancy Ashley, Judge.

James Edward Jones, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

Office of the State Attorney General, Sacramento, California, for Plaintiff and Respondent.

-ooOoo-

* Before Kane, Acting P.J., Peña, J. and Sarkisian, J.†

† Judge of the Superior Court of Fresno County, assigned by the Chief Justice pursuant to article VI, section 6 of the California Constitution.

Appellant, Robert Simon Apodaca, pleaded no contest to one count of sale of a controlled substance (Health & Saf. Code, § 11379, subd. (a)), and admitted allegations that he had suffered three “strikes.”¹ The court imposed a prison term of four years, consisting of the two-year lower term on the instant offense, doubled pursuant to the three strikes law (Pen. Code, §§ 667, subd. (e)(1) & (e)(2)(C); 1170.12, subd. (c)(1) & (c)(2)(C)). The instant appeal followed, and the court granted appellant’s request for a certificate of probable cause (Pen. Code, § 1237.5).

Appellant’s appointed appellate counsel has filed an opening brief which summarizes the pertinent facts, with citations to the record, raises no issues, and asks that this court independently review the record. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436.) Appellant has not responded to this court’s invitation to submit additional briefing.

The prosecutor stated the following as the factual basis for appellant’s plea: On February 27, 2012, in Stanislaus County, appellant sold methamphetamine to a “confidential informant” for the Modesto Police Department.

Following independent review of the record, we have concluded that no reasonably arguable legal or factual issues exist.

DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.

¹ We use the term “strike” as a synonym for “prior felony conviction” within the meaning of the “three strikes” law (Pen. Code, §§ 667, subds. (b)-(i); 1170.12), i.e., a prior felony conviction or juvenile adjudication that subjects a defendant to the increased punishment specified in the three strikes law.