

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

**IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FIFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT**

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

RAUL HERRERA RAMOS,

Defendant and Appellant.

F071448

(Fresno Super. Ct. No. F14907798)

OPINION

THE COURT*

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of Fresno County. Timothy A. Kams Judge.

William D. Farber, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

Office of the State Attorney General, Sacramento, California, for Plaintiff and Respondent.

-ooOoo-

* Before Gomes, Acting P.J., Poochigian, J., and Detjen, J.

Appointed counsel for defendant Raul Herrera Ramos asked this court to review the record to determine whether there are any arguable issues on appeal. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436 (*Wende*)). Finding no arguable error that would result in a disposition more favorable to defendant, we affirm the judgment. We provide the following brief description of the facts and procedural history of the case. (See *People v. Kelly* (2006) 40 Cal.4th 106, 110, 124.)

On August 17, 2014, defendant was stopped for riding a bicycle without a headlight. He struggled with officers as they attempted a pat-down for officer safety. The officers arrested him and, upon searching him, found an unregistered pistol loaded with three live rounds, and a baggie of methamphetamine.

On September 3, 2014, defendant pled no contest to possession of methamphetamine while armed with a firearm (Health & Saf. Code, § 11370.1, subd. (a)). Defendant failed to appear for sentencing and was later arrested. On April 6, 2015, the trial court sentenced him to two years in prison and imposed a \$600 restitution fine (§ 1202.4); a matching parole revocation fine, stayed upon successful completion of parole (§ 1202.45); a \$40 court operations assessment fine (§ 1465.8); a \$30 conviction assessment fine (Gov. Code, § 70373); and a \$50 laboratory fee (Health & Saf. Code, § 11372.5).

On April 15, 2015, defendant filed a notice of appeal.

We appointed counsel to represent defendant on appeal. Counsel filed an opening brief that sets forth the facts of the case and requests that we review the record and determine whether there are any arguable issues on appeal. (*Wende, supra*, 25 Cal.3d 436.) Defendant was advised of the right to file a supplemental brief within 30 days of the date of filing of the opening brief. More than 30 days elapsed, and we received no communication from defendant.

Having undertaken an examination of the entire record, we find no evidence of ineffective assistance of counsel or any other arguable error that would result in a disposition more favorable to defendant.

DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.