Filed 11/30/16 P. v. Loera CA5

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FIFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

THE PEOPLE, Plaintiff and Respondent, v. LISA LOERA, Defendant and Appellant.	F072254 (Super. Ct. No. F12905662) **OPINION**

THE COURT*

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of Fresno County. Alvin M. Harrell III, Judge.

Francine R. Tone, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

Office of the State Attorney General, Sacramento, California, for Plaintiff and Respondent.

-ooOoo-

* Before Gomes, Acting P.J., Poochigian, J. and Franson, J.

Appointed counsel for defendant Lisa Loera asked this court to review the record to determine whether there are any arguable issues on appeal. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436.) Counsel filed an opening brief that sets forth the facts of the case. Defendant was advised of the right to file a supplemental brief within 30 days of the date of filing of the opening brief. More than 30 days elapsed, and we received no communication from defendant. Finding no arguable error that would result in a disposition more favorable to defendant, we affirm the judgment.

We provide the following brief description of the facts and procedural history of the case. (See *People v. Kelly* (2006) 40 Cal.4th 106, 110, 124.)

On July 15, 2012, defendant and a teenaged girl were detained by a loss prevention officer as they left a Kohl's department store in Clovis with bags of store merchandise. Defendant possessed about $1,851 worth of merchandise.

On July 31, 2012, defendant was charged with second degree commercial burglary (Pen. Code, §§ 459, 460, subd. (b)),[1] grand theft (§ 487, subd. (a)), misdemeanor possession of burglary tools (§ 466), and misdemeanor possession of drug paraphernalia (Health & Saf. Code, § 11364.1, subd. (a)).

From August 10, 2012 to June 8, 2015, defendant failed to appear.

On June 12, 2015, defendant pled no contest to grand theft. The remaining charges were dismissed. The plea form stated the trial court indicated probation with 300 days in custody. Defendant told the court she had reviewed the form with counsel and understood the plea.

On July 14, 2015, the trial court granted defendant two years' formal probation with 300 days in custody, and ordered her to pay restitution and other fines.

On September 2, 2015, defendant filed a notice of appeal. In her request for probable cause, she explained that she was appealing because she did not know she

---

1 All statutory references are to the Penal Code unless otherwise noted.

would be contesting the charge, did not know her minor accomplice would face no repercussions, and wanted to be at home to take care of her child. She said her counsel failed to break down her sentence so she could understand it, and she wanted a better sentence. The trial court denied her request for a certificate of probable cause.

Having undertaken an examination of the entire record, we find no evidence of ineffective assistance of counsel or any other arguable error that would result in a disposition more favorable to defendant.

DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.