

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
SIXTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

JAMES DARRELL ADAMS,

Defendant and Appellant.

H037155

(Santa Clara County

Super. Ct. No. C1067593)

Defendant James Darrell Adams was arrested on charges of vehicle theft after Santa Clara Police came to his residence to arrest him on an outstanding warrant, and discovered a stolen vehicle in his parking stall. Defendant was charged with one count of vehicle theft with a prior conviction. (Veh. Code, § 10851, subd. (a); Pen. Code, § 666.5). It was further alleged that defendant had five prior prison commitments. (Pen. Code, § 667.5, subd. (b).)

On September 22, 2010, appellant was found incompetent to stand trial and the criminal proceedings were suspended pursuant to Penal Code section 1370, subdivision (a)(2). The proceedings were reinstated on February 9, 2011. After the trial

court heard a *Pitchess*¹ motion, the defendant agreed to plead no contest to the vehicle theft charge. He also admitted his prior conviction and the five prison priors.

The trial court struck each of the prison priors pursuant to Penal Code section 1385, and sentenced defendant to the mid-term of 3 years in prison. The court also awarded custody credits and imposed a variety of fees and fines. This timely appeal ensued.

On appeal, appointed counsel filed an opening brief which states the case and the facts but raises no specific issues. We notified defendant of his right to submit written argument in his own behalf within 30 days. Thirty days have elapsed and we have received nothing from the defendant. Pursuant to our obligation as set forth in *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, we have reviewed the record but have found no arguable issues on appeal. Therefore, we will affirm the judgment.

¹ *Pitchess v. Superior Court* (1974) 11 Cal.3d 531.

DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.

RUSHING, P.J.

WE CONCUR:

PREMO, J.

ELIA, J.