

SUPREME COURT COPY

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF
CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff/Respondent,

v.

DOUGLAS EDWARD
DWORAK,

Defendant/Appellant.

Case No.: S135272

Ventura County
Superior Court Case No.:
2004016721

**SUPREME COURT
FILED**

FEB - 4 2014

Frank A. McGuire Clerk

Deputy

**ON AUTOMATIC APPEAL
FROM A JUDGMENT AND SENTENCE OF DEATH**

Superior Court of California, County of Ventura
Honorable Kevin J. McGee, Judge Presiding

APPELLANT'S OPENING BRIEF

Diane Nichols
State Bar No. 174830
P.O. Box 2194
Grass Valley CA 95945
530-477-7462
DianeLNichols@aol.com
Attorney for Appellant
DOUGLAS EDWARD DWORAK

DEATH PENALTY COPY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TABLE OF CONTENTS	i
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	xi
STATEMENT OF APPEALABILITY	1
INTRODUCTION	2
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	6
STATEMENT OF FACTS	11
GUILT PHASE EVIDENCE	11
PROSECUTION EVIDENCE	11
1. The Events of April 20 and 21, 2001 (Crystal Hamilton, Family, And Friends)	11
2. April 22, 2001: Discovery of the Body	18
3. The Experts	21
a. Dr. O'Halloran	21
b. DNA Results	30
c. Tides and Currents	31
d. Sexual Assault	33
e. Quantitative Sperm Analysis	34
f. Forensic Examination of Mr. Dworak's Truck	37
4. The Events of April 20, 21, and 22 (Mr. and Mrs. Dworak)	40
5. The Neighbor, The Pub, and The Beach	41

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont'd)

	Page
6. The Investigation	44
a. First Interview -- May 12, 2003	45
b. Second Interview -- July 11, 2003	50
c. Third Interview And Arrest -- July 22, 2003	53
d. Ventura Prostitution	55
e. The Co-Workers	55
5. Jury Views	56
6. Evidence Code Section 1108 Evidence	56
DEFENSE EVIDENCE	57
PENALTY PHASE EVIDENCE	66
PROSECUTION EVIDENCE	66
DEFENSE EVIDENCE	67
ARGUMENTS	75
ERRORS IN THE GUILT PHASE.....	75
I. THE TRIAL COURT COMMITTED PREJUDICIAL ERROR AND VIOLATED MR DWORAK'S 5TH, 6TH, 8TH, AND 14TH AMENDMENT RIGHTS BY EXCLUDING CRITICAL DEFENSE EVIDENCE UNDERCUTTING THE STATE'S THEORY OF THE CASE.....	75
A. Introduction	75
B. Proceedings Below	77
1. The Prosecution's Motion And The Defense Response	77

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont'd)

	Page
a. Danny Carroll.....	77
b. Evidence Relating to Rachel Daniels and John Figueroa.....	85
c. Evidence Related to Jay Campbell	87
2. The Court's Ruling	89
C. Standard of Review	93
D. The Trial Court Abused Its Discretion In Excluding Evidence As To Third Party Culpability And Other Relevant Evidence About Ms. Hamilton's Associates And Circumstances, Violating Mr. Dworak's Fifth, Sixth, And Fourteenth Amendment Rights To Present A Defense, Among Other Rights	94
E. The Errors Were Prejudicial.....	102
F. Conclusion	111
II. THE TRIAL COURT PREJUDICIALLY ERRED AND VIOLATED MR. DWORAK'S 5TH, 6TH, 8TH, AND 14TH AMENDMENT RIGHTS BY ADMITTING THREE PHOTOGRAPHS OF MS. HAMILTON, WHOLESONE AND SMILING, BUT DENIED THE DEFENSE REQUEST TO ADMIT HER BOOKING PHOTOGRAPH WHICH MAY HAVE MORE ACCURATELY SHOWN HOW SHE LOOKED THAT WEEKEND	112
A. Introduction	112
B. Proceedings Below	113
C. Standard Of Review	117
D. The Court Erred When It Excluded Ms. Hamilton's Booking Photograph	117

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont'd)

	Page
E. The Error Was Prejudicial	124
F. Conclusion	128
III. THE TRIAL COURT PREJUDICIALLY ERRED AND VIOLATED MR. DWORAK'S 5TH, 6TH, 8TH, AND 14TH AMENDMENT RIGHTS BY EXCLUDING RELEVANT EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE THAT DISCOVERY OF THE BODY WAS PUBLICLY KNOWN AT THE TIME HE WAS INTERROGATED.....	129
A. Introduction	129
B. Proceedings Below	130
C. Standard of Review	132
D. The Court Erred When It Excluded The Evidence That Ms. Hamilton's Death Was Publicly Known At The Time Mr. Dworak Was Interrogated	132
E. The Error Was Prejudicial	134
F. Conclusion	140
IV. THE TRIAL COURT PREJUDICIALLY ERRED AND VIOLATED MR. DWORAK'S 5TH, 6TH, 8TH, AND 14TH AMENDMENT RIGHTS WHEN IT ADMITTED INFLAMMATORY OTHER CRIMES EVIDENCE UNDER EVIDENCE CODE SECTION 1108.....	141
A. Introduction	141
B. Proceedings Below	142
C. Standards of Review	149

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont'd)

	Page
D. Admission Of Prior Acts Evidence For Criminal Propensity Purposes Violated Mr. Dworak's Rights To Due Process Of Law, A Fair Trial, And Reliable Guilt And Penalty Phase Verdicts Under The Federal Constitution	150
E. The Court Erred When It Failed To Exclude The Evidence Under Evidence Code Section 352's Balancing Test.....	156
F. The Court Erred When It Instructed The Jury With CALJIC No. 2.50.01 Which Permitted Them To Rely Upon Criminal Propensity To Commit Sexual Offenses As Proof Mr. Dworak Committed Murder	163
G. The Errors Were Prejudicial.....	167
H. Conclusion	171
V. THE TRIAL COURT PREJUDICIALLY ERRED AND VIOLATED MR. DWORAK'S 5TH, 6TH, 8TH, AND 14TH AMENDMENT RIGHTS WHEN IT PERMITTED COLLEAGUES OF MR. DWORAK'S WIFE TO TESTIFY TO HER MOOD DURING THE WEEKEND OF MS. HAMILTON'S DEATH	172
A. Introduction	172
B. Proceedings Below	172
C. Standard Of Review	174
D. The Court Should Have Excluded Evidence About Mrs. Dworak's Demeanor That Weekend	174
E. The Error Was Prejudicial	176
F. Conclusion	179

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont'd)

	Page
VI. THE TRIAL COURT PREJUDICIALLY ERRED AND VIOLATED MR. DWORAK'S 5TH, 6TH, 8TH, AND 14TH AMENDMENT RIGHTS BY PERMITTING THE PROSECUTION TO ELICIT TESTIMONY FROM MS. HAMILTON'S FATHER ABOUT HER FUTURE PLANS IN VIOLATION OF STATE HEARSAY RULES.....	180
A. Introduction	180
B. Proceedings Below	180
C. Standard Of Review	183
D. The Court Erred When It Permitted Ms. Hamilton's Father To Testify To Her Statements	183
E. The Error Was Prejudicial	188
F. Conclusion	191
VII. THE TRIAL COURT COMMITTED PREJUDICIAL ERROR AND VIOLATED MR. DWORAK'S RIGHTS UNDER THE 5TH, 6TH, 8TH, AND 14TH AMENDMENTS BY INSTRUCTING JURORS WITH CALJIC NO. 2.03, PERMITTING THEM TO DRAW IRRATIONAL INFERENCES OF GUILT OF THE CRIMES AND ALLEGATION CHARGED, INCLUDING MR. DWORAK'S MENTAL STATE, BASED UPON AN INFERENCE OF CONSCIOUSNESS OF GUILT FROM FALSE STATEMENTS.....	192
A. Introduction and Proceedings Below.....	192
B. Cognizability On Appeal	193
C. Standard Of Review	194

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont'd)

	Page
D. CALJIC No. 2.03 Is Extraneous To Other Instructions, Is Impermissibly Argumentative, Establishes An Unconstitutional Irrational Presumption of Guilt, And Intrudes On, And Distracts From, The Jury's Factfinding Function.....	194
E. Instructing The Jury That It Could Draw An Irrational Inference From Mr. Dworak's False Statements Was Prejudicial	201
F. Conclusion	202
VIII. THE PROSECUTOR COMMITTED PREJUDICIAL MISCONDUCT WHEN SHE DENIGRATED DEFENSE COUNSEL AND THE DEFENSE EXPERT WITNESS AND THE TRIAL COURT PREJUDICIALLY ERRED AND VIOLATED MR. DWORAK'S RIGHTS UNDER THE 5TH, 6TH, 8TH, AND 14TH AMENDMENTS WHEN IT FAILED TO SUSTAIN DEFENSE COUNSEL'S OBJECTION TO THE MISCONDUCT	203
A. Introduction	203
B. Proceedings Below	204
C. Standard Of Review	207
D. The Prosecutor Committed Misconduct By Disparaging The Defense Expert And Defense Attorneys, And The Court Erred When It Failed To Sustain The Defense Objections And Admonish The Jury.....	208
E. The Misconduct Was Prejudicial	216
F. Conclusion	220

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont'd)

	Page
IX. THE COLLECTIVE AND CUMULATIVE EFFECT OF THE ERRORS, AND THEIR CUMULATIVE PREJUDICE, UNDERMINED THE FUNDAMENTAL FAIRNESS OF THE TRIAL AS WELL AS THE RELIABILITY OF THE DEATH JUDGMENT	221
X. THIS COURT SHOULD CONDUCT A REVIEW OF THE SEALED MATERIAL RELATED TO WITNESS MARGARET ESQUIVEL TO INDEPENDENTLY DETERMINE WHETHER THE TRIAL COURT ERRED IN NOT FINDING ANY DISCOVERABLE MATERIAL.....	227
XI. CALIFORNIA'S CAPITAL SENTENCING SCHEME IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL IN NUMEROUS RESPECTS THAT VIOLATED MR. DWORAK'S RIGHTS UNDER THE 5TH, 6TH, 8TH, AND 14TH AMENDMENTS	235
A. Introduction	235
B. California's Capital Punishment Scheme, As Construed By This Court And As Applied To Mr. Dworak, Violates the Eighth Amendment Imposition of The Death Penalty Constitutes Cruel And Unusual Punishment Prohibited Under The Eighth Amendment.....	236
C. Imposition of The Death Penalty Constitutes Cruel And Unusual Punishment Prohibited Under The Eighth Amendment	237
D. Imposition of The Death Penalty Is Unconstitutional Because The Scheme Lacks Intercase Proportionality Review And Its Application Is Grossly Disproportionate To Mr. Dworak's Individual Culpability	238

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont'd)

	Page
E. Application of Penal Code Section 190.3, Subdivision (a) To Mr. Dworak Violated the Eighth Amendment	239
F. Failure To Instruct The Jury That The Burden Of Proof For Finding That The Aggravating Circumstances Outweighed The Mitigating Circumstances Was Beyond A Reasonable Doubt Violated the Fifth, Sixth, Eighth, and Fourteenth Amendments.....	240
G. The Court Instructed The Jury With CALJIC No. 8.85 In Violation of Mr. Dworak's Fifth, Sixth, Eighth, and Fourteenth Amendment Rights	242
H. California's Death Penalty Law Violates International Law	245
I. Conclusion	245
XII. THE ADMISSION OF VICTIM-IMPACT EVIDENCE ABOUT THE CAPITAL CRIME AND THE NONCAPITAL CRIME VIOLATED MR. DWORAK'S 5TH, 6TH, 8TH, AND 14TH AMENDMENT RIGHTS.....	246
A. Introduction	246
B. Proceedings Below	248
1. Victim-Impact Evidence, Circumstances Of The Capital Crime.....	248
2. Victim-Impact Evidence About The 1986 Convictions	253
C. The Court Erred In Admitting Victim-Impact Testimony About The Capital Crime	256

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont'd)

	Page
D. The Court Erred In Admitting Victim-Impact Testimony About The Non-Capital Crime	258
E. The Errors Were Prejudicial.....	260
F. Conclusion	263
XIII. THE ADMISSION OF PROSECUTORIAL ARGUMENT THAT MR. DWORAK LACKED REMORSE, AND THAT HE HAD SPECIFICALLY FAILED TO SHOW REMORSE TWO YEARS AFTER THE OFFENSES, WHEN POLICE INTERVIEWED HIM DEPRIVED HIM OF HIS 5TH, 6TH, 8TH, AND 14TH AMENDMENTS	264
A. Introduction	264
B. Proceedings Below	264
C. The Trial Court Erred When It Permitted The Prosecutor To Elicit Testimony And To Make Argument About Lack Of Remorse	269
D. The Trial Court Erred When It Permitted The Prosecutor To Elicit Testimony And Make An Argument That Mr. Dworak's Failure To Show Remorse During The Two Years Following The Crimes Was An Aggravating Factor	270
E. The Error Was Prejudicial	271
F. Conclusion	273
CONCLUSION.....	274
CERTIFICATION OF WORD COUNT.....	275
DECLARATION OF SERVICE	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>Apprendi v. New Jersey</i> (2000) 530 U.S. 466 [120 S.Ct. 2348, 147 L.Ed.2d 435]	241
<i>Arave v. Creech</i> (1993) 507 U.S. 463 [113 S.Ct. 1534, 123 L.Ed.2d 188]	256
<i>Baker v. United States</i> (9th Cir. 1962) 310 F.2d 924	199
<i>Beck v. Alabama</i> (1980) 447 U.S. 625 [85 S.Ct. 546, 13 L.Ed.2d 424]	218, 260
<i>Blakely v. Washington</i> (2004) 542 U.S. 296 [124 S.Ct. 2531, 159 L.Ed.2d 403]	241
<i>Boyde v. California</i> (1990) 494 U.S. 370 [110 S.Ct. 1190, 108 L.Ed.2d 316]	200
<i>Caldwell v. Mississippi</i> (1985) 472 U.S. 320 [105 S.Ct. 2633, 86 L.Ed.2d 231]	226
<i>Cassim v. Allstate Ins. Co.</i> (2004) 33 Cal.4th 780	210
<i>Chambers v. Mississippi</i> (1973) 410 U.S. 284 [93 S.Ct. 1038, 35 L.Ed.2d 297]	passim
<i>Chapman v. California</i> (1967) 386 U.S. 18 [87 S.Ct. 824, 17 L.Ed.2d 705]	passim
<i>Cooper v. Fitzharris</i> (9th Cir. 1978) 586 F.2d 1325	221
<i>County Court of Ulster County v. Allen</i> (1979) 442 U.S. 140 [99 S.Ct. 2213, 60 L.Ed.2d 777]	197

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>Crane v. Kentucky</i>	
(1986) 476 U.S. 683 [106 S.Ct. 2142, 90 L.Ed.2d 636]	96, 118, 133, 228
<i>Crawford v. Washington</i>	
(2004) 541 U.S. 36 [124 S.Ct. 1354, 158 L.Ed.2d 177]	152
<i>Cunningham v. California</i>	
(2007) 549 U.S. 270 [127 S.Ct. 856, 166 L.Ed.2d 856]	241
<i>Darden v. Wainwright</i>	
(1986) 477 U.S. 168 [106 S.Ct. 2464, 91 L.Ed.2d 144]	217
<i>Davis v. Alaska</i>	
(1974) 415 U.S. 308 [94 S.Ct. 1105, 39 L.Ed.2d 347]	228, 229, 230
<i>Depetris v. Kuykendall</i>	
(9th Cir. 2001) 239 F.3d 1057	104, 218
<i>Donnelly v. DeChristoforo</i>	
(1974) 416 U.S. 637 [94 S.Ct. 1868, 40 L.Ed.2d 431]	217, 224
<i>Duncan v. Henry</i>	
(1995) 513 U.S. 364 [115 S.Ct. 8876, 130 L.Ed.2d 865]	153
<i>Eddings v. Oklahoma</i>	
455 U.S. 104, 110 [102 S.Ct. 869, 71 L.Ed.2d 1]	passim
<i>Estelle v. McGuire</i>	
(1991) 502 U.S. 62 [112 S.Ct. 475, 116 L.Ed.2d 385]	passim
<i>Estelle v. Smith</i>	
(1981) 451 U.S. 454 [101 S.Ct. 1866, 68 L.Ed.2d 359]	265
<i>Francis v. Franklin</i>	
(1985) 471 U.S. 307 [105 S.Ct. 1965, 85 L.Ed.2d 344]	197

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>Garceau v. Woodford</i>	
(9th Cir. 2001) 275 F.3d 769, rev'd on other grounds	154
<i>Gilmore v. Taylor</i>	
(1993) 508 U.S. 333 [113 S.Ct. 2112, 124 L.Ed.2d 306]	218
<i>Green v. Georgia</i>	
(1979) 442 U.S. 95 [99 S.Ct. 2150, 60 L.Ed.2d 738]	95, 118, 133, 228
<i>Griffith v. Kentucky</i>	
(1987) 479 U.S. 314 [107 S.Ct. 708, 93 L.Ed.2d 649]	152
<i>Harris v. Wood</i>	
(9th Cir. 1995) 64 F.3d 1432.....	225
<i>Hicks v. Oklahoma</i>	
(1980) 447 U.S. 343 [100 S.Ct. 2227, 65 L.Ed.2d 175]	110, 158, 189, 271
<i>Hitchcock v. Dugger</i>	
(1987) 481 U.S. 393 [107 S.Ct. 1821, 95 L.Ed.2d 347]	226
<i>Holmes v. South Carolina</i>	
(2006) 547 U.S. 319 [126 S.Ct. 1727, 164 L.Ed.2d 503]	75, 96, 101
<i>Horton v. Mayle</i>	
(9th Cir. 2005) 408 F.3d 570	125
<i>Idaho v. Wright</i>	
(1990) 497 U.S. 805 [110 S.Ct. 3139, 111 L.Ed.2d 638]	184, 188
<i>In re Avena</i>	
(1999) 12 Cal.4th 694.....	222

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>In re Carpenter</i> (1995) 9 Cal.4th 634	261
<i>In re Carson's Estate</i> (1920) 184 Cal. 437.....	184
<i>In re Marquez</i> (1992) 1 Cal.4th 584	225
<i>In re Neely</i> (1993) 6 Cal.4th 901	passim
<i>In re Romeo C.</i> (1995) 33 Cal.App.4th 1838	98
<i>In re Shapiro</i> (1975) 14 Cal.3d 711.....	155
<i>In re Wilson</i> (1992) 3 Cal.4th 945	105, 218
<i>In re Winship</i> (1970) 397 U.S. 358 [90 S.Ct. 1068, 25 L.Ed.2d 368]	152
<i>Kyles v. Whitley</i> (1995) 514 U.S. 419 [115 S.Ct. 1555, 131 L.Ed.2d 490]	107, 125, 138
<i>Lankford v. Idaho</i> (1991) 500 U.S. 110 [111 S.Ct. 1723, 114 L.Ed.2d 173]	110
<i>Lockett v. Ohio</i> 1978) 438 U.S. 586, 604 [98 S.Ct. 2954, 57 L.Ed.2d 973] passim	
<i>Maynard v. Cartwright</i> (1988) 486 U.S. 356 [108 S.Ct. 1853, 100 L.Ed.2d 372]	257
<i>McClesky v. Kemp</i> (1987) 481 U.S. 279 [107 S.Ct. 1756, 95 L.Ed.2d 262]	110

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>McKinney v. Rees</i> (9th Cir. 1993) 993 F.2d 1378	151, 154
<i>Michigan v. Lucas</i> (1991) 500 U.S. 145 [111 S.Ct. 1743, 114 L.Ed.2d 205]	95, 118, 132, 228
<i>Mullaney v. Wilbur</i> (1975) 421 U.S. 684 [95 S.Ct. 1881, 44 L.Ed.2d 508]	196
<i>Murray v. Giarratano</i> (1989) 492 U.S. 1 [109 S.Ct. 2765, 106 L.Ed.2d 1]	259
<i>Nolan v. City of Anaheim</i> (2004) 33 Cal.4th 335	164
<i>Ohio v. Roberts</i> (1980) 448 U.S. 56 [100 S.Ct. 2531, 65 L.Ed.2d 597]	152
<i>Parle v. Runnels</i> (9th Cir. 2004) 387 F.3d 1030	223
<i>Payne v. Tennessee</i> (1991) 501 U.S. 808 [111 S.Ct. 2597, 115 L.Ed.2d 720] .. passim	
<i>Pennsylvania v. Ritchie</i> (1987) 480 U.S. 39 [107 S.Ct. 989, 94 L.Ed.2d 40]	94, 117, 132, 227
<i>People v. Alcalde</i> (1944) 24 Cal.2d 177.....	186
<i>People v. Alvarez</i> (1996) 14 Cal.4th 155	207
<i>People v. Anderson</i> (1968) 70 Cal.2d 15.....	198

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>People v. Anderson</i> (2001) 25 Cal.4th 543	241
<i>People v. Andrade</i> (2000) 85 Cal.App.4th 579	150, 194
<i>People v. Arias</i> (1996) 13 Cal.4th 92	193, 210, 211
<i>People v. Ashmus</i> (1991) 54 Cal.3d 932.....	149, 174, 183, 197
<i>People v. Babbitt</i> (1988) 45 Cal.3d 660.....	98
<i>People v. Bacigalupo</i> (1993) 6 Cal.4th 475	236
<i>People v. Bain</i> (1971) 5 Cal.3d 839.....	212
<i>People v. Balcom</i> (1994) 7 Cal.4th 414	159
<i>People v. Belmontes</i> (1983) 34 Cal.3d 335.....	158
<i>People v. Bemore</i> (2000) 22 Cal.4th 809	269
<i>People v. Bitaker</i> (1989) 48 Cal.3d 1046	261
<i>People v. Blair</i> (2005) 36 Cal.4th 686	242
<i>People v. Bolton</i> (1979) 23 Cal.3d 208.....	217

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>People v. Bonin</i> (1989) 47 Cal.3d 808.....	261
<i>People v. Booker</i> (2011) 51 Cal.4th 141	159
<i>People v. Boyd</i> (1985) 38 Cal.3d 762.....	270
<i>People v. Brown</i> (1988) 46 Cal.3d 432.....	passim
<i>People v. Brown</i> (2003) 31 Cal.4th 518	163, 193, 240, 242
<i>People v. Brown</i> (1988) 46 Cal.3d 432.....	190
<i>People v. Carter</i> (2003) 30 Cal.4th 1166	196
<i>People v. Castro</i> (1985) 38 Cal.3d 301.....	197
<i>People v. Castro</i> (1994) 30 Cal.App.4th 390	231
<i>People v. Cooper</i> (1991) 53 Cal.3d 771.....	122
<i>People v. Crandell</i> (1988) 46 Cal.3d 833.....	193, 200
<i>People v. Criscione</i> (1981) 125 Cal.App.3d 275.....	222
<i>People v. Crittenden</i> (1994) 9 Cal.4th 83	270

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>People v. Cruz</i> (1964) 61 Cal.2d 861.....	169
<i>People v. Cudjo</i> (1993) 6 Cal.4th 585	101
<i>People v. Cummings</i> (1993) 4 Cal.4th 1233	212
<i>People v. Cunningham</i> (2001) 25 Cal.4th 926	103
<i>People v. Daniels</i> (1991) 52 Cal.3d 815.....	196
<i>People v. Demetrulias</i> (2006) 39 Cal.4th 1	248, 258
<i>People v. Dykes</i> (2009) 46 Cal.4th 731	262
<i>People v. Edelbacher</i> (1989) 47 Cal.3d 983.....	237
<i>People v. Edwards</i> (1991) 54 Cal.3d 787.....	247, 256, 259
<i>People v. Erving</i> (1998) 63 Cal.App.4th 652	155
<i>People v. Espinosa</i> (2002) 95 Cal.App.4th 1287	155
<i>People v. Ewoldt</i> (1994) 7 Cal.4th 380	151, 156
<i>People v. Falsetta</i> (1999) 21 Cal.4th 903	passim

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>People v. Farnam</i> (2002) 28 Cal.4th 107	244
<i>People v. Fierro</i> (1991) 1 Cal.4th 173	256, 257
<i>People v. Friend</i> (2009) 47 Cal.4th 1	208, 211
<i>People v. Fudge</i> (1994) 7 Cal.4th 1075	102, 103, 104, 125
<i>People v. Garceau</i> (1993) 6 Cal.4th 140	98
<i>People v. Gonzalez</i> (1990) 51 Cal.3d 1179	270
<i>People v. Green</i> (1980) 27 Cal.3d 1.....	217
<i>People v. Griffin</i> (2004) 33 Cal.4th 536	241
<i>People v. Hall</i> (1986) 41 Cal.3d 826.....	78, 84, 93, 97
<i>People v. Hamilton</i> (1961) 55 Cal.2d 881.....	11, 186
<i>People v. Hamilton</i> (1963) 60 Cal.2d 105.....	225
<i>People v. Hammon</i> (1997) 15 Cal.4th 1117	230, 232
<i>People v. Han</i> (2000) 78 Cal.App.4th 797	186

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>People v. Harris</i> (1989) 47 Cal.3d 1047	217
<i>People v. Hayes</i> (1990) 52 Cal.3d 577.....	225
<i>People v. Hendricks</i> (1987) 43 Cal.3d 584.....	261
<i>People v. Herring</i> (1993) 20 Cal.App.4th 1066	212
<i>People v. Hill</i> (1998) 17 Cal.4th 800	209, 210, 221, 222
<i>People v. Holloway</i> (2004) 33 Cal.4th 96	258
<i>People v. Holt</i> (1984) 37 Cal.3d 436.....	222, 225
<i>People v. Ireland</i> (1969) 70 Cal.2d 522.....	185
<i>People v. Jones</i> (1996) 13 Cal.4th 535	187
<i>People v. Kelly</i> (1992) 1 Cal.4th 495	150, 194
<i>People v. Kennedy</i> (2005) 36 Cal.4th 595	239
<i>People v. Kent</i> (1981) 125 Cal.App.3d 207.....	222
<i>People v. Kraft</i> (2000) 23 Cal.4th 978	100

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>People v. Laino</i> (2004) 32 Cal.4th 878	111
<i>People v. Lee</i> (1987) 43 Cal.3d 666.....	135, 178
<i>People v. Lewis</i> (2001) 25 Cal.4th 610	149, 174, 183, 269
<i>People v. Lewis</i> (2001) 26 Cal.4th 334	195
<i>People v. Lewis</i> (2009) 46 Cal.4th 1255	153
<i>People v. Lucas</i> (1995) 12 Cal.4th 415	75, 94
<i>People v. Majors</i> (1998) 18 Cal.4th 385	186
<i>People v. McKinnon</i> (2011) 52 Cal.4th 610	153, 237, 245
<i>People v. McLain</i> (1988) 46 Cal.3d 97.....	212, 213, 214
<i>People v. Melton</i> (1988) 44 Cal.3d 713.....	258
<i>People v. Minifie</i> (1996) 13 Cal.4th 1055	202
<i>People v. Mobley</i> (1999) 72 Cal.App.4th 761	98
<i>People v. Moon</i> (2005) 37 Cal.4th 1	238

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>People v. Nelson</i> (2011) 51 Cal.4th 198	248, 258, 259
<i>People v. Nicolaus</i> (1991) 54 Cal.3d 551.....	261
<i>People v. Nieto Benitez</i> (1992) 4 Cal.4th 91	196
<i>People v. Ochoa</i> (2001) 26 Cal.4th 398	195, 270
<i>People v. Page</i> (2008) 44 Cal.4th 1	193, 217
<i>People v. Parson</i> (2008) 44 Cal.4th 332	210
<i>People v. Partlow</i> (1978) 84 Cal.App.3d 540.....	150, 194
<i>People v. Perez</i> (1962) 58 Cal.2d 229.....	209
<i>People v. Perry</i> (2006) 38 Cal.4th 302	245
<i>People v. Pollock</i> (2004) 32 Cal.4th 1153	247, 270
<i>People v. Posey</i> (2004) 32 Cal.4th 193	150, 194
<i>People v. Powell</i> (1967) 67 Cal.2d 32.....	108, 138, 189
<i>People v. Prieto</i> (2003) 30 Cal.4th 226	193, 241, 270

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>People v. Purvis</i> (1963) 60 Cal.2d 323.....	209
<i>People v. Raley</i> (1992) 2 Cal.4th 870	117
<i>People v. Ray</i> (1996) 13 Cal.4th 313	244, 261
<i>People v. Redd</i> (2010) 48 Cal.4th 691	210
<i>People v. Reeder</i> (1978) 82 Cal.App.3d 543.....	110
<i>People v. Reliford</i> (2003) 29 Cal.4th 1007	164
<i>People v. Sandoval</i> (1992) 4 Cal.4th 155	210
<i>People v. Schmeck</i> (2005) 37 Cal.4th 240	passim
<i>People v. Scott</i> (2011) 52 Cal.4th 452	176
<i>People v. Smith</i> (2003) 30 Cal.4th 581	187
<i>People v. Soto</i> (1998) 64 Cal.App.4th 966	156
<i>People v. Spector</i> (2011) 194 Cal.App.4th 1335	186
<i>People v. Stanley</i> (1995) 10 Cal.4th 764	237, 238

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>People v. Stanley</i> (2006) 39 Cal.4th 913	238
<i>People v. Stansbury</i> (1993) 4 Cal.4th 1017	269
<i>People v. Story</i> (2009) 45 Cal.4th 1282	passim
<i>People v. Stritzinger</i> (1983) 34 Cal.3d 505.....	231
<i>People v. Terry,</i> (1964) 61 Cal.2d 127.....	passim
<i>People v. Thomas</i> (2011) 51 Cal.4th 449	247
<i>People v. Torrez</i> (1995) 31 Cal.App.4th 1084	99
<i>People v. Trujillo</i> (2006) 40 Cal.4th 165	98
<i>People v. Vargas</i> (1973) 9 Cal.3d 470.....	104, 218
<i>People v. Viera</i> (2005) 35 Cal.4th 264	93, 132
<i>People v. Vindiola</i> (1979) 96 Cal.App.3d 370.....	223
<i>People v. Watson</i> (1956) 46 Cal.2d 818.....	passim
<i>People v. Weaver</i> (2012) 53 Cal.4th 1056	247

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>People v. Williams</i> (1971) 22 Cal.App.3d 58.....	222
<i>People v. Williams</i> (1988) 44 Cal.3d 883.....	120
<i>People v. Williams</i> (1992) 1 Cal.4th 1027	258
<i>People v. Williams</i> (2013) 56 Cal.4th 165	247
<i>People v. Wilson</i> (1969) 1 Cal.3d 431.....	186
<i>People v. Woods</i> (2006) 146 Cal.App.4th 106	208, 222
<i>People v. Wright</i> (1988) 45 Cal.3d 1126	196
<i>People v. Yeoman</i> (2003) 31 Cal.4th 93	98, 150
<i>People v. Yoder</i> (1979) 100 Cal.App.3d 333.....	150, 194
<i>People v. Young</i> (2005) 34 Cal.4th 1149	201, 209
<i>People v. Zapien</i> (1993) 4 Cal.4th 929	119, 122
<i>Pointer v. Texas</i> (1965) 380 U.S. 400 [85 S.Ct. 1065, 13 L.Ed.2d 923]	227
<i>Ring v. Arizona</i> (2002) 536 U.S. 584 [122 S.Ct. 2428, 153 L.Ed.2d 556]	241

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>Rock v. Arkansas</i>	
(1987) 483 U.S. 44 [107 S.Ct. 2704, 97 L.Ed.2d 37]	passim
<i>Sandstrom v. Montana</i>	
(1979) 442 U.S. 510 [99 S.Ct. 2450, 61 L.Ed.2d 39]	196
<i>Skipper v. South Carolina</i>	
(1986) 476 U.S. 1 [106 S.Ct. 1669, 90 L.Ed.2d 1]	226
<i>Smith v. Illinois</i>	
(1968) 390 U.S. 129 [88 S.Ct. 748, 19 L.Ed.2d 956]	96, 118, 133, 228
<i>Spencer v. Texas</i>	
(1967) 385 U.S. 554 [87 S.Ct. 648, 17 L.Ed.2d 606]	154
<i>Stovall v. Denno</i>	
(1967) 388 U.S. 293 [87 S.Ct. 1967, 18 L.Ed.2d 1199]	152
<i>Strickland v. Washington</i>	
(1984) 466 U.S. 668	passim
<i>United States v. Kojayan</i>	
(9th Cir. 1993) 8 F.3d 1315	125, 138, 263
<i>United States v. Lynn</i>	
(9th Cir. 1988) 856 F.2d 430	222
<i>United States v. Necoechea</i>	
(9th Cir. 1993) 986 F.2d 1273	221
<i>United States v. Pelaes</i>	
(2d. Cir. 1986) 790 F.2d 254	199
<i>United States v. Rivera</i>	
(10th Cir. 1990) 900 F.2d 1462	222
<i>United States v. Rodrigues</i>	
(9th Cir. 1998) 159 F.3d 439	214

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>United States v. Rubio-Villareal</i> (9th Cir. 1992) 967 F.2d 294 (<i>en banc</i>).....	199
<i>United States v. Tucker</i> (1972) 404 U.S. 443 [92 S.Ct. 589, 30 L.Ed.2d 592]	158
<i>United States v. Valenzuela-Bernal</i> (1982) 458 U.S. 858 [102 S.Ct. 3440, 73 L.Ed.2d 1193]	95, 118, 132, 228
<i>United States v. Wallace</i> (9th Cir. 1988) 848 F.2d 1464.....	223, 225
<i>Vasquez v. Hillery</i> (1986) 474 U.S. 254 [106 S.Ct. 617, 88 L.Ed.2d 598	153, 193
<i>Washington v. Texas</i> (1967) 388 U.S. 14 [87 S.Ct. 1920, 18 L.Ed.2d 1019]	passim
<i>Woodford v. Garceau</i> (2003) 538 U.S. 202 [123 S.Ct. 1398, 155 L.Ed.2d 363]	154
CONSTITUTIONS	
United States Constitution	
Fifth Amendment.....	passim
Sixth Amendment	passim
Eighth Amendment.....	passim
Fourteenth Amendment	passim

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CONSTITUTIONS	
California Constitution	
Article I, section 7	passim
Article I, section 15	passim
Article I, section 16	passim
Article I, section 17	passim
Article I, section 24	passim
Article I, section 28, subdivision (d)	passim
Article VI, section 11, subdivision (a)	1
STATUTES	
Business and Professions Code	
Section 6068, subdivision (b)	208
Section 6068, subdivision (f)	208
Evidence Code	
Section 210.....	98, 175, 250
Section 350.....	97, 175
Section 351.....	97, 119
Section 352.....	passim
Section 1012.....	230
Section 1014.....	230
Section 1101.....	142, 143, 144, 146
Section 1101, subdivision (a)	87

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
STATUTES	
Evidence Code	
Section 1101, subdivision (b)	144
Section 1101, subdivision (b)(1).....	145
Section 1108.....	156, 165
Section 1108, subdivision (a)	149, 156
Section 1200, subdivision (a)	183
Section 1200, subdivision (b)	183
Section 1250.....	184, 188, 191
Section 1252.....	186, 187, 188
Penal Code	
Section 187, subdivision (a)	6
Section 190.2.....	236
Section 190.2, subdivision (a)(17)(C).....	6
Section 190.3.....	passim
Section 261, subdivision (a)(2).....	6
Section 654.....	10
Section 667, subdivision (a)(1).....	6
Section 667, subdivision. (c)(2)	6
Section 667, subdivision (e)(2)	6
Section 1170.12, subdivision (a)(2)	6
Section 1170.12, subdivision (c)(2)	6

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
STATUTES	
Penal Code	
Section 1181, subdivision (5)	93
Section 1259.....	163, 193, 240, 242
Section 1291.....	165
CALIFORNIA RULES OF COURT	
Rule 8.360(b).....	275
Rule 8.630(b)	275
CALIFORNIA JURY INSTRUCTIONS, CRIMINAL	
CALJIC No. 2.00	195
CALJIC No. 2.01	110
CALJIC No. 2.03	passim
CALJIC No. 2.50.01	passim
CALJIC Nos. 8.10.....	166
CALJIC No. 8.11	166
CALJIC No. 8.85	239, 242
CALJIC No. 9.40	166
OTHER AUTHORITIES	
Witkin & Epstein, Evidence (4th ed. 2000) section 42	166