

IN THE SUPREME COURT
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

No. S262634

**Robert Zolly, Ray McFadden,
and Stephen Clayton**
Plaintiffs and Appellants,

vs.

City of Oakland
Defendant and Respondent

**Opposition to Request for Judicial Notice
of the Legislature of the State of California**

After a Published Decision from the Court of Appeal
First Appellate District, Division One, Appeal No. A154986
Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG16821376

Zacks, Freedman & Patterson, PC

Andrew M. Zacks, No. 147794
253 Montgomery St., Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94104
(415) 956-8100
az@zfplaw.com

Katz Appellate Law PC

Paul J. Katz, No. 243942
484 Lake Park Ave. #603
Oakland, CA 94610
(510) 920-0543
paul@katzappellatelaw.com

Attorneys for Appellants

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	2
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	3
LEGAL DISCUSSION	4
CONCLUSION.....	5

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>Difani v. Riverside County Oil. Co.</i> (1927) 201 Cal. 210.....	4
<i>Marshank v. Superior Court</i> (1960) 180 Cal.App.2d 602.....	4
<i>People v. Martinez</i> (2009) 47 Cal.4th 399.....	5

Statutes

Evid. Code, § 459.....	4
------------------------	---

Rules

Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.252(a).....	4, 5
Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.520(f).....	4
Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.520(g).....	4

Other Authorities

6 Witkin, Cal. Procedure (5th ed. 2008) Proceedings Without Trial, § 4.....	4
--	---

IN THE SUPREME COURT
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

No. S262634

**Robert Zolly, Ray McFadden,
and Stephen Clayton**
Plaintiffs and Appellants,

vs.

City of Oakland
Defendant and Respondent

**Opposition to Request for Judicial Notice
of the Legislature of the State of California**

LEGAL DISCUSSION

This court should not consider the California Legislature’s request for judicial notice. California Rules of Court, rule 8.520(g) provides, “To obtain judicial notice by the Supreme Court under Evidence Code section 459, a *party* must comply with rule 8.252(a).” (Italics added.) And the California Legislature is an amicus curiae, not a party. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.520(a) & (f) [differentiating between briefs by parties versus amici curiae].) Thus, the Legislature is not authorized to file a request for judicial notice.

This limitation coincides with the basic principle that “[i]n civil litigation a nonparty who has not formally intervened ordinarily cannot make a motion (*Difani v. Riverside County Oil. Co.* (1927) 201 Cal. 210, 214; *Marshank v. Superior Court* (1960) 180 Cal.App.2d 602, 605; see generally 6 Witkin, Cal. Procedure (5th ed. 2008) Proceedings Without

Trial, § 4, p. 430.)” (*People v. Martinez* (2009) 47 Cal.4th 399, 419, fn. 2.) A request for judicial notice is one such type of motion that is off-limits to nonparties. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.252(a) [describing the “motion” procedures a “party” must follow “[t]o obtain judicial notice by a reviewing court”].)

CONCLUSION

Because the California Legislature is not a party, this court should deny the Legislature’s request for judicial notice.

Respectfully submitted,
Katz Appellate Law PC

Dated: April 5, 2021

By _____ /s/ _____

Paul Katz
Attorney for Appellants

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
Supreme Court of California

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
Supreme Court of California

Case Name: **ZOLLY v. CITY OF
OAKLAND**

Case Number: **S262634**

Lower Court Case Number: **A154986**

1. At the time of service I was at least 18 years of age and not a party to this legal action.
2. My email address used to e-serve: **paul@katzappellatelaw.com**
3. I served by email a copy of the following document(s) indicated below:

Title(s) of papers e-served:

Filing Type	Document Title
OPPOSITION	21-04-05 Zolly Opp to MJN by CA Legis

Service Recipients:

Person Served	Email Address	Type	Date / Time
Cara Jenkins Office of Legislative Counsel 271432	cara.jenkins@lc.ca.gov	e-Serve	4/5/2021 10:35:42 AM
Cedric Chao CHAO ADR, PC 76045	cedric.chao@chao-adr.com	e-Serve	4/5/2021 10:35:42 AM
Barbara Parker Office of Oakland City Attorney	bjparker@oaklandcityattorney.org	e-Serve	4/5/2021 10:35:42 AM
Adrienne Weil Metropolitan Transportation Commission	aweil@mtc.ca.gov	e-Serve	4/5/2021 10:35:42 AM
Joanna Gin Best Best & Krieger LLP 323715	joanna.gin@bbklaw.com	e-Serve	4/5/2021 10:35:42 AM
Jeanette Barzelay DLA Piper LLP (US) 261780	jeanette.barzelay@dlapiper.com	e-Serve	4/5/2021 10:35:42 AM
Tamara Shepard DLA Piper 194772	tamara.shepard@dlapiper.com	e-Serve	4/5/2021 10:35:42 AM
Jason Litt Horvitz & Levy LLP 163743	jlitt@horvitzlevy.com	e-Serve	4/5/2021 10:35:42 AM
Robin Johansen Olson Remcho LLP 79084	rjohansen@olsonremcho.com	e-Serve	4/5/2021 10:35:42 AM
Andrew Zacks Zacks Freedman & Patterson, PC 147794	AZ@zfplaw.com	e-Serve	4/5/2021 10:35:42 AM

Brian Goldman Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP 279435	brian.goldman@orrick.com	e-Serve	4/5/2021 10:35:42 AM
Beth Jay HORVITZ & LEVY LLP 53820	bjay@horvitzlevy.com	e-Serve	4/5/2021 10:35:42 AM
Timothy Bittle Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Foundation 112300	tim@hjta.org	e-Serve	4/5/2021 10:35:42 AM
Barbara J. Parker Office of the City Attorney/City of Oakland 69722	bparker@oaklandcityattorney.org	e-Serve	4/5/2021 10:35:42 AM
Joshua Nelson Best Best & Krieger LLP 260803	Joshua.Nelson@bbklaw.com	e-Serve	4/5/2021 10:35:42 AM
Joshua Mcdaniel Horvitz & Levy LLP 286348	jmcdaniel@horvitzlevy.com	e-Serve	4/5/2021 10:35:42 AM
Lutfi Kharuf Best Best & Krieger 268432	lutfi.kharuf@bbklaw.com	e-Serve	4/5/2021 10:35:42 AM
Ethan Fallon Orrick Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP	efallon@orrick.com	e-Serve	4/5/2021 10:35:42 AM
Robin Johansen Remcho, Johansen & Purcell, LLP 79084	rjohansen@rjp.com	e-Serve	4/5/2021 10:35:42 AM
Maria Bee Office of the City Attorney	mbee@oaklandcityattorney.org	e-Serve	4/5/2021 10:35:42 AM
Paul Katz Katz Appellate Law PC 243932	paul@katzappellatelaw.com	e-Serve	4/5/2021 10:35:42 AM
Jeremy Rosen Horvitz & Levy LLP 192473	jrosen@horvitzlevy.com	e-Serve	4/5/2021 10:35:42 AM
Stanley Panikowski DLA PIPER LLP (US)	stanley.spanikowski@us.dlapiper.com	e-Serve	4/5/2021 10:35:42 AM

This proof of service was automatically created, submitted and signed on my behalf through my agreements with TrueFiling and its contents are true to the best of my information, knowledge, and belief.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

4/5/2021

Date

/s/Paul Katz

Signature

Katz, Paul (243932)

Last Name, First Name (PNum)

Katz Appellate Law PC

Law Firm
