

SUPREME COURT COPY

In the Supreme Court of the State of California

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,
Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

JAMES MICHAEL FAYED,
Defendant and Appellant.

CAPITAL CASE

Case No. S198132

**SUPREME COURT
FILED**

JUL - 1 2014

Frank A. McGuire Clerk

Deputy

Los Angeles County Superior Court
Case No. BA346352
The Honorable Kathleen Kennedy, Judge

RESPONDENT'S BRIEF

KAMALA D. HARRIS
Attorney General of California
LANCE E. WINTERS
Senior Assistant Attorney General
JOSEPH P. LEE
Deputy Attorney General
IDAN IVRI
Deputy Attorney General
State Bar No. 260354
300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702
Los Angeles, CA 90013
Telephone: (213) 620-6097
Fax: (213) 897-2263
E-mail: Idan.Ivri@doj.ca.gov
E-mail: DocketingLAAWT@doj.ca.gov
Attorneys for Respondent

DEATH PENALTY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Statement of the Case	1
Statement of Facts.....	2
A. Guilt Phase: The People’s Case-in-Chief.....	2
1. Appellant’s Marriage to Pam, the Operation of Their Company “Goldfinger,” Their Divorce Proceedings, and the Federal Investigation into Financial Misconduct at Goldfinger	2
2. Pam’s Murder	14
3. The Investigation into Pam’s Murder	19
4. The Surreptitiously Recorded Statement	27
B. Guilt Phase: The Defense Case-in-Chief	36
C. Guilt Phase: The People’s Rebuttal Case.....	39
D. Penalty Phase: The People’s Case-in-Chief.....	39
E. Penalty Phase: The Defense Case-in-Chief.....	45
Argument	46
I. Appellant’s Surreptitiously Recorded Statements Were Admissible	46
A. Procedural History.....	47
B. Applicable Law on the Motion to Suppress.....	53
C. The Admission of the Recorded Statement Did Not Violate Appellant’s Sixth Amendment Right to Counsel	53
1. Applicable Law	53
2. Appellant Was Not Deprived of His Sixth Amendment Right to Counsel	58
D. The Admission of the Recorded Statement Did Not Violate Appellant’s Fifth Amendment Right to Counsel or His Other <i>Miranda</i> Rights	63
1. Applicable Law	64

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
2. Appellant Was Not Deprived of His <i>Miranda</i> Rights	65
E. The Federal Court’s Denial of Bail Did Not Constitute an Unlawful Detention under the Fourth Amendment; Alternatively, the Statement Was Admissible Even If Appellant Was Unlawfully Detained	66
1. Applicable Law	66
2. The Denial of Bail Did Not Violate Appellant’s Fourth Amendment Rights; Alternatively, His Statement to Smith Was Admissible Even Assuming a Fourth Amendment Violation Occurred	68
F. Appellant’s Confrontation Clause Rights Were Not Violated Because Smith’s Statements Were Nontestimonial	72
1. Applicable Law	73
2. Appellant’s Confrontation Clause Rights Were Not Violated	74
G. The Statement Was Admissible in Its Entirety Under Evidence Code Sections 352 and 1101	77
1. Applicable Law	77
2. The Trial Court Did Not Abuse Its Discretion by Admitting Appellant’s Admissions to Smith in Their Entirety.....	79
H. Any Error Was Harmless Beyond a Reasonable Doubt	82
II. The Trial Court Correctly Remedied the Limited Jury Misconduct in This Case, and No Reversible Error Occurred	85
A. Procedural History	86
B. Applicable Law	99
1. Jury Misconduct	99

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
2. The Motion for a New Trial	102
C. The Court Conducted Proper Inquiries and Remedied the Jury Misconduct It Uncovered	103
1. The Trial Court’s Investigations into the Alleged Misconduct During Trial Were Adequate; Alternatively, Any Error Was Harmless	103
2. The Trial Court Properly Denied the Defense Motion for a New Trial Based on Juror Misconduct	107
III. There Was No Instructional Error	108
A. Applicable Law	109
B. There Was No Substantial Evidence to Support a Third-Party Culpability Instruction	110
1. Procedural History	110
2. The Court Did Not Err by Omitting a Third-Party Culpability Instruction	112
C. Appellant Forfeited the Argument That the Court Erred by Instructing the Jury with CALJIC No. 3.03; in Any Event, This Argument Is Meritless	114
D. Appellant Forfeited the Argument That the Court Erred by Using Both the CALCRIM and CALJIC Model Instructions; in Any Event, This Argument Is Meritless	116
E. Appellant Is Barred by the Doctrine of Invited Error From Arguing on Appeal That the Jury Was Inadequately Instructed Regarding Withdrawal from a Criminal Conspiracy; in Any Event, This Argument Is Meritless	117
F. The Court Did Not Err by Refusing to Instruct the Jury With CALJIC No. 2.23	119
G. The Court Did Not Err by Instructing the Jury With CALJIC No. 2.06	122

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(continued)

	Page
IV. Appellant's Fourth Amendment Rights Were Not Violated Either by the Warrantless Seizure of Evidence by Police, or by the Methods Used to Obtain the Search Warrants.....	123
A. Procedural History.....	123
B. Applicable Law	128
C. The Court Did Not Err by Denying the Motion to Suppress	128
V. Appellant's Fourth Amendment Rights Were Not Violated by the Issuance of a Search Warrant Based on Evidence Stemming from a Recorded Phone Call Between Moya and a Defense Investigator.....	131
A. Procedural History.....	131
B. Applicable Law	134
1. Wiretap Rules.....	134
2. Work Product in Criminal Proceedings	134
3. Motions to Traverse Search Warrants.....	135
C. The Conversations Between LaPalme and Moya Were Not Privileged under the Work Product Doctrine and Therefore Were Valid Evidence in Support of the Search Warrant Affidavit; in Any Event, the Affidavit Set Forth Probable Cause for the Search Warrant Even If Moya's Statements to LaPalme Were Excluded	136
VI. Appellant's Fourth Amendment Rights Were Not Violated by the Denial of the Motion to Quash the July 31, 2008, Search Warrant.....	139
A. Procedural History.....	139
B. Applicable Law	141
C. The July 31 Search Warrant Affidavit Set Forth Probable Cause for the Second Search, and the Court Did Not Err by Denying the Motion to Suppress.....	143

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
VII. The Trial Court Did Not Abuse Its Discretion by Finding No Discovery Violation by the People	146
A. Procedural History	147
B. Applicable Law	148
C. The Trial Court Did Not Err When It Held That There Was No Discovery Violation by the People; in Any Event, Any Error Was Harmless	149
VIII. The Trial Court Did Not Commit Evidentiary Error.....	152
A. Applicable Law	153
B. The Trial Court Did Not Err by Admitting Evidence of the Federal Indictment	154
C. The Trial Court Did Not Err by Admitting Neve’s Hearsay Testimony	160
D. The Trial Court Did Not Err by Admitting the Crime Scene Photographs or the Other Photographs of Pam.....	162
E. Appellant Forfeited the Argument That the Trial Court Erred by Limiting Aveis’s Cross-Examination Regarding Goldfinger’s Potential Defenses Against the Federal Investigation; in Any Event, the Trial Court Did Not Err in This Regard.....	164
F. Appellant Forfeited the Argument That the Trial Court Erred By Limiting Herring’s Cross-Examination Regarding Goldfinger’s Liquidation; in Any Event, the Trial Court Did Not Err in This Regard	166
G. Appellant Forfeited the Argument That the Trial Court Erred by Limiting Taboga’s Direct Examination Regarding Mercedes’s Alleged Hatred of Pam, and the Trial Court Did Not Err by Excluding Taboga’s March 9, 2011, Letter.....	169
H. The Trial Court Did Not Err by Excluding Evidence That Appellant Was Physically Incapable of Committing Murder for Hire.....	172

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
IX. There Was Sufficient Evidence for the Jury to Find The Special Circumstances True	173
A. Applicable Law	173
B. There Was Sufficient Evidence That Appellant Killed Pam for Financial Gain.....	175
C. There Was Sufficient Evidence That Pam Was Killed by Means of Lying in Wait.....	178
X. The Prosecutors Did Not Commit Misconduct	184
A. Applicable Law	184
B. The Prosecutor’s Arguments Regarding Pam’s Suffering Did Not Prejudice the Defense.....	185
C. Appellant Forfeited the Argument That the Prosecutor Misstated the Law Regarding Withdrawal; in Any Event, the Argument Is Meritless	188
D. Appellant Forfeited the Argument That the Prosecutor Improperly Used a “Real Life” Example to Explain Aiding and Abetting; in Any Event, the Argument Is Meritless.....	191
E. Appellant Forfeited the Argument That the Prosecutor Misstated the Law on Lying in Wait; in Any Event, the Argument Is Meritless.....	192
F. Appellant Forfeited the Argument That the Prosecutor Made Various Arguments Based on Facts Outside the Record; in Any Event, the Argument Is Meritless	194
G. The Cumulative Effect of the Alleged Misconduct Does Not Warrant Reversal.....	200
XI. Appellant’s Due Process and Fair Trial Rights Were Not Violated by Admission of Evidence at the Penalty Phase.....	201
A. Applicable Law	201

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
B. The Court Did Not Err by Admitting the Letter from Pam to Her Daughters As Victim Impact Evidence	202
C. The Court Did Not Err by Admitting Photographs of Pam’s Gravesite As Victim Impact Evidence	207
D. The Court Did Not Err by Excluding Melanie Jackman’s Testimony Regarding Appellant’s Feelings for Pam As Mitigation Evidence	208
XII. Appellant Forfeited the Claim That His Rights Were Violated by the Prosecution’s Penalty Phase Closing Arguments; in Any Event, Appellant’s Positions Are Meritless	210
A. Applicable Law	211
B. Appellant Forfeited the Argument That the Prosecutor Invoked a Sense of Vengeance; in Any Event, the Argument Is Meritless.....	211
C. Appellant Forfeited the Argument That the Prosecutor Relied on Facts Not in Evidence; in Any Event, the Argument Is Meritless.....	213
XIII. There Was No Cumulative Error Which Justifies Reversal	215
XIV. California’s Death Penalty Does Not Violate the Federal or California Constitutions	216
A. The Special Circumstances Set Forth in Section 190.2, Factor (a), Are Not Impermissibly Broad	216
B. The Death Penalty Is Not Applied in an Arbitrary or Capricious Manner	216
C. The Death Penalty Is Not Unconstitutionally Severe Punishment	217
D. The Death Penalty Serves the Goals of Retribution and Deterrence	218
E. The Death Penalty Does Not Apply an Improper Burden of Proof.....	218

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(continued)

	Page
F. The Death Penalty Is Not Unconstitutional Due to the Lack of an Instruction That the Absence of Mitigating Factors Is Not an Aggravating Factor.....	220
G. The Death Penalty Is Not Unconstitutional for Failing to Require Inter-Case Proportionality	220
H. The Use of the Adjectives “Extreme” and “Substantial” In Section 190.3, Subdivisions (d) and (g), Does Not Render the Death Penalty Unconstitutional	221
I. The Death Penalty Is Not Unconstitutional Due to Its Reliance on Unadjudicated Criminal Activity	221
J. The Death Penalty Is Not Unconstitutional for Providing Fewer Procedural Protections Than Defendants Receive in Non-Capital Cases.....	221
Conclusion	223

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page
CASES	
<i>Albright v. Oliver</i> (1994) 510 U.S. 266 [114 S.Ct. 807, 127 L.Ed.2d 114]	67, 71
<i>Apprendi v. New Jersey</i> (2000) 530 U.S. 466 [120 S.Ct. 2348, 147 L.Ed.2d 435]	219
<i>Arizona v. Fulminante</i> (1991) 499 U.S. 279 [111 S.Ct. 1246, 113 L.Ed.2d 302]	65
<i>Arizona v. Gant</i> (2009) 556 U.S. 332 [129 S.Ct. 1710, 173 L.Ed.2d 485]	130
<i>Bartkus v. Illinois</i> (1959) 359 U.S. 121 [79 S.Ct. 676, 3 L.Ed.2d 684]	56, 57, 62, 63
<i>Brady v. Maryland</i> (1963) 373 U.S. 83 [83 S.Ct. 1194, 10 L.Ed.2d 215]	151
<i>Bridge v. Ruggles</i> (1927) 202 Cal. 326.....	153
<i>Chapman v. California</i> (1967) 386 U.S. 18 [87 S.Ct. 824, 17 L.Ed.2d 705]	passim
<i>Chimel v. California</i> (1969) 395 U.S. 752 [89 S.Ct. 2034, 23 L.Ed.2d 685]	128, 129
<i>Costa v. Humore, Inc.</i> (1996) 45 Cal.App.4th 1335.....	142
<i>County of Riverside v. McLaughlin</i> (1991) 500 U.S. 44 [111 S.Ct. 1661, 114 L.Ed.2d 49]	71, 142
<i>Crawford v. Washington</i> (2004) 541 U.S. 36 [124 S.Ct. 1354, 158 L.Ed.2d 177]	73, 74, 75
<i>D'Amico v. Board of Medical Examiners</i> (1974) 11 Cal.3d 1.....	167

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	Page
<i>Davis v. Washington</i> (2006) 547 U.S. 813 [126 S.Ct. 2266, 165 L.Ed.2d 224] ...	74, 75, 121
<i>Edwards v. Arizona</i> (1981) 451 U.S. 477 [101 S.Ct. 1880, 68 L.Ed.2d 378]	64
<i>Escobedo v. State of Illinois</i> (1964) 378 U.S. 478 [84 S.Ct. 1758, 12 L.Ed.2d 977]	54
<i>Franks v. Delaware</i> (1978) 438 U.S. 154 [98 S.Ct. 2674, 57 L.Ed.2d 667]	135
<i>Furman v. Georgia</i> (1972) 408 U.S. 238 [92 S.Ct. 2726, 33 L.Ed.2d 346]	216
<i>Gibble v. Car-Lene Research, Inc.</i> (1998) 67 Cal.App.4th 295.....	116
<i>Gideon v. Wainwright</i> (1963) 472 U.S. 335 [83 S.Ct. 792, 9 L.Ed.2d 799]	53
<i>Gregg v. Georgia</i> (1976) 428 U.S. 153, 96 S.Ct. 2909, 49 L.Ed.2d 859	216
<i>Hendricks v. Vasquez</i> (9th Cir. 1992) 974 F.2d 1099.....	55, 56
<i>Hudson v. Palmer</i> (1984) 468 U.S. 517 [104 S.Ct. 3194, 82 L.Ed.2d 393]	66
<i>Illinois v. Gates</i> (1983) 462 U.S. 213 [103 S.Ct. 2317, 76 L.Ed.2d 527]	135, 142
<i>Illinois v. Perkins</i> (1990) 496 U.S. 292 [110 S.Ct. 2394, 110 L.Ed.2d 243]	64, 65
<i>In re Carpenter</i> (1995) 9 Cal.4th 634	101, 218

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	Page
<i>In re Christopher R.</i> (1989) 216 Cal.App.3d 901	141
<i>In re Hamilton</i> (1999) 20 Cal. 4th 273	100, 101
<i>In re Hitchings</i> (1993) 6 Cal.4th 97	100
<i>In re Justin B.</i> (1999) 69 Cal.App.4th 879.....	129
<i>In re Lucas</i> (2004) 33 Cal.4th 682	100, 101, 104, 107
<i>In re Weiner</i> (1995) 32 Cal.App.4th 441.....	67
<i>Irvin v. Dowd</i> (1961) 366 U.S. 717 [81 S.Ct. 1639, 6 L.Ed.2d 751]	99
<i>Izazaga v. Superior Court</i> (1991) 54 Cal.3d 356.....	151
<i>Johnson v. United States</i> (1948) 333 U.S. 10, [68 S.Ct. 367, 92 L.Ed. 436]	78
<i>Jordan v. Haunani-Henry</i> (9th Cir. 1999) 199 F.3d 1332.....	151
<i>Kirby v. Illinois</i> (1972) 406 U.S. 682 [92 S.Ct. 682, 32 L.Ed.2d 411]	59, 60
<i>Lanza v. State of New York</i> (1962) 370 U.S. 139 [82 S.Ct. 1218, 8 L.Ed.2d 384]	66
<i>Lockett v. Ohio</i> (1978) 438 U.S. 586 [98 S.Ct. 2954, 57 L.Ed.2d 973]	202

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	Page
<i>Maine v. Moulton</i> (1985) 474 U.S. 159 [106 S.Ct. 477, 88 L.Ed.2d 481]	60
<i>Massiah v. United States</i> (1964) 377 U.S. 201 [84 S.Ct. 1199, 12 L.Ed.2d 246]	54, 63
<i>McNeil v. Wisconsin</i> (1991) 501 U.S. 171 [111 S.Ct. 2204, 115 L.Ed.2d 158]	55, 59
<i>Michigan v. Bryant</i> (2011) __ U.S. __, 131 S.Ct. 1143, 179 L.Ed.2d 93	74, 75, 121
<i>Miranda v. Arizona</i> (1966) 384 U.S. 436 [86 S.Ct. 1602, 16 L.Ed.2d 694]	passim
<i>Moran v. Burbine</i> (1986) 475 U.S. 412 [106 S.Ct. 1135, 89 L.Ed.2d 410].....	54, 58, 59, 60
<i>Payne v. Tennessee</i> (1991) 501 U.S. 808 [111 S.Ct. 2597, 115 L.Ed.2d 720]	201, 202
<i>People v. Albarran</i> (2007) 149 Cal.App.4th 214.....	53, 153
<i>People v. Allen</i> (2000) 78 Cal.App.4th 445.....	128, 135
<i>People v. Arauz</i> (2012) 210 Cal.App.4th 1394.....	74, 75, 78
<i>People v. Ayala</i> (2000) 23 Cal.4th 225	149, 152
<i>People v. Bacon</i> (2010) 50 Cal.4th 1082	109
<i>People v. Barnett</i> (1998) 17 Cal.4th 1044	157, 184

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	Page
<i>People v. Battle</i> (2011) 198 Cal.App.4th 50.....	177, 178, 181, 193
<i>People v. Beeman</i> (1984) 35 Cal.3d 547.....	192
<i>People v. Bell</i> (1989) 49 Cal.3d 502.....	184
<i>People v. Bell</i> (2007) 40 Cal.4th 582	219
<i>People v. Beltran</i> (2013) 56 Cal.4th 935	116
<i>People v. Bennett</i> (2009) 45 Cal.4th 577	214
<i>People v. Bigelow</i> (1984) 37 Cal.3d 731.....	177, 178
<i>People v. Bojorquez</i> (2002) 104 Cal.App.4th 335.....	53, 153
<i>People v. Bolin</i> (1998) 18 Cal.4th 297	174
<i>People v. Bonilla</i> (2007) 41 Cal.4th 313	passim
<i>People v. Booker</i> (2011) 51 Cal.4th 141	185, 198, 200
<i>People v. Box</i> (2000) 23 Cal.4th 1153	215
<i>People v. Bradford</i> (1997) 15 Cal.4th 1229	146

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	Page
<i>People v. Brasure</i> (2008) 42 Cal.4th 1037	217
<i>People v. Brendlin</i> (2008) 45 Cal.4th 262	68, 71
<i>People v. Burgener</i> (1986) 41 Cal.3d 505.....	100
<i>People v. Buskirk</i> (2009) 175 Cal.App.4th 1436.....	64
<i>People v. Cage</i> (2007) 40 Cal.4th 965	73, 74
<i>People v. Cahill</i> (1993) 5 Cal.4th 478	54
<i>People v. Cain</i> (1995) 10 Cal.4th 1	202
<i>People v. Camarella</i> (1991) 54 Cal.3d 592.....	143
<i>People v. Campa</i> (1984) 36 Cal.3d 870.....	136
<i>People v. Carpenter</i> (1997) 15 Cal.4th 312	202, 210, 219
<i>People v. Carpenter</i> (1999) 21 Cal.4th 1016	128, 130, 146
<i>People v. Carter</i> (2003) 30 Cal.4th 1166	54, 58
<i>People v. Clair</i> (1992) 2 Cal.4th 629	101

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	Page
<i>People v. Clark</i> (1970) 6 Cal.App.3d 658.....	209
<i>People v. Clark</i> (2011) 52 Cal.4th 856	221
<i>People v. Coffman</i> (2004) 34 Cal.4th 1	109, 112
<i>People v. Colbert</i> (2007) 157 Cal.App.4th 1068.....	128
<i>People v. Cole</i> (2004) 33 Cal.4th 1158	79, 81, 211
<i>People v. Collins</i> (2010) 49 Cal.4th 175	102
<i>People v. Connelly</i> (1925) 195 Cal. 584.....	78
<i>People v. Cooper</i> (1991) 53 Cal.3d 771.....	170
<i>People v. Cox</i> (1991) 53 Cal.3d 618.....	102
<i>People v. Crew</i> (2003) 31 Cal.4th 822	passim
<i>People v. Cruz</i> (2008) 44 Cal.4th 636	201, 205
<i>People v. D'Arcy</i> (2010) 48 Cal.4th 257	207
<i>People v. Danks</i> (2003) 32 Cal.4th 269	101

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	Page
<i>People v. Davis</i> (1995) 10 Cal.4th 463	99, 104
<i>People v. Davis</i> (2009) 46 Cal.4th 539	154
<i>People v. DeHoyos</i> (2013) 57 Cal.4th 79	117, 118
<i>People v. Diaz</i> (2011) 51 Cal.4th 84	130
<i>People v. Doolin</i> (2009) 45 Cal.4th 390	54
<i>People v. Dorado</i> (1965) 62 Cal.2d 338.....	54
<i>People v. Dorsey</i> (1995) 34 Cal.App.4th 694.....	102, 103, 108
<i>People v. Dyer</i> (1988) 45 Cal.3d 26.....	202
<i>People v. Edelbacher</i> (1989) 47 Cal.3d 983.....	77, 80
<i>People v. Edwards</i> (1991) 54 Cal.3d 787,833-836	201
<i>People v. Elliot</i> (2012) 53 Cal.4th 535	216
<i>People v. Estrada</i> (1995) 11 Cal.4th 568	109
<i>People v. Eubanks</i> (2011) 53 Cal.4th 110	145

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	Page
<i>People v. Ewoldt</i> (1994) 7 Cal.4th 380	79, 80
<i>People v. Farnell</i> (1957) 156 Cal.App.2d 393.....	121
<i>People v. Felix</i> (1977) 72 Cal.App.3d 879.....	78
<i>People v. Fisk</i> (1975) 50 Cal.App.3d 364.....	148
<i>People v. Fiu</i> (2008) 165 Cal.App.4th 360.....	190
<i>People v. Flood</i> (1998) 18 Cal.4th 470	110
<i>People v. Franco</i> (2009) 180 Cal.App.4th 713.....	109, 110, 114, 116
<i>People v. Freeman</i> (1987) 193 Cal.App.3d 337.....	177, 178
<i>People v. Friend</i> (2009) 47 Cal.4th 1	123
<i>People v. Frye</i> (1998) 18 Cal.4th 894	54
<i>People v. Fuiava</i> (2012) 53 Cal.4th 622	217
<i>People v. Gamache</i> (2010) 48 Cal.4th 347	100, 101, 212
<i>People v. Gibson</i> (2001) 90 Cal.App.4th 371.....	141

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	Page
<i>People v. Gingell</i> (1931) 211 Cal. 532.....	192
<i>People v. Glaser</i> (1995) 11 Cal.4th 354	68, 72
<i>People v. Gonzalez</i> (1990) 51 Cal.3d 1179.....	143, 184
<i>People v. Gray</i> (2005) 37 Cal.4th 168	189
<i>People v. Hamilton</i> (2009) 45 Cal.4th 863	77
<i>People v. Hamlin</i> (2009) 170 Cal.App.4th 1412.....	174
<i>People v. Hardy</i> (1992) 2 Cal.4th 86	160, 175, 179, 193
<i>People v. Harris</i> (2008) 43 Cal.4th 1269	101, 104, 113
<i>People v. Harris</i> (2013) 57 Cal.4th 804	109, 113, 119
<i>People v. Harrison</i> (2013) 213 Cal.App.4th 1373.....	101
<i>People v. Hartsch</i> (2010) 49 Cal.4th 472	58, 63, 109
<i>People v. Hayes</i> (1999) 21 Cal.4th 1211	102, 103, 107, 108
<i>People v. Hedgecock</i> (1990) 51 Cal.3d 395.....	102

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	Page
<i>People v. Hepner</i> (1994) 21 Cal.App.4th 761	136
<i>People v. Hernandez</i> (2004) 33 Cal.4th 1040	120
<i>People v. Hill</i> (1998) 17 Cal.4th 800	184, 185, 200
<i>People v. Hobbs</i> (1994) 7 Cal.4th 948	135
<i>People v. Hochanadel</i> (2009) 176 Cal.App.4th 997	142
<i>People v. Holt</i> (1997) 15 Cal.4th 619	174
<i>People v. Howard</i> (1988) 44 Cal.3d 375	176, 177
<i>People v. Jackson</i> (1989) 49 Cal.3d 1170	76, 121
<i>People v. Jackson</i> (1996) 13 Cal.4th 1164	123
<i>People v. Jackson</i> (2014) 58 Cal.4th 724	207
<i>People v. Jacobs</i> (2000) 78 Cal.App.4th 1444	121
<i>People v. James</i> (1990) 219 Cal.App.3d 414	144
<i>People v. Jasmin</i> (2008) 167 Cal.App.4th 98	185, 186

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	Page
<i>People v. Jenkins</i> (2000) 22 Cal.4th 900	passim
<i>People v. Jennings</i> (2010) 50 Cal.4th 616	217
<i>People v. Jones</i> (2013) 217 Cal.App.4th 735.....	145
<i>People v. Jordan</i> (1986) 42 Cal.3d 308.....	passim
<i>People v. Kelly</i> (2007) 42 Cal.4th 763	202, 207
<i>People v. Kraft</i> (2000) 23 Cal.4th 978	136, 142, 146
<i>People v. Lee</i> (2011) 51 Cal.4th 620	216, 219, 220, 222
<i>People v. Leonard</i> (2007) 40 Cal.4th 1370	186, 187
<i>People v. Lewis</i> (1990) 50 Cal.3d 262.....	194
<i>People v. Lewis</i> (2004) 117 Cal.App.4th 246.....	199
<i>People v. Lewis</i> (2006) 39 Cal.4th 970	194, 201, 214
<i>People v. Lewis</i> (2008) 43 Cal.4th 415	220, 221
<i>People v. Lewis</i> (2009) 46 Cal.4th 1255	154

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	Page
<i>People v. Lightsey</i> (2012) 54 Cal.4th 668	217, 220
<i>People v. Lim</i> (2000) 85 Cal.App.4th 1289, 102 Cal.Rptr.2d 604.....	142
<i>People v. Linton</i> (2013) 56 Cal.4th 1146	102, 108
<i>People v. Lomax</i> (2010) 49 Cal.4th 530	128
<i>People v. Lopez</i> (2013) 56 Cal.4th 1028	218
<i>People v. Loy</i> (2001) 52 Cal.4th 46	219
<i>People v. Luera</i> (2001) 86 Cal.App.4th 513.....	135
<i>People v. Marshall</i> (1990) 50 Cal.3d 907.....	101
<i>People v. Marshall</i> (1996) 13 Cal.4th 799	190, 218
<i>People v. Martinez</i> (2010) 47 Cal.4th 911	216, 222
<i>People v. McCoy</i> (2001) 25 Cal.4th 1111	182
<i>People v. McDowell</i> (2012) 54 Cal.4th 395	213
<i>People v. McKinnon</i> (2011) 52 Cal.4th 610	220

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	Page
<i>People v. Moore</i> (2011) 51 Cal.4th 386	217, 219
<i>People v. Morrow</i> (1994) 30 Cal.App.4th 1252.....	138
<i>People v. Mower</i> (2003) 28 Cal.4th 457	110
<i>People v. Navarro</i> (2006) 138 Cal.App.4th 146.....	138
<i>People v. Nguyen</i> (1995) 40 Cal.App.4th 28.....	192
<i>People v. Noguera</i> (1992) 4 Cal.4th 599	161
<i>People v. Northcott</i> (1930) 209 Cal. 639.....	159
<i>People v. Ochoa</i> (1993) 6 Cal.4th 1199	173, 215
<i>People v. Orange County Charitable Services</i> (1999) 73 Cal.App.4th 1054.....	167
<i>People v. Page</i> (2008) 44 Cal.4th 1	220
<i>People v. Panah</i> (2005) 35 Cal.4th 395	194, 201, 214
<i>People v. Parson</i> (2008) 44 Cal.4th 332	211, 221
<i>People v. Pierce</i> (1979) 24 Cal.3d 199.....	108

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	Page
<i>People v. Polk</i> (2010) 190 Cal.App.4th 1183.....	164, 165, 209
<i>People v. Prince</i> (2007) 40 Cal.4th 1179	106, 201
<i>People v. Raley</i> (1992) 2 Cal.4th 870	211
<i>People v. Ramos</i> (2008) 163 Cal.App.4th 1082.....	109
<i>People v. Redd</i> (2010) 48 Cal.4th 691	222
<i>People v. Richardson</i> (2008) 43 Cal.4th 959	109, 115, 189
<i>People v. Riggs</i> (2010) 44 Cal.4th 911	219, 220, 221, 222
<i>People v. Roberts</i> (2010) 184 Cal.App.4th 1149.....	134
<i>People v. Rodriguez</i> (1999) 20 Cal.4th 1	174
<i>People v. Rogers</i> (1986) 187 Cal.App.3d 1001.....	145
<i>People v. Rogers</i> (2006) 39 Cal.4th 826	109, 156
<i>People v. Rogers</i> (2013) 57 Cal.4th 296	79, 156, 158
<i>People v. Russo</i> (2001) 25 Cal.4th 1124	119

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	Page
<i>People v. Samayoa</i> (1997) 15 Cal.4th 795	passim
<i>People v. Sanders</i> (1995) 11 Cal.4th 475	211, 212, 213
<i>People v. Sandoval</i> (1992) 4 Cal.4th 155	201
<i>People v. Saunders</i> (1993) 5 Cal.4th 580	passim
<i>People v. Scheid</i> (1997) 16 Cal.4th 1	162, 163
<i>People v. Sconce</i> (1991) 228 Cal.App.3d 693.....	119
<i>People v. Scott</i> (2011) 52 Cal.4th 452	134, 135, 136, 174
<i>People v. Seaton</i> (2001) 26 Cal.4th 598	215
<i>People v. Shelmire</i> (2005) 130 Cal.App.4th 1044.....	189, 190
<i>People v. Simpson</i> (1954) 43 Cal.2d 553.....	194
<i>People v. Slayton</i> (2001) 26 Cal.4th 1076	55, 59
<i>People v. Smith</i> (1973) 33 Cal.App.3d 51.....	163, 164
<i>People v. Smith</i> (2005) 35 Cal.4th 334	219

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	Page
<i>People v. Smith</i> (2009) 179 Cal.App.4th 986.....	162, 163
<i>People v. Smithey</i> (1999) 20 Cal.4th 936	167
<i>People v. Snow</i> (1987) 44 Cal.3d 216.....	209
<i>People v. Soper</i> (2009) 45 Cal.4th 759	79, 80
<i>People v. Souza</i> (2012) 54 Cal.4th 90	217
<i>People v. Stanley</i> (1995) 10 Cal.4th 764	174
<i>People v. Stanley</i> (1999) 72 Cal.App.4th 1547.....	142
<i>People v. Stansbury</i> (1993) 4 Cal.4th 1017	186, 187, 188
<i>People v. Stone</i> (2008) 160 Cal.App.4th 323.....	109
<i>People v. Tate</i> (2010) 49 Cal.4th 635	64, 65, 220
<i>People v. Thomas</i> (2007) 150 Cal.App.4th 461.....	115, 116
<i>People v. Thompson</i> (1980) 27 Cal.3d 303.....	78
<i>People v. Thompson</i> (2006) 38 Cal.4th 811	129

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	Page
<i>People v. Thornton</i> (2007) 41 Cal.4th 391	202, 208, 221
<i>People v. Thuss</i> (2003) 107 Cal.App.4th 221.....	135, 136, 137, 139
<i>People v. Tillis</i> (1998) 18 Cal.4th 284	149, 150
<i>People v. Tuadles</i> (1992) 7 Cal.App.4th 1777.....	142
<i>People v. Valencia</i> (2008) 43 Cal.4th 268	206
<i>People v. Verdugo</i> (2010) 50 Cal.4th 263	149, 152, 205, 206
<i>People v. Viray</i> (2005) 134 Cal.App.4th 1186.....	54, 58
<i>People v. Wader</i> (1993) 5 Cal.4th 610	55, 59, 174
<i>People v. Watson</i> (1956) 46 Cal.2d 818.....	passim
<i>People v. Weaver</i> (2012) 53 Cal.4th 1056	217
<i>People v. Westbrook</i> (1996) 43 Cal.App.4th 220.....	57, 62
<i>People v. Whalen</i> (2013) 56 Cal.4th 1	221
<i>People v. Whisenhunt</i> (2008) 44 Cal.4th 174	219, 220, 221, 222

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	Page
<i>People v. Williams</i> (1977) 68 Cal.App.3d 36.....	55, 219, 221
<i>People v. Zambrano</i> (2007) 41 Cal.4th 1082	218
<i>People v. Zamudio</i> (2008) 43 Cal.4th 327	134, 136, 207
<i>People v. Zapien</i> (1993) 4 Cal.4th 929	167
<i>People v. Zepeda</i> (2001) 87 Cal.App.4th 1183.....	66
<i>Pulley v. Harris</i> (1984) 465 U.S. 37 [104 S.Ct. 871, 79 L.Ed.2d 29]	220
<i>Riley v. California</i> (June 25, 2014, No. 13-132) __U.S.__ [2014 WL 2864483]	130
<i>Riley v. Dorton</i> (4th Cir. 1997) 115 F.3d 1159.....	67
<i>Rothgery v. Gillespie County, Texas</i> (2008) 554 U.S. 191 [128 S.Ct. 2578, 171 L.Ed.2d 366]	54, 58
<i>Sandoval v. Southern Cal. Enterprises</i> (1950) 98 Cal.App.2d 240.....	76
<i>Skelton v. Superior Court</i> (1969) 1 Cal.3d 144.....	136
<i>Stansbury v. California</i> (1994) 511 U.S. 318 [114 S.Ct. 1526, 128 L.Ed.2d 293]	186
<i>Terry v. Ohio</i> (1968) 392 U.S. 1 [20 L.Ed.2d 889, 88 S.Ct. 1868]	129

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	Page
<i>Texas v. Cobb</i> (2001) 532 U.S. 162 [121 S.Ct. 1335, 149 L.Ed.2d 321]	55, 59
<i>Tison v. Arizona</i> (1987) 481 U.S. 137, [107 S.Ct. 1676, 95 L.Ed. 2d 127]	183
<i>Torres v. City of Madera</i> (9th Cir. 2008) 524 F.3d.....	68
<i>Torres v. McLaughlin</i> (3d Cir. 1998) 163 F.3d 169.....	67, 70
<i>United States v. Abuhamra</i> (2d Cir. 2004) 389 F.3d 309.....	67
<i>United States v. Ali</i> (D.D.C. 2011) 793 F.Supp.2d 386	69
<i>United States v. Alvarado</i> (4th Cir. 2006) 440 F.3d 191.....	55
<i>United States v. Avants</i> (5th Cir. 2002) 278 F.3d 510.....	56
<i>United States v. Coker</i> (1st Cir. 2005) 433 F.3d 39	passim
<i>United States v. Cortez</i> (1981) 449 U.S. 411 [101 S.Ct. 690, 66 L.Ed.2d 621]	141, 143
<i>United States v. Figueroa-Soto</i> (9th Cir. 1991) 938 F.2d 1015	56, 57
<i>United States v. Fortna</i> (5th Cir. 1985) 769 F.2d 243.....	59, 69
<i>United States v. Gouveia</i> (1984) 467 U.S. 180 [104 S.Ct. 2292, 81 L.Ed.2d 146]	54

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	Page
<i>United States v. Guzman</i> (1st Cir. 1996) 85 F.3d 823	56, 57, 62
<i>United States v. Hines</i> (9th Cir. 1992) 963 F.2d 255	55
<i>United States v. Leon</i> (1984) 468 U.S. 897 [104 S.Ct. 3405, 82 L.Ed.2d 677]	142
<i>United States v. Mills</i> (2nd Cir. 2005) 412 F.3d 325	56
<i>United States v. Olano</i> (1993) 507 U.S. 725 [113 S.Ct. 1770, 123 L.Ed.2d 508]	164
<i>United States v. Winsor</i> (9th Cir. 1986) 785 F.2d 755	59, 62, 69
<i>Wong Sun v. United States</i> (1963) 371 U.S. 471 [83 S.Ct. 407, 9 L.Ed.2d 441]	66, 128
///	
///	
///	
///	
///	
///	
///	
///	
///	
///	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	Page
STATUTES	
18 U.S.C. § 1960.....	154
18 U.S.C. § 2510-2520	134
18 U.S.C. § 3142.....	66, 70
18 U.S.C. § 3142, subds. (a)-(c)	66
18 U.S.C. § 3142, subd. (e)(1).....	67, 69, 70, 71
18 U.S.C. § 3142, subd. (f)(1)	70
18 U.S.C. § 3142, subd. (f)(2)(A).....	67, 69, 70
18 U.S.C. § 3142, subd. (f)(2)(B).....	70
Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030, subd. (a).....	134
Evid. Code, § 52	47, 77, 79
Evid. Code, § 210	77, 168
Evid. Code, § 225	209
Evid. Code, § 351	53, 77, 153
Evid. Code, § 352	passim
Evid. Code, § 403	168
Evid. Code, § 791	154, 171
Evid. Code, § 791, subd. (b).....	171
Evid. Code, § 954	138
Evid. Code, § 1101	passim
Evid. Code, § 1101, subd. (a).....	78