

S198387

NO. S \_\_\_\_\_

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA

SUPREME COURT  
**FILED**

DEC - 2 2011

Frederick K. Ohirich Clerk

Deputy

JULIE VANDERMOST

Petitioner,

vs.

DEBRA BOWEN, SECRETARY OF STATE  
OF CALIFORNIA

Respondent.

REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF VERIFIED  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDATE OR WRIT OF  
PROHIBITION

Charles H. Bell, Jr., SBN 060553  
Thomas W. Hiltachk, SBN 131215  
Colleen C. McAndrews, SBN 128064  
Paul T. Gough, SBN 077502  
Brian T. Hildreth, SBN 214131  
Ashlee N. Titus, SBN 227144  
BELL, McANDREWS & HILTACHK, LLP  
455 Capitol Mall, Suite 600  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
(916) 442-7757  
(916) 442-7759  
[cbell@bmhlaw.com](mailto:cbell@bmhlaw.com)

*Attorneys for Petitioner*

RECEIVED  
DEC - 2 2011  
FRK SUPREME COURT

NO. S \_\_\_\_\_

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA**

---

JULIE VANDERMOST

Petitioner,

vs.

DEBRA BOWEN, SECRETARY OF STATE  
OF CALIFORNIA

Respondent.

---

**REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF VERIFIED  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDATE OR WRIT OF  
PROHIBITION**

---

Charles H. Bell, Jr., SBN 060553  
Thomas W. Hiltachk, SBN 131215  
Colleen C. McAndrews, SBN 128064  
Paul T. Gough, SBN 077502  
Brian T. Hildreth, SBN 214131  
Ashlee N. Titus, SBN 227144  
BELL, McANDREWS & HILTACHK, LLP  
455 Capitol Mall, Suite 600  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
(916) 442-7757  
(916) 442-7759  
[cbell@bmhlaw.com](mailto:cbell@bmhlaw.com)

*Attorneys for Petitioner*

TO ALL PARTIES AND TO THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

Petitioner Julie Vandermost requests the Court to take judicial notice of the documents identified herein and submitted herewith:

1. Exhibit "A" - A true and correct copy of Petitioner's Request for Referendum Title and Summary, Attorney General's Title and Summary (Attorney General Ref. # 011-0028 and Secretary of State Release re Referendum #11-0028/1499). Exhibit A is submitted herewith in RJN Exhibits, Bates Page Nos. 001-050.

2. Exhibit "B" - A true and correct copy of Attorney General's Title and Summary for Referendum #011-0028/1499. Exhibit B is submitted herewith in RJN Exhibits, Bates Page Nos. 051-056.

3. Exhibit "C" - A true and correct copy of Secretary of State #1499 (11-0028) "Random Sample Update." Exhibit C is submitted herewith in RJN Exhibits, Bates Page Nos. 0057-0059.

4. Exhibit "D" - A true and correct copy of Secretary of State's County Clerk/Registrar of Voters Memorandum #11122, "Cal Voter 2011 Redistricting Process." Exhibit D is submitted herewith in RJN Exhibits, Bates Page Nos. 0060-0066.

Furthermore, Petitioner respectfully requests the Court take judicial notice of the documents in the Declaration of Charles H. Bell, Jr. Regarding the Likelihood of Qualification of Referendum #1499 in Support of Verified Petition for Writ of Mandate or Writ of Prohibition, namely:

(1) Exhibit "A" to the Declaration, pages 1-2, which is a summary, prepared by the Office of the Secretary of State pursuant to a Public Records Act Request from this firm, entitled "Qualified Initiative and Referendum Measures 2005-2010," which identifies by Secretary of State File Number and Proposition Number forty eight (48) Initiatives and Referendum Measures for which the proponents presented petition

signatures to the Secretary of State and county election officials between 2005 and 2010; Whether the Measures qualified by Random Sample (pursuant to Elections Code § 9030) or by full count (pursuant to Elections Code § 9031); and the date of the election on which the Measure appeared or will appear; and

(2) Exhibit “B” to the Declaration, pages 3-82, which are Secretary of State Certificates of Qualification and/or Random Sample/Full Count Spreadsheets for each of the Measures listed on the summary document referenced Exhibit A above that reflect county-by-county data concerning the “Raw Count,” the Random Sample count and/or the Full Count of petition signatures for these Measures. At the bottom of each of the Spreadsheets are found: (1) the “raw count” of the total number of signatures submitted; (2) the random sample or full count totals; (3) the “Valid or Projected Valid (the latter if a random sample was sufficient to determine the measure had sufficient signatures to qualify); and (4) the signature Validity Percentage.

#### **MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

Evidence Code section 452(c) provides that judicial notice may be taken of “...Official acts of the legislative, executive, and judicial departments ... of any state of the United States.” Section 452(c) also provides that judicial notice may be taken of any document published, recorded, or filed by any executive department. (See also *Serrano v. Priest* (1971) 5 Cal.3d 584, 591; *Moore v. Superior Court* (2004) 117 Cal.App.4th 401, 407 n.5; *Wolfe v. State Farm Casualty & Insurance Company* (1996) 46 Cal.App.4th 554, 567 n. 16; *Fowler v. Howell* (1996) 42 Cal.App.4th 1746, 1750, *Hogen v. Valley Hospital* (1983) 147 Cal.App.3d 119, 125.) “Official acts” include reports, records, files, and notices maintained by local governments, including counties. (*Cruz v. County of Los Angeles* (1985) 173 Cal.App.3d 1131, 1134.)

Exhibits A through D herein and Exhibits A and B to the Declaration of Charles H. Bell, Jr. Regarding the Likelihood of Qualification of Referendum #1499 in Support of Verified Petition for Writ of Mandate or Writ of Prohibition are each documents received, filed and/or maintained by public agencies and for which judicial notice may be taken.

Dated: November 13, 2011 Respectfully Submitted,

BELL, McANDREWS & HILTACHK, LLP  
Charles H. Bell, Jr.  
Thomas W. Hiltachk  
Colleen C. McAndrews  
Brian T. Hildreth  
Ashlee N. Titus  
Paul T. Gough

By: \_\_\_\_\_



Charles H. Bell, Jr.  
Attorneys for Petitioner  
JULIE VANDERMOST

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Shannon Diaz, Declare:

I am a resident of the State of California and over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within-entitled action; my business address is 455 Capitol Mall, Suite 600, Sacramento, California 95814. On ~~December~~ *2*, 2011, I served the following document(s) described as:

- **REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF VERIFIED PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDATE OR WRIT OF PROHIBITION**

on the following party(ies) in said action:

George Waters  
Deputy Attorney General  
Department of Justice  
1300 "I" Street, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
Telephone: (916) 323-8050  
Email: [George.Waters@doj.ca.gov](mailto:George.Waters@doj.ca.gov)

Attorney General's office  
*(Email & Hand Delivery)*

Lowell Finley  
Chief Counsel  
Office of the Secretary of State  
1500 11th St  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
Telephone: (916) 653-7244  
Email: [Lowell.Finley@sos.ca.gov](mailto:Lowell.Finley@sos.ca.gov)

*Attorney for Respondent*  
SECRETARY OF STATE  
*(Email & Hand Delivery)*

**BY U.S. MAIL:** By placing said document(s) in a sealed envelope and depositing said envelope, with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States Postal Service mailbox in Sacramento, California, addressed to said party(ies), in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal

cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

X **BY ELECTRONIC MAIL:** By causing true copy(ies) of PDF versions of said document(s) to be sent to the e-mail address of each party listed.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on *December 2*, 2011 at Sacramento, California.

*Shannon Diaz*  
SHANNON DIAZ

**EXHIBIT A**



**DEBRA BOWEN | SECRETARY OF STATE**  
**STATE OF CALIFORNIA | ELECTIONS**

1500 11th Street, 5th Floor | Sacramento, CA 95814 | Tel (916) 657-2166 | Fax (916) 653-3214 | www.sos.ca.gov

August 26, 2011

County Clerk/Registrar of Voters (CC/ROV) Memorandum #11065

TO: All County Clerks/Registrars of Voters and Proponent

FROM:

  
Katherine Montgomery  
Initiative Program Manager

RE: Referendum: 1499, Related to Senate Redistricting Maps

Pursuant to Elections Code section 9006 (c), we transmit herewith a copy of the Title and Summary prepared by the Attorney General on a proposed referendum measure entitled:

**REDISTRICTING.**  
**STATE SENATE DISTRICTS. REFERENDUM.**

The proponent of the above-named measure is:

Julie Vandermost  
c/o Charles H. Bell, Jr.  
Bell, McAndrews & Hiltachk, LLP  
455 Capitol Mall, Suite 600  
Sacramento, CA 95814

(916) 442-7757

#1499

REDISTRICTING.  
STATE SENATE DISTRICTS. REFERENDUM.

CIRCULATING AND FILING SCHEDULE

---

1. Minimum number of signatures required: ..... 504,760  
Cal. Const., art. II, § 9(b)
  
2. Date of Certification: .....Monday, 08/15/11
  
3. Official Summary Date: .....Friday, 08/26/11  
(Elections Code § 336)
  
4. Petition Sections:
  - a. First day Proponent can circulate Sections for  
signatures (Elections Code § 9014)..... Friday, 08/26/11
  
  - b. Last day Proponent can circulate and file with the county.  
All sections are to be filed at the same time  
within each county within 90 days of the  
enactment date (Elections Code § 9014). ..... Sunday, 11/13/11
  
  - c. Last day for county to determine total number of  
signatures affixed to petitions and to transmit total  
to the Secretary of State (Elections Code § 9030(b)).....Wednesday, 11/23/11  

(If the Proponent files the petition with the county on a date prior to  
11/13/11, the county has eight working days from the filing of the petition  
to determine the total number of signatures affixed to the petition and to  
transmit the total to the Secretary of State) (Elections Code § 9030(b).)
  
  - d. Secretary of State determines whether the total number  
of signatures filed with all county clerks/registrars of  
voters meets the minimum number of required signatures  
and notifies the counties (Elections Code § 9030(c)).....Friday, 12/02/11\*
  
  - e. Last day for county to determine total number of qualified  
voters who signed the petition, and to transmit certificate  
with a blank copy of the petition to the Secretary of State  
(Elections Code §§ 9030(d) & (e)).....Wednesday, 01/18/12

\* Date varies based on the date of county receipt.

**REFERENDUM #1499**  
**Circulating and Filing Schedule continued:**

---

(If the Secretary of State notifies the county to determine the number of qualified voters who signed the petition on a date other than 12/02/11, the last day is no later than the thirtieth working day after the county's receipt of notification). (Elections Code §§ 9030(d) & (e).)

- f. If the signature count is more than 555,236 or less than 479,522 then the Secretary of State certifies the petition as qualified or failed, and notifies the counties. If the signature count is between 479,522 and 555,236 inclusive, then the Secretary of State notifies the counties using the random sampling technique to determine the validity of **all** signatures (EC §9030(f) & (g); 9031(a)).....Saturday, 01/28/12\*
  
- g. Last day for county to determine actual number of all qualified voters who signed the petition, and to transmit certificate with a blank copy of the petition to the Secretary of State. (Elections Code §§ 9031(b) & (c)).....Tuesday, 03/13/12

(If the Secretary of State notifies the county to determine the number of qualified voters who have signed the petition on a date other than 01/28/12, the last day is no later than the thirtieth working day after the county's receipt of notification.) (Elections Code §§ 9031(b) & (c).)

- h. Secretary of State certifies whether the petition has been signed by the number of qualified voters required to declare the petition sufficient (Elections Code §§ 9031(d), 9033)..... Sunday, 03/17/12\*

\*Date varies based on the date of county receipt.

## IMPORTANT POINTS

---

- California law prohibits the use of signatures, names and addresses gathered on initiative, referendum or recall petitions for any purpose other than to qualify the measure for the ballot. This means that the petitions cannot be used to create or add to mailing lists or similar lists for any purpose, including fundraising or requests for support. Any such misuses constitutes a crime under California law. Elections Code § 18650; *Bilofsky v. Deukmejian* (1981) 124 Cal. App. 3d 825, 177 Cal. Rptr. 621; 63 Ops. Cal. Atty. Gen. 37 (1980).
- Please refer to Elections Code §§ 100, 101, 104, 9008, 9009, 9010, 9011, 9013, 9021, and 9022 for appropriate format and type consideration in printing, typing and otherwise preparing your initiative petition for circulation and signatures. Please send a copy of the petition after you have it printed. This copy is not for our review or approval, but to supplement our file.
- Please refer to the campaign disclosure requirements of the **Political Reform Act of 1974**, Government Code section 81000 et seq.
- When writing or calling state or county elections officials, provide the official title of the initiative or referendum which was prepared by the Attorney General. Use of this title will assist elections officials in referencing the proper file.
- When a petition is presented to the county elections official for filing by someone other than the proponent, the required authorization shall include the name or names of the persons filing the petition.
- When filing the petition with the county elections official, please provide a blank petition for elections official use.

KAMALA D. HARRIS  
Attorney General

State of California  
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE



1300 I STREET, SUITE 125  
P.O. BOX 944255  
SACRAMENTO, CA 94244-2550  
Public: (916) 445-9555  
Telephone: (916) 324-5464  
Facsimile: (916) 324-8835  
E-Mail: [Dawn.McFarland@doj.ca.gov](mailto:Dawn.McFarland@doj.ca.gov)

August 26, 2011

The Honorable Debra Bowen  
Secretary of State  
Office of the Secretary of State  
1500 11th Street, 6th Floor  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Attention: Ms. Katherine Montgomery  
Elections Analyst

Dear Secretary Bowen:

Pursuant to Elections Code section 9004, you are hereby notified that on this day we sent our title and summary for the following proposed initiative to the proponent:

- 11-0028, Referendum of State Senate Redistricting Plan

A copy of that title and summary and text of the proposed initiative is enclosed. Please contact me if you have questions.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Dawn L. McFarland".


DAWN L. MCFARLAND  
Acting Initiative Coordinator

For KAMALA D. HARRIS  
Attorney General

DLM:

**FILED**  
In the office of the Secretary of State  
of the State of California

AUG 26 2011

Debra Bowen, Secretary of State  
By   
Deputy Secretary of State

**REDISTRICTING. STATE SENATE DISTRICTS. REFERENDUM.** State Senate districts are revised every ten years following the federal census. This year, the voter-approved California Citizens Redistricting Commission revised the boundaries of the 40 Senate districts. This referendum petition, if signed by the required number of registered voters and filed with the Secretary of State, will: (1) Place the revised State Senate boundaries on the ballot and prevent them from taking effect unless approved by the voters at the next statewide election; and (2) Require court-appointed officials to set interim boundaries for use in the next statewide election. (11-0028)

**RECEIVED**

AUG 26 2011

INITIATIVE COORDINATOR  
ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE

August 24, 2011

BY HAND DELIVERYHonorable Kamela Harris  
Attorney General  
ATTN: Initiative Coordinator  
Department of Justice  
1300 J Street, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Referendum of State Senate Redistricting Plan

Dear Attorney General Harris:

Pursuant to your letter of August 24, 2011, on behalf of the undersigned proponent, this is to request that the Attorney General prepare a circulating Title and Summary for the proposed referendum of the Statewide Senate Map certified by the Citizens Redistricting Commission on August 15, 2011, pursuant to Section 9 of Article II and Section 2(i) of Article XXI of the California Constitution.


You have on file the statements pursuant to Elections Code sections 9001 and 9608, the name and residence address of the proponent, and our check for \$200.

The public contact information for counsel for the proponent is as follows:

Charles H. Bell, Jr.  
Bell, McAndrews & Hiltachk, LLP  
455 Capitol Mall, Suite 600  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
Phone (916) 442-7757  
Email: cbell@bmhlaw.com

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Very truly yours,

  
Julie Vandermost

AUG 15 2011

Resolution  
California Citizens Redistricting Commission  
Certification of Statewide Senate Map

August 15, 2011


Whereas, on July 29, 2011 the California Citizens Redistricting Commission (Commission) voted to approve for posting and public comment the statewide Senate Map (Senate Map) referred to as the preliminary final Senate Map; and,


Whereas, on August 15, 2011, pursuant to Article XXI, Section 2(c)(5) of the California Constitution, the Commission voted to adopt as final the Senate Map, identified by crc\_20110815\_senate\_certified\_statewide.zip and secure hash algorithm (SHA-1) number 14cd4e126ddc5bdce946f67376574918f3082d6b.


Now, therefore, be it resolved, that pursuant to Article XXI, Section 2 (g) of the California Constitution, the Senate Map, identified with the above referenced SHA -1 is hereby certified by the Commission and shall be delivered forthwith to the California Secretary of State; and,


Resolved further, that the members of the Commission have affixed their signatures to this Resolution.


  
Gabino Aguirre, Commissioner (D)


  
Angelo Anchetta, Commissioner (D)


  
Vincent Barabba, Commissioner (R)


  
Maria Blanco, Commissioner (D)


  
Cynthia Dai, Commissioner (D)


  
Michelle DiGullo, Commissioner (DTS)


  
Jodie Filkins Webber, Commissioner (R)


  
Stanley Forbes, Commissioner (DTS)

  
Connie Galambos-Malley, Commissioner (DTS)

  
Gilbert "Gil" Ontai, Commissioner (R)


  
M. Andre Parvenu, Commissioner (DTS)

  
Jennie Raya, Commissioner (D)

  
Michael Ward, Commissioner (R)


  
Peter Yao, Commissioner (R)

# California State Senate Districts




Created From CRC Certified Map: crc\_20110815\_senate\_certified\_statewide.zip  
SHA-1: 14cd4e126ddc5bdce946f67376574918f3082d6b

# California State Senate District 1




Created From CRC Certified Map: crc\_20110815\_senate\_certified\_statewide.zip  
SHA-1: 14cd4e126ddc5bdce946f67376574918f3082d6b

# California State Senate District 2




Created From CRC Certified Map: crc\_20110815\_senate\_certified\_statewide.zip  
SHA-1: 14cd4e126ddc5bdce946f67376574918f3082d6b

# California State Senate District 3




Created From CRC Certified Map: crc\_20110815\_senate\_certified\_statewide.zip  
SHA-1: 14cd4e126ddc5bdce946f67376574918f3082d6b

# California State Senate District 4




Created From CRC Certified Map: crc\_20110815\_senate\_certified\_statewide.zip  
SHA-1: 14cd4e126ddc5bdce946f67376574918f3082d6b

# California State Senate District 5




Created From CRC Certified Map: crc\_20110815\_senate\_certified\_statewide.zip  
SHA-1: 14cd4e126ddc5bdce946f67376574918f3082d6b

# California State Senate District 6




# California State Senate District 7




Created From CRC Certified Map: crc\_20110815\_senate\_certified\_statewide.zip  
SHA-1: 14cd4e126ddc5bdce946f67376574918f3082d6b

# California State Senate District 8




Created From CRC Certified Map: crc\_20110815\_senate\_certified\_statewide.zip  
SHA-1: 14cd4e126ddc5bdce946f67376574918f3082d6b

# California State Senate District 9




Created From CRC Certified Map: crc\_20110815\_senate\_certified\_statewide.zip  
SHA-1: 14cd4e126ddc5bdce946f67376574918f3082d6b

# California State Senate District 10



Created From CRC Certified Map: crc\_20110815\_senate\_certified\_statewide.zip  
SHA-1: 14cd4e126ddc5bdce946f67376574918f3082d6b


# California State Senate District 11



Created From CRC Certified Map: crc\_20110815\_senate\_certified\_statewide.zip  
SHA-1: 14cd4e126ddc5bdce946f67376574918f3082d6b




# California State Senate District 13






# California State Senate District 15




Created From CRC Certified Map: crc\_20110815\_senate\_certified\_statewide.zip  
SHA-1: 14cd4e126ddc5bdce946f67376574918f3082d6b

# California State Senate District 16




Created From CRC Certified Map: crc\_20110815\_senate\_certified\_statewide.zip  
SHA-1: 14cd4e126ddc5bdce946f67376574918f3082d6b

# California State Senate District 17




# California State Senate District 18




Created From CRC Certified Map: crc\_20110815\_senate\_certified\_statewide.zip  
SHA-1: 14cd4e126dcd5bdc946f67376574918f3082d6b

# California State Senate District 19







# California State Senate District 21



# California State Senate District 22




# California State Senate District 23




Created From CRC Certified Map: crc\_20110815\_senate\_certified\_statewide.zip  
SHA-1: 14cd4e126ddc5bdc946f67376574918f3082d6b

# California State Senate District 24




Created From CRC Certified Map: crc\_20110815\_senate\_certified\_statewide.zip  
SHA-1: 14cd4e126dde5bdce946f67376574918f3082d6b

# California State Senate District 25




Created From CRC Certified Map: crc\_20110815\_senate\_certified\_statewide.zip  
SHA-1: 14cd4e126ddc5bdce946f67376574918f3082d6b

# California State Senate District 26







# California State Senate District 28




# California State Senate District 29



# California State Senate District 30




# California State Senate District 31




Created From CRC Certified Map: crc\_20110815\_senate\_certified\_statewide.zip  
SHA-1: 14cd4e126ddc5bdce946f67376574918f3082d6b


# California State Senate District 32




# California State Senate District 33




# California State Senate District 34



# California State Senate District 35




# California State Senate District 36




Created From CRC Certified Map: crc\_20110815\_senate\_certified\_statewide.zip  
SHA-1: 14cd4e126ddc5bdce946f67376574918f3082d6b


# California State Senate District 37



# California State Senate District 38




# California State Senate District 39



Created From CRC Certified Map: crc\_20110815\_senate\_certified\_statewide.zip  
SHA-1: 14cd4e126ddc5bdce946f67376574918f3082d6b

# California State Senate District 40





C A L I F O R N I A  
**GENERAL**  
**ELECTION**  
**TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 4, 2008**

★ OFFICIAL VOTER INFORMATION GUIDE ★

*Certificate of Correctness*

I, Debra Bowen, Secretary of State of the State of California, do hereby certify that the measures included herein will be submitted to the electors of the State of California at the General Election to be held throughout the State on November 4, 2008, and that this guide has been correctly prepared in accordance with the law.

Witness my hand and the Great Seal of the State in Sacramento, California, on this 11th day of August, 2008.

*Debra Bowen*



Debra Bowen  
*Secretary of State*

**REDISTRICTING. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE.**

- Changes authority for establishing Assembly, Senate, and Board of Equalization district boundaries from elected representatives to 14 member commission.
- Requires government auditors to select 60 registered voters from applicant pool. Permits legislative leaders to reduce pool, then the auditors pick eight commission members by lottery, and those commissioners pick six additional members for 14 total.
- Requires commission of five Democrats, five Republicans and four of neither party. Commission shall hire lawyers and consultants as needed.
- For approval, district boundaries need votes from three Democratic commissioners, three Republican commissioners and three commissioners from neither party.

**Summary of Legislative Analyst’s Estimate of Net State and Local Government Fiscal Impact:**

- Potential increase in state redistricting costs once every ten years due to two entities performing redistricting. Any increase in costs probably would not be significant.

**ANALYSIS BY THE LEGISLATIVE ANALYST**

**BACKGROUND**

Every ten years, the federal census counts the number of people living in California. The California Constitution requires the Legislature after each census to adjust the boundaries of the districts used to elect public officials. This process is called “redistricting.” Redistricting affects districts for the state Legislature (Assembly and Senate), State Board of Equalization (BOE), and the U.S. House of Representatives. The primary purpose of redistricting is to establish districts which are “reasonably equal” in population. Typically, redistricting plans are included in legislation and become law after passage of the bill by the Legislature and signature by the Governor.

**PROPOSAL**

This measure amends the California Constitution to change the redistricting process for the state Legislature, BOE, and California members of the U.S. House of Representatives, beginning with the 2010 census.

**U.S. House of Representatives Districts**

The measure maintains the Legislature’s role in drawing districts for the U.S. House of Representatives. The measure imposes additional requirements that the Legislature must consider when drawing these districts. Among the new requirements is that the Legislature maintain neighborhoods and “communities of interest” within one district to the extent possible. (The term communities of interest is not defined by the measure.) Figure 1 compares the requirements under the measure and current law.

**Legislative and BOE Districts**

The measure shifts the responsibility for developing redistricting plans for legislative and BOE districts from the Legislature to a new Citizens Redistricting Commission. The measure imposes a number of requirements for the selection of commissioners and their drawing of district boundaries, as described below.

**Figure 1  
Key Requirements for Drawing Political Districts**

	Current Law For All Districts	Proposition 11	
		U.S. House of Representatives Districts	Legislative and Board of Equalization Districts
Develop reasonably equal populations of districts	X	X	X
Comply with federal Voting Rights Act	X	X	X
Minimize the splitting of counties and cities into multiple districts <sup>a</sup>	X	X	X
Maintain “communities of interest” and neighborhoods <sup>a</sup>	—	X	X
Develop geographically compact districts <sup>a</sup>	—	X	X
Comprise Senate districts of two adjacent Assembly districts and BOE districts of ten adjacent Senate districts <sup>a</sup>	—	—	X
Do not favor or discriminate against political incumbents, candidates, or parties	—	—	X

<sup>a</sup> To the extent possible without conflicting with other criteria.

**Selection of Commissioners.** The measure establishes a process to select the 14 members to serve on the commission. Figure 2 summarizes this process. A registered voter in the state could apply to be a commissioner. The State Auditor, however, would remove applicants from the pool based on various conflicts of interest. For instance, applicants—or an immediate relative—in the past ten years could not have:

ANALYSIS BY THE LEGISLATIVE ANALYST

CONTINUED

- Been a political candidate for state or federal office.
- Been a lobbyist.
- Contributed \$2,000 or more in any year to a political candidate.


In addition, applicants could not have changed their political party affiliation in the past five years. Applicants also must have voted in at least two of the last three general elections.

An Applicant Review Panel, comprised of three auditors employed by the state, would narrow the applicants down to 60. The panel would pick the most qualified applicants based on analytic skill, impartiality, and appreciation of California's diversity. The leaders of the Legislature could strike up to 24 of these names. From the remaining names, the State Auditor would then randomly draw the first eight commissioners. These eight commissioners would select the final six commissioners. The commission would have five members registered with each of the state's two largest political parties (Democrat and Republican) and four members registered with other parties or as independent voters.

**Requirements of District Boundaries.** The measure adds new requirements regarding the drawing of district boundaries by the commission for legislative and BOE districts. These requirements are similar to the measure's new requirements for U.S. House of Representatives districts, as shown in Figure 1. For legislative and BOE districts, the measure also forbids the commission from drawing districts for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against political incumbents, candidates, or parties.

**Approval Process.** In developing a plan, the commission would have to hold public hearings and accept public comment. To approve a redistricting plan, the commission would need at least nine yes votes, including at least three yes votes each from members registered with the two largest political parties and three yes votes from the other members. Once the commission approved a redistricting plan, it would be used for the next decade. The process would be repeated every ten years, with a new 14-member commission for each future redistricting.

**Funding.** Commission members would receive \$300 per day, plus reimbursed expenses, in return for their work on the commission. The measure specifies that the Governor and Legislature must make funding available in the state budget to support the selection of the commission, its work, and related costs. Funding would be established at the greater of \$3 million or the amount spent in the previous redistricting cycle,



adjusted for inflation. (The Legislature spent about \$3 million in 2001 from its own budget, which is limited under the California Constitution, to adjust boundaries for all districts.) These funds could be used to establish the application review process, communicate with the public, compensate commissioners, and employ legal and other experts in the field of redistricting.

**FISCAL EFFECTS**

Under this measure, the Legislature would continue to incur expenses to perform redistricting for U.S. House of Representatives districts. In addition, this measure authorizes funding (outside of the Legislature's budget) for redistricting efforts related to legislative and BOE districts to be performed by the citizens commission. We estimate that the minimum amount required for 2010 would be about \$4 million (the 2001 amount spent on redistricting adjusted for estimated inflation through 2010). Having two entities—the Legislature and the commission—perform redistricting could tend to increase overall redistricting expenditures. Any increase in such redistricting costs, however, probably would not be significant.

★ ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION 11 ★

THE POLITICIANS WANT TO CONFUSE VOTERS, BUT THE CHOICE IS SIMPLE: Bipartisan Groups Urge You to Vote YES on Prop. 11, FOR CHANGE in Sacramento.

Good government, senior, consumer, business, and taxpayer organizations are asking you to vote YES on Prop. 11 (note some of the signers of this ballot argument).

The Politicians Oppose Change and Want You to Vote NO.

On the NO side of this measure are politicians, political insiders, and political party elites who will do or say almost anything to stop change and protect the status quo.

YES ON PROP. 11: CHANGE IN SACRAMENTO

There is a serious conflict of interest when legislators are allowed to draw their own district boundaries. They divide up neighborhoods and communities to create districts where they are virtually guaranteed reelection.

Once elected, these politicians aren't accountable to voters because they don't have to earn our votes. Instead, they pay more attention to the special interests.

"The current system where politicians draw their own districts is rigged to make sure they get reelected. Prop. 11 will put voters back in charge and make it easier to vote them out of office if they're not doing their job." — Pete Constant, Retired San Jose Police Officer

YES ON PROP. 11: PUT VOTERS IN CHARGE

Prop. 11 will end this conflict of interest by establishing an independent citizens commission to draw districts so that they are fair. Standards required by this measure will assure that districts are drawn so they don't divide neighborhoods and communities.

The commission will include Democrats, Republicans, and independents, and the process will be open to the public. This will assure a balanced, inclusive process that produces fair districts.

"If legislators don't have to compete to get reelected, they have no accountability to voters. That means they don't have to work

together to solve problems like education, health care, roads, crime, and the state budget. Prop. 11 will keep politicians tuned-in to voter needs." — Jodi Serrano, Public School Teacher, Sacramento

YES ON PROP. 11: HOLD THE POLITICIANS ACCOUNTABLE

Many of the problems we face in California are a direct result of politicians not being accountable to voters. When they draw their own districts, we end up with gridlock and nothing gets done.

"It's time to send the politicians a message and change Sacramento. That's why I'm voting YES on Prop. 11."

— Mike Holley, Owner, Apogee Publications, Whittier

Proposition 11 will help end the gridlock and force the politicians to start solving problems. If they don't, we can vote them out of office because they'll have to run in fair districts.

"Democrats, Republicans, independents, and people from every walk of life and every corner of the state support Prop. 11 to send a strong message to politicians that it's time to quit playing games and work together to get California back on track." — Eligio Nava, President, Central California Hispanic Chamber of Commerce

PLEASE JOIN US IN VOTING YES ON PROP. 11.

Check it out for yourself: [YesonProp11.org](http://YesonProp11.org)

- JANIS R. HIROHAMA, President League of Women Voters of California
TERESA CASAZZA, President California Taxpayers' Association
JEANNINE ENGLISH, President AARP California

★ REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION 11 ★

WHAT THE POLITICIANS WON'T TELL YOU ABOUT PROP. 11

They're selling Prop. 11 as a cure-all—and hoping you won't check the label.

THEY WON'T TELL YOU WHAT PROP. 11 IS REALLY ABOUT.

Their high-priced consultants hope you won't read their 4,500-word initiative. If you do, you'll see Prop. 11 for what it is: a scheme to change the Constitution and give the power of drawing districts to people who are NEVER ELECTED and NEVER ACCOUNTABLE.

THEY WON'T TELL YOU HOW PROP. 11 WORKS.

They never explain why Prop. 11 guarantees members of the two political parties more say than the rest of us. They won't explain how bureaucrats and politicians decided who's in charge.

THEY WON'T TELL YOU WHAT PROP. 11 WILL COST.

Prop. 11 creates a new bureaucracy to draw districts—on top of the people we already pay for the job. They will spend millions of dollars—and no audits to account for their money.

THEY WON'T TELL YOU WHAT THEY REALLY WANT.

The politicians backing Prop. 11 have taken more contributions from special interests than any politicians in California history. But they don't trust voters to elect the right people—so they're trying to change the rules to help themselves.

BEFORE YOU VOTE

Ask yourself: What's this about? How would it really work? How much will this cost? And most important of all—who's really behind this, and what do they really want?

Read Prop. 11 for yourself. And vote NO.

[www.NoOnProp11.org](http://www.NoOnProp11.org)

- HENRY L. "HANK" LACAYO, State President Congress of California Seniors
MIKE JIMENEZ, State President California Correctional Peace Officers Association
MARTIN HITTELMAN, President California Federation of Teachers

★ ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION 11 ★

Faced with *real problems*—budget deficits, rising gas prices, and a shaky economy—what do the politicians bring us? Prop. 11—another nonsensical scheme to change how we draw lines between one district and another. *What are they thinking?*

Redistricting may not mean much to you, but for some politicians, it's all they care about. Five times, they've spent millions on lawyers, consultants, and paid signature gatherers to put a new scheme on the ballot. *Every time, voters said "NO."*

The forces behind Prop. 11 don't respect California's voters, so they're back again.

What do they REALLY want? *Power for themselves, at your expense.* They know redistricting is about power. They want to rewrite our Constitution to suit themselves.

PROP. 11 UNDERMINES DEMOCRACY

Prop. 11 gives the final say for the entire state to a 14-member "redistricting commission" never elected by the people. *You don't get a choice.* There's no guarantee they'll represent you or your neighbors. That's why community organizations oppose Prop. 11.

Prop. 11 sets aside 10 of the 14 commission seats for *partisan members* of the two biggest political parties—and gives them *veto power over almost every decision.* If the big party representatives don't go along—*nothing gets done.*

What does that mean? Political insiders will keep carving up the state to serve their own interests.

PROP. 11 GIVES POWER TO BUREAUCRATS

Prop. 11 doesn't keep politicians out of redistricting—it just lets them hide behind a tangled web of bureaucrats picked for their political ties. It actually *takes state auditors off the job of rooting out government waste* to spend time screening commission applications.

Who picks the commission? Bureaucrats. They decide who's qualified. And then the four most powerful legislators can reject anyone they want. *That's reform?*

PROP. 11 MEANS TWO BUREAUCRACIES INSTEAD OF ONE

Prop. 11 only gives this new commission *half the job.* It leaves the other half—drawing Congressional districts—to the state Legislature.

So Prop. 11 means *paying for two of everything:* two sets of attorneys, two teams of consultants, working out of two different offices—with neither one working together or sharing resources.

PROP. 11 PROVIDES NO ACCOUNTABILITY TO TAXPAYERS

Prop. 11 guarantees each commission member \$300 a day, plus expenses, with *no limit.* There's also *no limit* on how many attorneys, consultants, and staff the commission hires, or how much it spends for offices, hearings, and outreach. *And there's nothing requiring auditors to examine the commission's spending for waste and abuse.*

PROP. 11 AN EMPTY PROMISE

Read it yourself. It makes big promises, but *never delivers.* Voters get no say over who draws districts. Instead, we get a *new bureaucracy with no accountability and no spending limits.*

Prop. 11 really means a lot of political insiders *keep their power—a few get even more—and the rest of us get less.*

That's not reform—that's a hidden agenda that does nothing to address the real problems facing our state. Visit [www.noonprop11.org](http://www.noonprop11.org)—and vote NO!

DANIEL H. LOWENSTEIN, Former Chair Fair Political Practices Commission

ROBERT BALGENORTH, President State Building & Construction Trades Council of California

MARTIN HITTELMAN, President California Federation of Teachers

★ REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION 11 ★

YES on 11—STOP THE POLITICIANS' CONFLICT OF INTEREST.

YES on 11 ends the conflict of interest of politicians drawing their own election districts.

It means fair election districts drawn by citizens, not politicians, so we can *hold them accountable and throw them out of office if they aren't doing their jobs.*

A "no" vote means politicians continue drawing their own districts and more gridlock in Sacramento.

POLITICIANS ARE BEHIND THE MISLEADING "NO" CAMPAIGN.

Here's what newspapers say:

" . . . Senate President Pro Tem Don Perata, D-Oakland, is leading a campaign of deception against it. His committee is called "Citizens for Accountability—No on the Power Grab," which is ironic because its obvious purpose is to preserve incumbents' stranglehold on power."

*San Jose Mercury News, 7-7-08*

" . . . he's [Perata] working to kill reform—just as he always has, on issue after issue, year after year."

*San Diego Union Tribune, 7-7-08*

YES on 11—PUTS VOTERS FIRST.

YES on 11 creates a diverse, qualified, independent commission that will draw fair districts that truly respect California's communities and neighborhoods *for the first time.*

YES on 11—IT'S TIME FOR CHANGE.

YES on 11 *sends a message to politicians that voters have had enough, and it's time for change.* Proposition 11 will put voters back in charge and force politicians to work together to *solve real problems like healthcare, education, water, the budget, and the high cost of food and gas.*

Democrats, Republicans, independents, and community groups support Proposition 11. YES on 11.

KATHAY FENG, Executive Director California Common Cause

JOSEPH V. KERR, President Orange County Professional Firefighters Association

GARY TOEBBEN, President Los Angeles Area Chamber of Commerce





**DEBRA BOWEN** | SECRETARY OF STATE  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA | ELECTIONS

1500 11th Street, 5th Floor | Sacramento, CA 95814 | Tel (916) 657-2166 | Fax (916) 653-3214 | www.sos.ca.gov

November 23, 2011

County Clerk/Registrar of Voters (CC/ROV) Memorandum #11123

TO: All County Clerks/Registrars of Voters

FROM:

  
Katherine Montgomery  
Initiative Program Manager

RE: Referendum: Random Sample of #1499, Related to Senate Redistricting Maps

Julie Vandermost, the proponent of REDISTRICTING. STATE SENATE DISTRICTS. REFERENDUM. has filed more than 504,760 signatures with the counties.

Therefore, pursuant to Elections Code section 9030(d), you are required to verify 500 signatures or three percent of the number of signatures filed, whichever is greater. If you received less than 500 signatures, you are required to verify all the signatures filed with your office and certify the number of valid signatures.

Please Note: Pursuant to Elections Code section 9030(e), you must attach an original blank petition (not a faxed copy) to your random sample certification.

You have 30 working days from the date of the issue of this notification to finish your verification. If we produce the random for you, you have 30 working days from the date you receive the set of numbers. If you need a set of random numbers produced for you, please contact me at the number below or at [katherine.montgomery@sos.ca.gov](mailto:katherine.montgomery@sos.ca.gov).

Please disregard this memo if you have already checked the validity of the signatures on the petition sections and submitted your certificate to us.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (916) 657-2423.

1499. Redistricting, State Senate Districts, Referendum.

CO. INT.	PETITION	SOS	SOS	RANDOM			VALID			VALID OR	
	FILED	REC'D	REC'D	RAW	SAMPLE/	VALID	INVALID	DUP	PROJ.	VALID	
	W/COINITY	RAW	RANDOM	COUNT	FULL CHECK	SIGS					
1. ALAMEDA	11/13/11	11/23/11		35,473						0	0.0%
2. ALPINE										0	0.0%
3. AMADOR	11/13/11	11/16/11	11/21/11	269	269	227	42	2		227	84.4%
4. BUTTE	11/13/11	11/17/11		10,220						0	0.0%
5. CALAVERAS	11/13/11	11/21/11	11/21/11	281	281	226	55	5		226	80.4%
6. COLUSA	11/13/11	11/14/11	11/17/11	54	54	38	16	0		38	70.4%
7. CONTRA COSTA	11/13/11	11/18/11		19,779						0	0.0%
8. DEL NORTE	11/10/11	11/21/11		207						0	0.0%
9. EL DORADO	11/13/11	11/21/11		2,327						0	0.0%
10. FRESNO	11/13/11	11/15/11		26,357						0	0.0%
11. GLENN	11/13/11	11/15/11		192						0	0.0%
12. HUMBOLDT	11/10/11	11/17/11		172						0	0.0%
13. IMPERIAL	11/13/11	11/13/11		710						0	0.0%
14. INYO										0	0.0%
15. KERN	11/13/11	11/16/11		18,131						0	0.0%
16. KINGS	11/13/11	11/17/11		2,353						0	0.0%
17. LAKE	11/13/11	11/21/11		3,449						0	0.0%
18. LASSEN	11/10/11	11/21/11		185						0	0.0%
19. LOS ANGELES	11/13/11	11/23/11		209,163						0	0.0%
20. MADERA	11/13/11	11/14/11		3,783						0	0.0%
21. MARIN										0	0.0%
22. MARIPOSA	11/13/11	11/16/11		164						0	0.0%
23. MENDOCINO	11/13/11	11/14/11		591						0	0.0%
24. MERCED	11/13/11	11/18/11		3,153						0	0.0%
25. MODOC	11/10/11	11/17/11		19	19	12	7	0		12	63.2%
26. MONO	11/10/11	11/17/11	11/17/11	1	1	1	0	0		1	100.0%
27. MONTEREY	11/13/11	11/16/11		5,599						0	0.0%
28. NAPA	11/13/11	11/16/11		2,147						0	0.0%
29. NEVADA	11/13/11	11/14/11		854						0	0.0%
30. ORANGE	11/13/11	11/22/11		38,014						0	0.0%
31. PLACER	11/13/11	11/15/11		2,972						0	0.0%
32. PLUMAS	11/13/11	11/15/11	11/16/11	41	41	30	11	0		30	73.2%
33. RIVERSIDE	11/13/11	11/22/11		31,502						0	0.0%
34. SACRAMENTO	11/13/11	11/23/11		23,140						0	0.0%
35. SAN BENITO	11/13/11	11/14/11		868						0	0.0%
36. SAN BERNARDINO	11/13/11	11/21/11		48,020						0	0.0%
37. SAN DIEGO	11/13/11	11/17/11		58,632						0	0.0%
38. SAN FRANCISCO	11/13/11	11/21/11		11,210						0	0.0%
39. SAN JOAQUIN	11/13/11	11/23/11		12,556						0	0.0%
40. SAN LUIS OBISPO	11/13/11	11/17/11		8,325						0	0.0%
41. SAN MATEO	11/13/11	11/16/11		4,514						0	0.0%
42. SANTA BARBARA	11/13/11	11/16/11		4,189						0	0.0%
43. SANTA CLARA	11/13/11	11/23/11		49,402						0	0.0%
44. SANTA CRUZ										0	0.0%
45. SHASTA	11/13/11	11/22/11		4,320						0	0.0%
46. SIERRA										0	0.0%
47. SISKIYOU	11/10/11	11/10/11		1,305						0	0.0%
48. SOLANO										0	0.0%
49. SONOMA	11/13/11	11/15/11		7,618						0	0.0%
50. STANISLAUS	11/13/11	11/18/11		13,380						0	0.0%
51. SUTTER	11/13/11	11/16/11		1,170						0	0.0%
52. TEHAMA	11/13/11	11/17/11		1,466						0	0.0%
53. TRINITY	11/11/11	11/22/11		226						0	0.0%
54. TULARE	11/13/11	11/18/11		9,685						0	0.0%
55. TULUMNE	11/13/11	11/15/11		838						0	0.0%
56. VENTURA	11/13/11	11/21/11		17,788						0	0.0%
57. YOLO										0	0.0%
58. YUBA	11/13/11	11/14/11		599						0	0.0%
TOTAL:				697,392	665	534	131	7		534	80.30%





**DEBRA BOWEN | SECRETARY OF STATE**  
**STATE OF CALIFORNIA | ELECTIONS**

1500 11th Street, 5th Floor | Sacramento, CA 95814 | Tel (916) 657-2166 | Fax (916) 653-3214 | www.sos.ca.gov

November 22, 2011

County Clerk/Registrar of Voters (CC/ROV) Memorandum #11122

TO: All County Clerks/Registrars of Voters

FROM:  For \_\_\_\_\_  
Catherine Ingram-Kelly  
CalVoter Elections Specialist

RE: CalVoter: 2011 Redistricting Process

As you are all aware, a natural result of redistricting is the creation of new precincts based on the new district lines. These new precincts play a key role in many critical activities for the June 5, 2012, Presidential Primary Election, two of which are quickly approaching.

- December 30, 2011: Start date for the Signature-in-Lieu Filing Period for Candidates
- January 3, 2012: The close of registration for 154-Day Report of Registration (ROR). This ROR is significant because it is the first report reflecting the number of registrants within the 2011 Redistricting Plan and will identify which political parties will qualify for participation in the June 5, 2012, Presidential Primary Election.

In preparation for these two critical events, all counties must submit a new precinct mapping file (PCTPRT.TXT) and full LOAD under the new reapportionment plan for system reconfiguration required for both CalVoter I, Statewide Voter Registration Database; and CalVoter II, Candidate Filing and Election Reporting Database.

**Due Date for new PCTPRT and LOAD files: December 16, 2011**

All regular CalVoter batch processing will continue as normal. Please continue to send your data files without interruption. In addition, we will continue the normal daily processing of DMV-COA and DL files, the weekly duplicate checks, and the monthly NCOA and CDCR files.

If you anticipate any issues with meeting the December 16, 2011 date, please let us know as soon as possible.

CalVoter: Procedures for 2011 Redistricting  
November 22, 2011  
Page Two

For your and your vendors' reference, please find enclosed documentation of the CalVoter Precinct file format and associated district codes. For your convenience, we have also posted the entire CalVoter and CalValidator Data Standards Document on the CalVoter workstation at this time.

If you have any additional questions about this or any other CalVoter issues, please do not hesitate to contact one of the CalVoter Administrators at the CalVoter Help Desk (888) 868-3225.

Attachment

## B. Precinct/District Mapping Files

### File Format

All fields except Precinct Part are required.

Field No.	Field Name	Max Length	Data Type	Data Restrictions	Description
1	County Code	2	Alpha-numeric	Must match valid code in Table C-2	A code identifying a county.
2	Precinct	7	Alpha-numeric	Must be the same precinct coding system used in Transaction Records	The local code identifying the voting precinct.
3	Precinct Part	3	Alpha-numeric	Must be the same precinct part coding system (if any) used in Transaction Records.	The local code identifying the voting precinct part. This field is optional. (Used by only a few counties.)
4	District ID	5	Alpha-numeric	Must match valid code in Table D-2, D-3, D-4 or D-5, as appropriate	A code identifying a statewide election district in a county.
5	Effective Date	10	Date	MM-DD-YYYY	The date the relation between the precinct & precinct part and the district became effective.

### Description of Field Validations

Validation Rule	Description	Severity
1	Record will be rejected if County Code (field 1) is absent or invalid	Fatal
2	Record will be rejected if Precinct (field 2) is absent	Fatal
3	Record will be rejected if District ID (field 4) is absent or invalid	Fatal
4	Record will be rejected if Effective Date is incorrectly formatted	Fatal

### Tables of Codes for Fields in Precinct/District Mapping Files

Table D-2

#### DISTRICT CODES FOR STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION DISTRICTS

Used In Precinct/District Record Field Number 4

District Code	State Board of Equalization District Number
SE001	1
SE002	2
SE003	3
SE004	4

Table D-3

DISTRICT CODES FOR U.S. CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS

Used In Precinct/District Record Field Number 4

District Code	U.S. Congressional District Number	District Code	U.S. Congressional District Number	District Code	U.S. Congressional District Number
CG001	1	CG019	19	CG037	37
CG002	2	CG020	20	CG038	38
CG003	3	CG021	21	CG039	39
CG004	4	CG022	22	CG040	40
CG005	5	CG023	23	CG041	41
CG006	6	CG024	24	CG042	42
CG007	7	CG025	25	CG043	43
CG008	8	CG026	26	CG044	44
CG009	9	CG027	27	CG045	45
CG010	10	CG028	28	CG046	46
CG011	11	CG029	29	CG047	47
CG012	12	CG030	30	CG048	48
CG013	13	CG031	31	CG049	49
CG014	14	CG032	32	CG050	50
CG015	15	CG033	33	CG051	51
CG016	16	CG034	34	CG052	52
CG017	17	CG035	35	CG053	53
CG018	18	CG036	36		

Table D-4

DISTRICT CODES FOR STATE SENATE DISTRICTS

Used In Precinct/District Record Field Number 4

District Code	State Senate District Number	District Code	State Senate District Number	District Code	State Senate District Number
SS001	1	SS015	15	SS028	28
SS002	2	SS016	16	SS029	29
SS003	3	SS017	17	SS030	30
SS004	4	SS018	18	SS031	31
SS005	5	SS019	19	SS032	32
SS006	6	SS020	20	SS033	33
SS007	7	SS021	21	SS034	34
SS008	8	SS022	22	SS035	35
SS009	9	SS023	23	SS036	36
SS010	10	SS024	24	SS037	37
SS011	11	SS025	25	SS038	38
SS012	12	SS026	26	SS039	39
SS013	13	SS027	27	SS040	40
SS014	14				

Table D-5  
 DISTRICT CODES FOR STATE ASSEMBLY DISTRICTS

Used In Precinct/District Record Field Number 4

District Code	State Assembly District Number	District Code	State Assembly District Number	District Code	State Assembly District Number
SA001	1	SA028	28	SA055	55
SA002	2	SA029	29	SA056	56
SA003	3	SA030	30	SA057	57
SA004	4	SA031	31	SA058	58
SA005	5	SA032	32	SA059	59
SA006	6	SA033	33	SA060	60
SA007	7	SA034	34	SA061	61
SA008	8	SA035	35	SA062	62
SA009	9	SA036	36	SA063	63
SA010	10	SA037	37	SA064	64
SA011	11	SA038	38	SA065	65
SA012	12	SA039	39	SA066	66
SA013	13	SA040	40	SA067	67
SA014	14	SA041	41	SA068	68
SA015	15	SA042	42	SA069	69
SA016	16	SA043	43	SA070	70
SA017	17	SA044	44	SA071	71
SA018	18	SA045	45	SA072	72
SA019	19	SA046	46	SA073	73
SA020	20	SA047	47	SA074	74
SA021	21	SA048	48	SA075	75
SA022	22	SA049	49	SA076	76
SA023	23	SA050	50	SA077	77
SA024	24	SA051	51	SA078	78
SA025	25	SA052	52	SA079	79
SA026	26	SA053	53	SA080	80
SA027	27	SA054	54		

**C. Deficiency Files**

**File Format : Registrant Transaction Deficiency**

Field No.	Field Name	Max Length	Data Type	Data Restrictions	Description
1	Registrant ID	10	Alpha-numeric		The Registrant ID provided in the Registrant Transaction Record
2	Validation Rule	4	Numeric		The validation rule number violated per the Calvoter Data Standards for the Registrant Transaction Record
3	Severity	1	Alpha-numeric	Must be 'F' or 'D'	'F' for a fatal error. 'D' for a deficiency.

**File Format : Precinct/District Mapping Deficiency File**

Field No.	Field Name	Max Length	Data Type	Data Restrictions	Description
1	County Code	2	Alpha-numeric		County Code from the uploaded file
2	Precinct	7	Alpha-numeric		Precinct from the uploaded file
3	Precinct Part	3	Alpha-numeric		Precinct Part from the uploaded file
4	District Id	5	Alpha-numeric		District Id from the uploaded file
5	Effective Date	10	Date	MM-DD-YYYY	Effective Date from the uploaded file
6	Validation Rule	4	Numeric		The validation rule number violated per the Calvoter Data Standards for the Precinct/District Mapping Transaction Record
7	Severity	1	Alpha-numeric	Must be 'F' or 'D'	'F' for a fatal error. 'D' for a deficiency.