S248125	
Supreme Court No.	

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In re Christopher Lee White,	Court of Appeal No. D073054 Superior Court No. SCN376029
Petitioner,	1
On Habeas Corpus.	

PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE

LAURA SCHAEFER
State Bar No. 138801
BOYCE & SCHAEFER
934 23rd Street
San Diego, CA 92102-1914
(619) 232-3320
Email: ls@boyce-schaefer.com
Attorneys for petitioner
CHRISTOPHER LEE WHITE

To the Honorable Tani Cantil-Sakauye, Chief Justice, and the Honorable Associate Justices of the Supreme Court of the State of California:

Pursuant to rules 8.252 and 8.366(a)/8.470 of the California Rules of Court, petitioner, Christopher Lee White, respectfully requests that this court take judicial notice of the reporter's transcript of the readiness conference before the Honorable K. Michael Kirkman on February 23, 2018 in this case, attached to the Declaration of Laura Schaefer as Exhibit A. (Evidence Code §§ 452, subd. (d)(1), and 459, subd. (a).)

This request is based upon the present moving papers, the supporting memorandum of points and authorities, and the petition filed in this case.

Dated: April 10, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

LAURA SCHAEFER Attorney for petitioner

CHRISTOPHER LEE WHITE

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE

I. This Court may take judicial notice of the reporter's transcript of the February 23, 2018, hearing because it is relevant to the issue raised in Mr. White's petition for review.

A reviewing court may take judicial notice of the records of a state court relevant to the issue presented. (Evid. Code § 452, subd. (d)(1); See, *Taus v. Loftus* (40 Cal.4th 683, 726 [Reviewing court may take judicial notice of court records under Evidence Code section 452 where they are relevant to the issue presented].) The transcript of the readiness conference hearing conducted on February 23, 2018, before the Fourth District Court of Appeal, Division One, issued its opinion in this case is relevant to whether Mr. White's pretrial detention is for the asserted State interest of protection of the public or whether the prosecutor is using the pretrial detention of Mr. White as punishment.

II. Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, petitioner requests that judicial notice be granted.

Dated: April 10, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

LAURA SCHAEFER

Attorney for petitioner

CHRISTOPHER LEE WHITE

Declaration of Laura Schaefer

I, Laura Schaefer, counsel for petitioner, declare that Exhibit A is a certified copy of the reporter's transcript of the readiness conference before the Honorable K. Michael Kirkman on February 23, 2018.

I declare under penalty of perjury the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 10th day of April, 2018, at San Diego, California.

Zaura Schaefer

EXHIBIT A

	•	1	
1	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT	OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
2	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO		
3	NORTH (COUNTY DIVISION	
4			
5	DEPARTMENT 5	HON. K. MICHAEL KIRKMAN, JUDGE	
6			
7	THE PEOPLE,))))	
8	110) CASE NO. SCN376029	
9	VS.) READINESS CONFERENCE)	
10	CHRISTOPHER WHITE, JEREMIAH OWENS)	
11	DEFENDAN	TS.))	
12			
13		ER'S TRANSCRIPT	
14		JARY 23, 2018	
15	APPEARANCES:		
16	FOR THE PLAINTIFF:	DISTRICT ATTORNEY	
17		BY: DAN OWENS DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY	
18		325 SOUTH MELROSE, SUITE 5000 VISTA, CALIFORNIA 92083	
19	FOR DEFENDANT OWENS:	ANGELA BARTOSIK	
20		PUBLIC DEFENDER BY: SHERRY STONE	
21		DEPUTY PUBLIC DEFENDER 495 LA TORTUGA DRIVE	
22		SUITE 100 VISTA, CALIFORNIA 92081	
23	FOR DEFENDANT WHITE:		
24		ATTORNEY AT LAW 934 23RD STREET	
25		SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92102	
26	CERTIFIED T	'RANSCRIPT	
27			
	JANET F	. GIANNANTONIO, CSR 12717	

JANET F. GIANNANTONIO, CSR 12717 OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER VISTA, CALIFORNIA

1 DEFENDANT WHITE: YES, I DO. 2 THE COURT: MR. OWENS. 3 **DEFENDANT OWENS: MM-MM.** 4 THE COURT: IS THAT YES? 5 **DEFENDANT OWENS: YES.** 6 THE COURT: COUNSEL JOIN? 7 MS. STONE: YES. 8 MR. BOYCE: YES, YOUR HONOR. 9 THE COURT: VERY GOOD. TIME IS WAIVED. AND THIS IS BY 10 AGREEMENT. 11 PARTIES REQUIRE ADDITIONAL TIME TO CONDUCT 12 FURTHER INVESTIGATION AND PREPARATION FOR TRIAL. 13 THE COURT VACATES THE TRIAL PREVIOUSLY 14 SCHEDULED FOR MARCH THE 5TH. CALENDAR THE MATTER INSTEAD 15 FOR MAY 14TH AT 8:40 IN THIS DEPARTMENT. ALL PARTIES 16 ORDERED BACK THAT DATE AND TIME FOR TRIAL. 17 ALSO ORDERED BACK ON MARCH THE 23RD AT 18 9:30 IN THIS DEPARTMENT FOR FURTHER READINESS AT WHICH TIME 19 WE WOULD HAVE A DISCUSSION REGARDING PREASSIGNMENT OF THE --20 THE MATTER. 21 IN THE INTERIM, BAIL REMAINS AS PREVIOUSLY 22 SET. 23 ANYTHING MORE ON BEHALF OF YOUR CLIENT, 24 MS. STONE? 25 MS. STONE: NO, THANK YOU. 26 THE COURT: AND MR. BOYCE, ON BEHALF OF YOUR CLIENT? 27 MR. BOYCE: YES, YOUR HONOR. I BELIEVE THAT MR. OWENS

IS GOING TO PUT ON THE RECORD THE PROPOSED PLEA AGREEMENT ON

BEHALF -- FOR MR. WHITE.

THE COURT: VERY GOOD. AND THEN INDEED, HAVING HEARD FROM DEFENSE COUNSEL, THE PEOPLE DO HAVE AN OFFER ON THE TABLE THAT'S BEEN EXTENDED THAT YOU WISH TO ARTICULATE FOR THE RECORD. MR. OWENS.

MR. OWENS: YES. AND I CAN DO THAT AT THIS TIME, YOUR HONOR.

I WOULD NOTE THAT PROCEDURALLY SPEAKING,

THERE IS A HABEAS PETITION THAT WAS FILED ON BEHALF OF

MR. WHITE WITHIN THE FOURTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS. THE

APPELLATE COURT HAS NOT DECIDED THAT ISSUE AS OF TODAY.

WE HAVE NOT RECEIVED THE OPINION ON THE ISSUE CHALLENGING THE NO BAIL ORDER THAT EXISTS REGARDING

MR. WHITE. ONCE THAT IS RECEIVED, I THINK THAT WE WILL BE IN CONTINUED DISCUSSIONS REGARDING THE DISPOSITION OF THIS CASE.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

MR. OWENS: BUT AS IT RELATES TO TODAY AND THE CURRENT OFFER, I WOULD NOTE THAT EACH OF THE DEFENDANTS ARE BOTH INDIVIDUALLY CHARGED WITH FOUR FELONY COUNTS.

MR. OWENS HAS EXTENDED AN OFFER TO PLEAD TO COUNT 1, WHICH WOULD BE THE ATTEMPTED KIDNAPPING FOR PURPOSE OF RAPE OR LEWD AND LASCIVIOUS ACTS WITH A MINOR, A 15-YEAR-OLD GIRL. THEY HAVE EXTENDED THE OFFER TO PLEA TO COUNT 1 FOR A STIPULATED PRISON TERM OF SEVEN YEARS.

AT THIS STAGE THE PEOPLE WILL NOT ACCEPT THAT OFFER UNLESS THERE IS A GLOBAL RESOLUTION BETWEEN ALL PARTIES ON -- WITH BOTH DEFENDANTS.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

MR. OWENS: AS IT RELATES TO THE SECOND DEFENDANT,

MR. WHITE, MR. WHITE IS LIKEWISE CHARGED WITH FOUR FELONY

COUNTS, INCLUDING COUNT 1. COUNT 2 IS A SEXUAL ASSAULT OF A

MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 18. COUNT 3 IS A CONTACTING A MINOR

WITH THE INTENT TO COMMIT A SEXUAL OFFENSE. AND COUNT 4 IS

FALSE IMPRISONMENT.

THE PEOPLE, AT THIS STAGE, HAVE EXTENDED AN OFFER IN LIGHT OF THE OFFER THAT WAS EXTENDED BY MR. OWENS. WE WOULD BE WILLING TO ACCEPT A PLEA TO AN ACCESSORY TO A FELONY, SPECIFICALLY THE ATTEMPTED KIDNAPPING FOR RAPE OR LEWD ACT WITH A MINOR. THAT WOULD BE A PENAL CODE SECTION 32 VIOLATION. AND THE PEOPLE WOULD AGREE TO A STIPULATED TERM OF FORMAL PROBATION. AND --

THE COURT: AND FORMAL PROBATION AND CREDIT TIME SERVED OR 365 DAYS? WHAT'S THE FURTHER AGREEMENT?

MR. OWENS: WE HAVE NOT ADDRESSED THE SPECIFIC TIME IN CUSTODY AT THIS STAGE, BUT I WOULD NOTE FOR THE COURT THAT MR. WHITE DOES HAVE 211 ACTUAL DAYS IN CUSTODY AS OF TODAY'S DATE.

THE COURT: WHICH MAKES THE -- WHICH MAKES THE ISSUE REALLY MORE OR LESS MOOT.

MR. OWENS: EXACTLY, YOUR HONOR. BY THE TIME OF SENTENCING HE WOULD EXCEED THE 365 DAYS FROM CREDITS.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. VERY GOOD.

MR. BOYCE: AND NO 290 REGISTRATION EITHER, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: CORRECT.

MR. OWENS: THAT IS ACCURATE. SOLELY AS IT RELATES, OF

COURSE, TO MR. WHITE.

THE COURT: AND THEN WITH REGARD TO MR. WHITE, IF THE CASE PROCEEDS TO TRIAL AND MR. WHITE IS CONVICTED OF EVERYTHING THAT IS PRESENTLY BEING CHARGED, WHAT IS HIS EXPOSURE?

MR. OWENS: MAXIMUM EXPOSURE WOULD BE NINE YEARS IN STATE PRISON IF CONVICTED OF EACH ONE OF THE INDIVIDUAL CHARGES. THAT IS THE FULL EXPOSURE FOR BOTH COUNT 1 AND COUNT 2. AND ALL FOUR COUNTS THE PEOPLE WOULD CONCEDE ARE 654.

THE COURT: OKAY. AND SO INDEED, THE DEFENDANT, IF CONVICTED, WOULD BE CONVICTED OF A STRIKE AS WELL.

MR. OWENS: YES. IN FACT, IT WOULD BE MULTIPLE

STRIKES, BUT ALSO MANDATORY 290 REGISTRATION FOR THE REST OF

HIS LIFE.

THE COURT: RIGHT. AND IF CONVICTED OF MULTIPLE

STRIKES THAT MEANS THAT IN THE FUTURE IF THE DEFENDANT IS

CONVICTED OF ANY FELONY OR ANY OF A NUMBER OF -- WELL, ANY

OF A NUMBER OF ENUMERATED FELONIES AND ANY SERIOUS OR

VIOLENT FELONY, THE DEFENDANT WOULD FACE A TERM MANDATED BY

LAW OF 25 YEARS TO LIFE; IS THAT CORRECT?

MR. OWENS: YES, IT IS.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. AND EACH OF THE STRIKE OFFENSES WOULD ADD FIVE YEARS TO ANY TERM IN THAT CASE AS WELL, LET THE RECORD REFLECT.

MR. BOYCE, UNDERSTANDING THE PEOPLE'S

POSITION THAT'S BEEN CONVEYED TO YOU, HAVE YOU DISCUSSED THE

POSITION OF THE PROSECUTION WITH YOUR CLIENT?

1 MR. BOYCE: YES, I HAVE, YOUR HONOR. 2 THE COURT: AND HAVING DISCUSSED THE PROSECUTION'S 3 POSITION ON THE MATTER, WHAT IS YOUR CLIENT'S POSITION WITH 4 REGARD TO THE OFFER? 5 MR. BOYCE: HE'S -- HE DOES NOT WANT TO ACCEPT THE 6 OFFER. 7 THE COURT: OKAY. ALL RIGHT THEN. WHICH IS CERTAINLY 8 HIS RIGHT. HE HAS A RIGHT TO A JURY TRIAL IN THE MATTER. 9 MR. WHITE, I JUST ENCOURAGE YOU TO CONTINUE 10 TO TALK WITH COUNSEL. KEEP AN OPEN MIND WITH REGARD TO THE 11 MATTER. THE POTENTIAL CONSEQUENCES HAVE BEEN EXPLAINED TO 12 YOU. IT'S IMPORTANT THAT YOU UNDERSTAND THE CONSEQUENCES 13 POTENTIALLY IF, IN FACT, YOU'RE CONVICTED OF EVERYTHING 14 CHARGED IN THIS CASE. AND AS STATED, IF INDEED YOU SEEK A 15 TRIAL IN THIS MATTER, THE COURT WILL AFFORD YOU A RIGHT TO A 16 JURY TRIAL AND, OF COURSE, I KNOW THAT MR. BOYCE WOULD DO AN 17 EXCELLENT JOB ON YOUR BEHALF. IT IS IMPORTANT YOU 18 UNDERSTAND NONETHELESS WHAT POTENTIAL RAMIFICATIONS ARE. 19 SO WITH THAT, THINK ABOUT THE MATTER FURTHER 20 21 22 SET.

AS WILL MR. OWENS AND WE WILL CONFIRM THE DATES THAT I'VE SET FOR READINESS CONFERENCE AND JURY TRIAL. AGAIN, BAIL IS

ANYTHING MORE, MR. BOYCE?

23

24

25

26

27

28

MR. BOYCE: YES, YOUR HONOR. IN LIGHT OF THE -- OF THE PEOPLE'S OFFER, I BELIEVE THAT CONSTITUTES CHANGED CIRCUMSTANCES AND I WOULD RENEW THE MOTION FOR BAIL.

A PC 32 OFFER WITH A NOLT IN LIGHT OF THE CIRCUMSTANCES IN THIS CASE WOULD AMOUNT TO AN IMMEDIATE

1 RELEASE
2 MR. WHIT
3 INCONSIS

RELEASE OR AT LEAST AT THE TIME OF THE SENTENCING FOR MR. WHITE. AND I THINK THAT POSITION IS COMPLETELY INCONSISTENT WITH A NO BAIL STATUS OF MR. WHITE.

THE COURT: IF YOU WANT TO SET THE MATTER FOR NOTICED MOTION, YOU MAY.

MR. BOYCE: I DO, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: JUST IS NOT -- HAS NOT BEEN NOTICED. I
KNOW THAT, OF COURSE, WE HAVE THE MATTER UP ON A WRIT AS
REGARDS BAIL IN THIS CASE. I DON'T BELIEVE, MY INITIAL
REACTION, THERE ARE ANY CHANGED CIRCUMSTANCES. THERE'S A
DIFFERENT OFFER PERHAPS, BUT IN TERMS OF THE CHARGES THAT HE
PRESENTLY HAS LEVIED AGAINST HIM, THE POTENTIAL
CONSEQUENCES, THOSE REMAIN THE SAME. AND ANY ISSUES THAT
MIGHT RELATE TO WHETHER HE CONSTITUTES A FLIGHT RISK REMAIN
IN EFFECT AS WELL GIVEN STILL THE POTENTIAL CONSEQUENCES.

BUT IF YOU WISH TO LITIGATE THE ISSUE FURTHER BY WAY OF NOTICED MOTION, THEN WE'LL NEED TO CALENDAR IT FOR THAT PURPOSE.

MR. BOYCE: COULD WE DO THAT NOW, YOUR HONOR? I HAVE FILED -- THERE IS A MOTION ON FILE AND I DON'T THINK ANYTHING IS GOING TO CHANGE WITHIN THE MOTION THAT SETS FORTH NUMEROUS CHARACTER LETTERS AND BACKGROUND LETTERS REGARDING MR. WHITE. THERE'S ALSO A PRELIMINARY HEARING TRANSCRIPT THAT'S PART OF THE RECORD.

SO I THINK THE COURT WOULD HAVE EVERYTHING

THE COURT WOULD NEED TO RULE ON THAT MOTION. AND I THINK,

EVEN THOUGH THERE'S A WRIT PRESENTLY PENDING, BECAUSE OF THE

CHANGED CIRCUMSTANCES, THE COURT HAS JURISDICTION TO

CONSIDER BAIL IN LIGHT OF THE -- IN LIGHT OF THE PLEA OR --1 2 THE COURT: WELL, I DON'T DISAGREE WITH YOU ON THAT. 3 MR. OWENS: YOUR HONOR, MAY I RESPOND --4 THE COURT: YOU CAN. 5 MR. OWENS: -- PROCEDURALLY AS TO THE MOTION THAT YOU 6 JUST REFERENCED. THERE WAS A AFFIRMATIVE MOTION FOR 7 REASONABLE BAIL THAT WAS FILED BY MR. BOYCE ON BEHALF OF 8 MR. WHITE. THAT MOTION WAS HEARD AND ENTERTAINED BY THE 9 MAGISTRATE AT THE PRELIMINARY HEARING ON THIS MATTER ON 10 OCTOBER 5TH. THAT MOTION WAS DENIED. 11 AT THE TIME OF THE ARRAIGNMENT, NO BAIL WAS 12 SET BY THE ARRAIGNMENT COURT. 13 AT THE TIME OF THE PRELIMINARY HEARING, NO 14 BAIL WAS AFFIRMED BASED UPON A CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE 15 STANDARD THAT EACH OF THE INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS POSED A 16 SUBSTANTIAL DANGER OF INFLICTING GREAT BODILY INJURY UPON 17 THE VICTIM HERSELF OR CHILDREN AT LARGE IN THE COMMUNITY. 18 WE HAVE NOW HAD TWO COURTS THAT LOOKED AT IT, 19 AS WELL AS THE APPELLATE COURT AND FOURTH DISTRICT COURT OF 20 APPEAL AND THEIR OPINION IS PENDING. 21 SO I WOULD ASK THAT, AT THIS POINT IN TIME, 22 MR. BOYCE IS, OF COURSE, FREE TO FILE ANY NEW MOTIONS THAT 23 HE MAY LIKE TO FILE, BUT THERE IS NOT A PENDING MOTION THAT 24 I WOULD SAY, AT THIS POINT IN TIME, THAT WOULD ALLOW THE 25 COURT TO ENTERTAIN ANY CHANGE IN CIRCUMSTANCES AND ATTEMPTED 26 TO SET BAIL.

THE COURT: WELL, THAT'S THE ISSUE. HAS THERE AND IS
THERE ANY CASE AUTHORITY THAT SUGGESTS IT BECAUSE OF A

27

PARTICULAR OFFER HAVING BEEN EXTENDED THAT CONSTITUTES A CHANGES. BECAUSE IF IT DOESN'T, THE COURT WON'T REVISIT THE ISSUE. SO I'LL GIVE COUNSEL AN OPPORTUNITY TO LODGE ANY POINTS AND AUTHORITIES ON THAT SUBJECT THAT YOU WISH TO LODGE.

MR. BOYCE'S POSITION IS THAT THAT DOES

CONSTITUTE A CHANGE. THAT IS, THE OFFER THAT'S BEEN AT

LEAST CONSIDERED BY THE PROSECUTION. AND AS A RESULT THE

COURT SHOULD ENTERTAIN A -- A FURTHER BAIL REVIEW IN THE

MATTER.

THE QUESTION FOR THE COURT NOW BECOMES WHAT DATE SHOULD YOU SET FILE POINTS AND AUTHORITIES ON THE SUBJECT YOU BELIEVE AND IF, IN FACT, THAT ARE NECESSARY TO THE ISSUE AND I'LL CONSIDER WHAT YOU HAVE TO SUBMIT ON THE SUBJECT MATTER.

AND AVAILABILITY BECOMES A BIT OF AN ISSUE.

THIS IS, AS EVERYBODY'S KNOWS, A VERY BUSY CALENDAR DURING

THE BALANCE OF THE -- OF THE WEEK. FRIDAYS ARE BUSY ENOUGH.

WHAT DAY ARE YOU SEEKING, MR. BOYCE?

MR. OWENS: YOUR HONOR, IN TERMS OF SETTING THE DATES, WHAT MAY BE OF ASSISTANCE IS IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THE FOURTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS HAS 30 DAYS WITH WHICH TO RENDER THEIR OPINION FOLLOWING THE ORAL ARGUMENT ON THIS HABEAS WRIT.

THE COURT: THAT WAS THE DATE OF THAT ORAL?

MR. OWENS: THAT WAS FEBRUARY 13TH.

THE COURT: HOW ABOUT MARCH 16TH, 9:30?

MR. BOYCE: YOUR HONOR, I HAVE A -- AT LEAST A TRIAL

	1
1	CALL DOWNTOWN. I'M NOT SURE IF IT'S GOING TO GO OUT THAT
2	DAY, BUT
3	THE COURT: OKAY. HOW ABOUT MARCH THE 23RD?
4	MR. OWENS: WE'LL BE HERE FOR THE READINESS ON THIS
5	MATTER ANYWAY, YOUR HONOR. SO PERHAPS WE CAN HEAR
6	THE COURT: THAT IS THE READINESS THAT WE SET, ISN'T
7	IT? SO HOW ABOUT DOING IT ON THE 23RD?
8	MR. BOYCE: THAT'S FINE, YOUR HONOR.
9	THE COURT: OKAY. VERY GOOD. THANK YOU.
10	MR. OWENS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
11	/ / /
12	(END OF PROCEEDINGS.)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

	11
1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
2) SS: COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO)
3	
4	I, JANET F. GIANNANTONIO, CSR NO. 12717, OFFICIAL
5	COURT REPORTER FOR THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF
6	CALIFORNIA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, DO HEREBY
7	CERTIFY:
8	THAT AS SUCH REPORTER, I REPORTED IN MACHINE
9	SHORTHAND THE PROCEEDINGS HELD IN THE FOREGOING CASE;
10	THAT MY NOTES WERE TRANSCRIBED INTO TYPEWRITING
11	UNDER MY DIRECTION AND THE PROCEEDINGS HELD ON FEBRUARY 23,
12	2018, CONTAINED WITHIN PAGES NUMBERED 1 THROUGH 12,
13	INCLUSIVE, ARE A TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF THE SAID
14	PROCEEDINGS.
15	DATED THIS 7TH DAY OF MARCH, 2018.
16	
17	Lamatemo
18	JANET F. GIANNANTONIO, CSR 12717
19	SAN DIEGO COUNTY
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	ll control of the con

Supreme Court of California

Jorge E. Navarrete, Clerk and Executive Officer of the Court

Electronically FILED on 4/10/2018 by Celia Wong, Deputy Clerk

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Supreme Court of California

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIASupreme Court of California

Case Name: People v. Christopher Lee White

Case Number: TEMP-S0G1GWQW

Lower Court Case Number:

- 1. At the time of service I was at least 18 years of age and not a party to this legal action.
- 2. My email address used to e-serve: ls@boyce-schaefer.com
- 3. I served by email a copy of the following document(s) indicated below:

Title(s) of papers e-served:

Filing Type	Document Title
PETITION FOR REVIEW	Petition for Review
EXHIBITS	Exhibits to Petition for Review
REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE	Request for Judicial Notice

Service Recipients:

Law Firm

Person Served	Email Address	Type	Date / Time
Laura Schaefer	ls@boyce-schaefer.com	e-Service	4/10/2018 2:49:49 PM
Boyce & Schaefer			
138801			

This proof of service was automatically created, submitted and signed on my behalf through my agreements with TrueFiling and its contents are true to the best of my information, knowledge, and belief.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date		
/s/Laura Schaefer		
Signature		
Schaefer, Laura (138801)		
Last Name, First Name (PNum)		
Boyce & Schaefer		