Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges Relative to Gender, Race/Ethnicity, and Gender Identity/Sexual Orientation (Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n)) As of December 31, 2018¹

Gender

Court	Fe	male	M	ale		otal ondents
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Supreme Court	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	6	100.0%
Court of Appeal	41	39.4%	63	60.6%	104	100.0%
Trial Court	589	36.1%	1,044	63.9%	1,633	100.0%
Total	633	36.3%	1,110	63.7%	1,743	100.0%

Race/Ethnicity²

Court	Indian	erican or Alaska ve Only		n Only		or African can Only	1	anic or to Only		Islander only		e Only		Other Race		Than Race		ation Not	-	otal ondents
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Supreme Court	0	0.0%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	6	100.0%
Court of Appeal	0	0.0%	5	4.8%	10	9.6%	7	6.7%	0	0.0%	75	72.1%	2	1.9%	5	4.8%	0	0.0%	104	100.0%
Trial Court	8	0.5%	127	7.8%	123	7.5%	177	10.8%	4	0.2%	1,079	66.1%	16	1.0%	62	3.8%	37	2.3%	1,633	100.0%
Total	8	0.5%	134	7.7%	134	7.7%	185	10.6%	4	0.2%	1,155	66.3%	18	1.0%	68	3.9%	37	2.1%	1,743	100.0%

^{1.} The tabled data reflect responses from justices and judges that were active and serving on the bench as of December 31, 2018. The tables do not include demographic information for justices that were appointed but not yet confirmed, nor for judges that were appointed but had not yet taken their oaths of office as of December 31, 2018.

^{2.} The race and ethnicity category descriptions were adapted from definitions used by the U.S. Census Bureau in Census 2000. The same category descriptions were used by the U.S. Census Bureau in Census 2010. See page 21 for descriptions of race and ethnicity categories.

^{3. &}quot;Some other race only" includes respondents who indicated they do not consider themselves to be any of the six identified race and ethnicity categories. To provide the most accurate data, the "some other race only" category includes only those respondents who identified some other race or ethnicity that did not clearly fall within one or more of the six identified categories.

^{4. &}quot;Information not provided" includes non-responses by active justices and judges participating in the survey that did not respond to a given survey question, as well as a smaller group of active justices and judges that have not responded to the survey more generally.

Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges Relative to Gender, Race/Ethnicity, and Gender Identity/Sexual Orientation (Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n)) As of December 31, 2018¹

Gender Identity/Sexual Orientation

											Informa	ation Not	T	otal
Court	Heter	osexual	Les	sbian	C	ay	Bise	exual	Trans	sgender	Prov	vided ²	Respo	ndents ³
-	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Supreme Court	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	100.0%
Court of Appeal	81	77.9%	2	1.9%	1	1.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	20	19.2%	104	100.0%
Trial Court	1,145	70.1%	25	1.5%	31	1.9%	2	0.1%	1	0.1%	429	26.3%	1,633	100.0%
Total	1,232	70.7%	27	1.5%	32	1.8%	2	0.1%	1	0.1%	449	25.8%	1,743	100.0%

^{1.} The tabled data reflect responses from justices and judges that were active and serving on the bench as of December 31, 2018. The tables do not include demographic information for justices that were appointed but not yet confirmed, nor for judges that were appointed but had not yet taken their oaths of office as of December 31, 2018.

^{2. &}quot;Information not provided" includes non-responses by active justices and judges participating in the survey that did not respond to a given survey question, as well as a smaller group of active justices and judges that have not responded to the survey more generally.

^{3.} Since the selection of more than one response alternative is possible for the question pertaining to gender identity/sexual orientation, total responses to this question may be greater than the sum of justices and judges responding to the survey in a given year.

Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges Relative to Gender (Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n)) As of December 31, 2018¹

Court	Fe	male	N	I ale	Total Re	espondents
	N	%	N	0/0	N	%
Supreme Court	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	6	100.0%
Court of Appeal	41	<i>39.4%</i>	63	60.6%	104	100.0%
First District	9	42.9%	12	57.1%	21	100.0%
Second District	14	46.7%	16	53.3%	30	100.0%
Third District	3	27.3%	8	72.7%	11	100.0%
Fourth District	10	38.5%	16	61.5%	26	100.0%
Fifth District	1	11.1%	8	88.9%	9	100.0%
Sixth District	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	7	100.0%
Trial Court	589	<i>36.1%</i>	1,044	63.9%	1,633	100.0%
Alameda	27	37.5%	45	62.5%	72	100.0%
Alpine	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%
Amador	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2	100.0%
Butte	6	54.5%	5	45.5%	11	100.0%
Calaveras	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%
Colusa	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2	100.0%
Contra Costa	22	57.9%	16	42.1%	38	100.0%
Del Norte	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%
El Dorado	4	50.0%	4	50.0%	8	100.0%
Fresno	16	37.2%	27	62.8%	43	100.0%

Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges Relative to Gender (Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n)) As of December 31, 2018¹

Court	Fe	male	N	M ale	Total R	espondents
Trial Court	N	%	N	%	N	0/0
Glen	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1	100.0%
Humboldt	2	33.3%	4	66.7%	6	100.0%
Imperial	2	20.0%	8	80.0%	10	100.0%
Inyo	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%
Kern	7	23.3%	23	76.7%	30	100.0%
Kings	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7	100.0%
Lake	0	0.0%	4	100.0%	4	100.0%
Lassen	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%
Los Angeles	177	37.1%	300	62.9%	477	100.0%
Madera	1	14.3%	6	85.7%	7	100.0%
Marin	6	46.2%	7	53.8%	13	100.0%
Mariposa	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%
Mendocino	4	50.0%	4	50.0%	8	100.0%
Merced	3	30.0%	7	70.0%	10	100.0%
Modoc	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%
Mono	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%
Monterey	9	50.0%	9	50.0%	18	100.0%
Napa	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	5	100.0%
Nevada	2	33.3%	4	66.7%	6	100.0%
Orange	38	30.9%	85	69.1%	123	100.0%
Placer	2	20.0%	8	80.0%	10	100.0%
Plumas	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2	100.0%
Riverside	17	28.3%	43	71.7%	60	100.0%
Sacramento	19	31.1%	42	68.9%	61	100.0%
San Benito	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%

Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges Relative to Gender (Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n)) As of December 31, 2018¹

Court	Fe	emale	N	Male	Total R	espondents
Trial Court	N	%	N	%	N	%
San Bernardino	19	27.1%	51	72.9%	70	100.0%
San Diego	51	38.1%	83	61.9%	134	100.0%
San Francisco	20	40.8%	29	59.2%	49	100.0%
San Joaquin	8	27.6%	21	72.4%	29	100.0%
San Luis Obispo	7	58.3%	5	41.7%	12	100.0%
San Mateo	11	42.3%	15	57.7%	26	100.0%
Santa Barbara	7	31.8%	15	68.2%	22	100.0%
Santa Clara	35	46.7%	40	53.3%	75	100.0%
Santa Cruz	5	41.7%	7	58.3%	12	100.0%
Shasta	4	40.0%	6	60.0%	10	100.0%
Sierra	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2	100.0%
Siskiyou	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4	100.0%
Solano	7	38.9%	11	61.1%	18	100.0%
Sonoma	7	35.0%	13	65.0%	20	100.0%
Stanislaus	8	38.1%	13	61.9%	21	100.0%
Sutter	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	4	100.0%
Tehama	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	4	100.0%
Trinity	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1	100.0%
Tulare	7	35.0%	13	65.0%	20	100.0%
Tuolumne	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	4	100.0%
Ventura	6	20.7%	23	79.3%	29	100.0%
Yolo	3	30.0%	7	70.0%	10	100.0%
Yuba	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	5	100.0%

^{1.} The tabled data reflect responses from justices and judges that were active and serving on the bench as of December 31, 2018. The tables do not include demographic information for justices that were appointed but not yet confirmed, nor for judges that were appointed but had not yet taken their oaths of office as of December 31, 2018.

Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges Relative to Race/Ethnicity (Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n)) As of December 31, 2018¹

Race/Ethnicity ²		erican ian or			Rla	ck or														
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		a Native				rican	Hisn	anic or	Pacific	Islander			Some	Other	More	e Than	Infor	mation		
Court		nly	Asia	n Only		can Only		o Only		nly	Whit	e Only	Race	Only ³		Race	Not P	rovided ⁴	Total Re	espondents
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	0/0
Supreme Court	0	0.0%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	6	100.0%
Court of Appeal	0	0.0%	5	4.8%	10	9.6%	7	6.7%	0	0.0%	75	72.1%	2	1.9%	5	4.8%	0	0.0%	104	100.0%
First District	0	0.0%	1	4.8%	3	14.3%	1	4.8%	0	0.0%	14	66.7%	1	4.8%	1	4.8%	0	0.0%	21	100.0%
Second District	0	0.0%	3	10.0%	3	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	23	76.7%	0	0.0%	1	3.3%	0	0.0%	30	100.0%
Third District	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	18.2%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	8	72.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11	100.0%
Fourth District	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.8%	3	11.5%	0	0.0%	20	76.9%	0	0.0%	2	7.7%	0	0.0%	26	100.0%
Fifth District	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	11.1%	2	22.2%	0	0.0%	5	55.6%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9	100.0%
Sixth District	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	71.4%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%
Trial Court	8	0.5%	127	7.8%	123	7.5%	177	10.8%	4	0.2%	1,079	66.1%	16	1.0%	62	3.8%	37	2.3%	1,633	100.0%
Alameda	0	0.0%	8	11.1%	13	18.1%	6	8.3%	0	0.0%	40	55.6%	0	0.0%	5	6.9%	0	0.0%	72	100.0%
Alpine	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Amador	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Butte	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	9	81.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11	100.0%
Calaveras	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Colusa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Contra Costa	0	0.0%	4	10.5%	3	7.9%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%	28	73.7%	0	0.0%	2	5.3%	0	0.0%	38	100.0%
Del Norte	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
El Dorado	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	100.0%
Fresno	1	2.3%	0	0.0%	5	11.6%	7	16.3%	0	0.0%	27	62.8%	1	2.3%	2	4.7%	0	0.0%	43	100.0%
Glenn	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%
Humboldt	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	100.0%
Imperial	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	4	40.0%	0	0.0%	3	30.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	10	100.0%
Inyo	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Kern	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.3%	3	10.0%	1	3.3%	24	80.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.3%	0	0.0%	30	100.0%

Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges Relative to Race/Ethnicity (Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n)) As of December 31, 2018¹

Race/Ethnicity ²	Ind	erican ian or a Native				ck or rican	Hisp	anic or	Pacific	Islander				e Other	Mor	e Than	Infor	mation		
Court	C	Inly	Asia	n Only	Americ	can Only	Latin	o Only	C	Inly	Whi	te Only	Race	e Only ³	One	Race	Not P	rovided ⁴	Total R	espondents
Trial Court	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Kings	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%
Lake	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%
Lassen	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Los Angeles	3	0.6%	51	10.7%	55	11.5%	72	15.1%	2	0.4%	251	52.6%	7	1.5%	21	4.4%	15	3.1%	477	100.0%
Madera	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	85.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%
Marin	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	10	76.9%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	13	100.0%
Mariposa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Mendocino	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	6	75.0%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	8	100.0%
Merced	0	0.0%	2	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	80.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	100.0%
Modoc	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Mono	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Monterey	0	0.0%	3	16.7%	0	0.0%	2	11.1%	0	0.0%	11	61.1%	0	0.0%	2	11.1%	0	0.0%	18	100.0%
Napa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	4	80.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	100.0%
Nevada	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	100.0%
Orange	0	0.0%	7	5.7%	6	4.9%	13	10.6%	0	0.0%	87	70.7%	2	1.6%	4	3.3%	4	3.3%	123	100.0%
Placer	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	8	80.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	100.0%
Plumas	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Riverside	1	1.7%	2	3.3%	4	6.7%	6	10.0%	0	0.0%	44	73.3%	0	0.0%	3	5.0%	0	0.0%	60	100.0%
Sacramento	0	0.0%	7	11.5%	2	3.3%	5	8.2%	0	0.0%	41	67.2%	2	3.3%	1	1.6%	3	4.9%	61	100.0%
San Benito	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
San Bernardino	0	0.0%	6	8.6%	6	8.6%	7	10.0%	0	0.0%	48	68.6%	0	0.0%	3	4.3%	0	0.0%	70	100.0%
San Diego	0	0.0%	6	4.5%	9	6.7%	13	9.7%	0	0.0%	94	70.1%	2	1.5%	1	0.7%	9	6.7%	134	100.0%
San Francisco	0	0.0%	10	20.4%	5	10.2%	6	12.2%	0	0.0%	28	57.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	49	100.0%
San Joaquin	0	0.0%	2	6.9%	1	3.4%	3	10.3%	0	0.0%	23	79.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	29	100.0%
San Luis Obispo	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	2	16.7%	0	0.0%	9	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12	100.0%

Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges Relative to Race/Ethnicity (Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n)) As of December 31, 2018¹

Race/Ethnicity ² Court	Ind Alask	erican ian or a Native Only	Asia	n Only	Af	ick or rican can Only	•	oanic or		: Islander Only		te Only		e Other		e Than e Race		mation rovided ⁴	Total R	espondents
Trial Court	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
San Mateo	0	0.0%	2	7.7%	3	11.5%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	17	65.4%	1	3.8%	2	7.7%	0	0.0%	26	100.0%
Santa Barbara	0	0.0%	1	4.5%	0	0.0%	4	18.2%	0	0.0%	17	77.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	22	100.0%
Santa Clara	0	0.0%	10	13.3%	5	6.7%	6	8.0%	1	1.3%	43	<i>57.3</i> %	1	1.3%	5	6.7%	4	5.3%	75	100.0%
Santa Cruz	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	10	83.3%	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	12	100.0%
Shasta	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	100.0%
Sierra	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Siskiyou	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%
Solano	0	0.0%	1	5.6%	3	16.7%	2	11.1%	0	0.0%	12	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	18	100.0%
Sonoma	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.0%	0	0.0%	18	90.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.0%	0	0.0%	20	100.0%
Stanislaus	0	0.0%	1	4.8%	1	4.8%	2	9.5%	0	0.0%	17	81.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	21	100.0%
Sutter	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%
Tehama	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%
Trinity	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%
Tulare	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.0%	0	0.0%	17	85.0%	0	0.0%	2	10.0%	0	0.0%	20	100.0%
Tuolumne	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	4	100.0%
Ventura	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	10.3%	0	0.0%	25	86.2%	0	0.0%	1	3.4%	0	0.0%	29	100.0%
Yolo	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	9	90.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	100.0%
Yuba	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	100.0%

^{1.} The tabled data reflect responses from justices and judges that were active and serving on the bench as of December 31, 2018. The tables do not include demographic information for justices that were appointed but not yet confirmed, nor for judges that were appointed but had not yet taken their oaths of office as of December 31, 2018.

^{2.} The race and ethnicity category descriptions were adapted from definitions used by the U.S. Census Bureau in Census 2000. The same category descriptions were used by the U.S. Census Bureau in Census 2010. See page 21 for descriptions of race and ethnicity categories.

^{3. &}quot;Some other race only" includes respondents who indicated they do not consider themselves to be any of the six identified race and ethnicity categories. To provide the most accurate data, the "some other race only" category includes only those respondents who identified some other race or ethnicity that did not clearly fall within one or more of the six identified categories.

^{4. &}quot;Information not provided" includes non-responses by active justices and judges participating in the survey that did not respond to a given survey question, as well as a smaller group of active justices and judges that have not responded to the survey more generally.

Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges Responses with Two or More Races (Gov. Code; § 12011.5(n))

As of December 31, 2018¹

	Total Number of Responding	Responses with	
	Justices/Judges	Number	Percent
Supreme Court	6	1	16.7%
Asian; Pacific Islander		1	
Court of Appeal			
First District	21	1	4.8%
Asian; White		1	
Second District	30	1	3.3%
Hispanic or Latino; White		1	
Fourth District	26	2	7.7%
Black; Hispanic		1	
Hispanic or Latino; White		1	
Sixth District	7	1	<i>14.3%</i>
Hispanic or Latino; White		1	
Trial Court			
Alameda	72	5	6.9%
Black or African American; Hispanic or Latino		1	
Black or African American; White		2	
Hispanic or Latino; White		2	
Contra Costa	38	2	<i>5.3%</i>
Hispanic or Latino; White		2	
Fresno	43	2	4.7%
Hispanic or Latino; White		2	
Imperial	10	1	10.0%
American Indian or Alaska Native; White		1	
Kern	30	1	<i>3.3%</i>
American Indian or Alaska Native; White		1	

Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges Responses with Two or More Races (Gov. Code; § 12011.5(n))

As of December 31, 2018¹

	Total Number of Responding	Responses with	
	Justices/Judges	Number	Percent
Trial Court			
Los Angeles	477	21	4.4%
American Indian or Alaska Native; Black or African American		1	
American Indian or Alaska Native; Hispanic or Latino		1	
Asian; Hispanic or Latino		1	
Asian; Hispanic or Latino; White		1	
Asian; Pacific Islander		2	
Asian; White		3	
Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino		1	
Black or African American, White		1	
Hispanic or Latino; White		6	
Hispanic or Latino; White; Some Other Race		1	
White; Some Other Race		3	
Marin	13	1	7.7%
Asian; Hispanic or Latino		1	
Mariposa	2	1	50.0%
Hispanic or Latino; White		1	
Mendocino	8	1	12.5%
American Indian or Alaska Native; White		1	
Monterey	18	2	11.1%
Black or African American; Hispanic or Latino		1	
Hispanic or Latino; White		1	
Orange	123	4	3.3%
American Indian or Alaska Native; White		1	
Hispanic or Latino; White		2	
Asian; Hispanic or Some Other Race		1	
Riverside	60	3	5.0%
American Indian or Alaska Native; White		1	
Asian; White		1	
Black or African American; White		1	
Sacramento	61	1	1.6%
Black or African American; Hispanic or Latino		1	
San Benito	2	1	50.0%
American Indian or Alaska Native; White		1	

Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges Responses with Two or More Races (Gov. Code; § 12011.5(n))

As of December 31, 2018¹

	Total Number of Responding	Responses with	
	Justices/Judges	Number	Percent
Trial Court			
San Bernardino	70	3	4.3%
African American; White		1	
American Indian or Alaska Native; White		1	
American Indian or Alaska Native; Hispanic or Latino		1	
San Diego	134	1	0.7%
White; Some Other Race		1	
San Mateo	26	2	7.7%
Asian; White		1	
Hispanic or Latino; White		1	
Santa Clara	75	5	6.7%
Asian; Hispanic or Latino		1	
American Indian or Alaska Native; White		1	
American Indian or Alaska Native; Some Other Race		1	
White; Some Other Race		2	
Santa Cruz	12	1	8.3%
Hispanic or Latino; White		1	
Sonoma	20	1	5.0%
Hispanic or Latino; White			
Tulare	20	2	10.0%
Hispanic or Latino; White		1	
American Indian or Alaskan Native; Hispanic or Latino; White		1	
Ventura	29	1	3.4%
Hispanic or Latino; White		1	

^{1.} The data reflect responses from courts where one or more judicial officers have indicated that their race/ethnic backgounds include multiple groups. Only those justices and judges that are active as of December 31, 2018 are included. The tables do not include justices that were appointed but not yet confirmed, nor judges that were appointed but had not yet taken their oaths of office as of December 31, 2018.

Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges Relative to Gender Identity/Sexual Orientation (Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n)) As of December 31, 2018¹

Gender Identity / Sexual Orientation

Gender raching ,											Inform	ation Not		
Courts	Heter	osexual	Les	sbian	(Gay	Bis	exual	Trans	sgender	Pro	vided ²	Total R	espondents ³
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	0/0
Supreme Court	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	100.0%
Court of Appeal	81	77.9%	2	1.9%	1	1.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	20	19.2%	104	100.0%
First District	17	81.0%	1	4.8%	1	4.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	9.5%	21	100.0%
Second District	28	93.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	6.7%	30	100.0%
Third District	10	90.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	11	100.0%
Fourth District	15	57.7%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	38.5%	26	100.0%
Fifth District	7	77.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	22.2%	9	100.0%
Sixth District	4	57.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	42.9%	7	100.0%
Trial Court	1,145	70.1%	25	1.5%	31	1.9%	2	0.1%	1	0.1%	429	26.3%	1,633	100.0%
Alameda	57	79.2%	3	4.2%	1	1.4%	0	0.0%	1	1.4%	10	13.9%	72	100.0%
Alpine	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Amador	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Butte	8	72.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	27.3%	11	100.0%
Calaveras	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Colusa	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	2	100.0%
Contra Costa	31	81.6%	1	2.6%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	13.2%	38	100.0%
Del Norte	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
El Dorado	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	100.0%
Fresno	30	69.8%	1	2.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12	27.9%	43	100.0%
Glenn	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%
Humboldt	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	100.0%
Imperial	6	60.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	30.0%	10	100.0%
Inyo	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Kern	21	70.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9	30.0%	30	100.0%

Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges Relative to Gender Identity/Sexual Orientation (Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n)) As of December 31, 2018¹

Gender Identity / Sexual Orientation

dender rachity /	Heterosexual										Inform	ation Not		
Courts	Heter	osexual	Les	sbian	C	Gay	Bis	exual	Trans	gender	Pro	vided ²	Total R	espondents ³
Trial Court	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	0/0
Kings	4	57.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	42.9%	7	100.0%
Lake	3	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	4	100.0%
Lassen	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	2	100.0%
Los Angeles	315	66.0%	5	1.0%	14	2.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	143	30.0%	477	100.0%
Madera	5	71.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	7	100.0%
Marin	12	92.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	13	100.0%
Mariposa	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	2	100.0%
Mendocino	6	75.0%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	8	100.0%
Merced	10	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	100.0%
Modoc	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Mono	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Monterey	16	88.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	11.1%	18	100.0%
Napa	4	80.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	5	100.0%
Nevada	3	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	50.0%	6	100.0%
Orange	82	66.7%	1	0.8%	1	0.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	39	31.7%	123	100.0%
Placer	7	70.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	30.0%	10	100.0%
Plumas	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Riverside	43	71.7%	2	3.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	15	25.0%	60	100.0%
Sacramento	38	62.3%	0	0.0%	1	1.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	22	36.1%	61	100.0%
San Benito	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%
San Bernardino	55	78.6%	1	1.4%	2	2.9%	1	1.4%	0	0.0%	11	15.7%	70	100.0%
San Diego	88	65.7%	2	1.5%	4	3.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	40	29.9%	134	100.0%
San Francisco	32	65.3%	4	8.2%	3	6.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	20.4%	49	100.0%
San Joaquin	18	62.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.4%	0	0.0%	10	34.5%	29	100.0%
San Luis Obispo	10	83.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	16.7%	12	100.0%
San Mateo	17	65.4%	1	3.8%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	26.9%	26	100.0%
Santa Barbara	20	90.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	9.1%	22	100.0%
Santa Clara	50	66.7%	2	2.7%	1	1.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	22	29.3%	75	100.0%
Santa Cruz	10	83.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	16.7%	12	100.0%
Shasta	6	60.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	40.0%	10	100.0%

Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges Relative to Gender Identity/Sexual Orientation (Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n)) As of December 31, 2018¹

Gender Identity / Sexual Orientation

•										Information N				
Courts	Heter	rosexual	Les	sbian	(Gay	Bis	exual	Trans	sgender	Pro	vided ²	Total R	espondents ³
Trial Court	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	0/0
Sierra	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Siskiyou	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%
Solano	11	61.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	38.9%	18	100.0%
Sonoma	15	75.0%	1	5.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	20.0%	20	100.0%
Stanislaus	19			0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	9.5%	21	100.0%
Sutter	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	75.0%	4	100.0%
Tehama	3	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	4	100.0%
Trinity	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%
Tulare	16	80.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	20.0%	20	100.0%
Tuolumne	3	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	4	100.0%
Ventura	18	62.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11	37.9%	29	100.0%
Yolo	9	90.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	10	100.0%
Yuba	3	60.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	40.0%	5	100.0%

^{1.} The tabled data reflect responses from justices and judges that were active and serving on the bench as of December 31, 2018. The tables do not include demographic information for justices that were appointed but not yet confirmed, nor for judges that were appointed but had not yet taken their oaths of office as of December 31, 2018.

^{2. &}quot;Information not provided" includes non-responses by active justices and judges participating in the survey that did not respond to a given survey question, as well as a smaller group of active justices and judges that have not responded to the survey more generally.

^{3.} Since the selection of more than one response alternative is possible for the question pertaining to gender identity/sexual orientation, total responses to this question may be greater than the sum of judicial officers responding to the survey in a given year.

Demographic Data Provided by Responding Justices and Judges Relative to Veteran and Disability Status

(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n)) As of December 31, 2018

Court			Ve	teran ¹					Dis	sabled ¹		
]	No	Yes			otal ondents	-	No	7	Yes		otal ondents
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Supreme Court	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
Court of Appeal	39	95%	2	<i>5%</i>	41	100%	40	98%	1	2%	41	100%
First District	12	100%	0	0%	12	100%	12	100%	0	0%	12	100%
Second District	13	93%	1	7%	14	100%	13	93%	1	7%	14	100%
Third District	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
Fourth District	6	86%	1	14%	7	100%	7	100%	0	0%	7	100%
Fifth District	4	100%	0	0%	4	100%	4	100%	0	0%	4	100%
Sixth District	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
Trial Court	538	92%	44	8%	582	100%	575	98%	12	2%	587	100%
Alameda	23	96%	1	4%	24	100%	24	100%	0	0%	24	100%
Alpine	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
Amador	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
Butte	3	100%	0	0%	3	100%	3	100%	0	0%	3	100%
Calaveras	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
Contra Costa	13	81%	3	19%	16	100%	15	94%	1	6%	16	100%
Del Norte	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
El Dorado	4	80%	1	20%	5	100%	5	100%	0	0%	5	100%
Fresno	9	100%	0	0%	9	100%	9	100%	0	0%	9	100%
Humboldt	5	100%	0	0%	5	100%	5	100%	0	0%	5	100%
Imperial	3	100%	0	0%	3	100%	3	100%	0	0%	3	100%
Inyo	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%	0	O%	2	100%

Demographic Data Provided by Responding Justices and Judges Relative to Veteran and Disability Status

(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n)) As of December 31, 2018

Court			Ve	eteran ¹					Di	sabled ¹		
	No		Yes		Total Respondents		No		,	Yes		otal ondents
Trial Court	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Kern	5	83%	1	17%	6	100%	6	100%	0	0%	6	100%
Kings	1	50%	1	50%	2	100%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
Lake	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
Lassen	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
Los Angeles	161	94%	11	6%	172	100%	170	98%	3	2%	173	100%
Marin	5	100%	0	0%	5	100%	5	100%	0	0%	5	100%
Mariposa	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
Mendocino	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
Merced	4	100%	0	0%	4	100%	3	75%	1	25%	4	100%
Monterey	6	100%	0	0%	6	100%	6	100%	0	0%	6	100%
Napa	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
Nevada	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
Orange	43	91%	4	9%	47	100%	44	94%	3	6%	47	100%
Placer	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
Plumas	0	0%	0	0%	0	100%	1	100%	O	0%	1	100%
Riverside	24	100%	0	0%	24	100%	24	100%	O	0%	24	100%
Sacramento	12	92%	1	8%	13	100%	13	100%	O	0%	13	100%
San Bernardino	22	88%	3	12%	25	100%	24	96%	1	4%	25	100%
San Diego	46	90%	5	10%	51	100%	51	98%	1	2%	52	100%
San Francisco	16	94%	1	6%	17	100%	17	100%	0	0%	17	100%
San Joaquin	6	86%	1	14%	7	100%	7	100%	0	0%	7	100%
San Luis Obispo	6	100%	0	0%	6	100%	5	83%	1	17%	6	100%
San Mateo	10	100%	0	0%	10	100%	10	100%	0	0%	10	100%
Santa Barbara	6	86%	1	14%	7	100%	7	100%	0	0%	7	100%

Demographic Data Provided by Responding Justices and Judges Relative to Veteran and Disability Status (Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))

As of December 31, 2018

Court			Ve	eteran ¹			Disabled ¹							
		No	Yes			Total ondents		No	`	Yes	Total Respondent			
Trial Court	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
Santa Clara	30	97%	1	3%	31	100%	32	100%	0	0%	32	100%		
Santa Cruz	5	100%	0	0%	5	100%	5	100%	0	0%	5	100%		
Shasta	4	100%	0	0%	4	100%	4	100%	0	0%	4	100%		
Sierra	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%		
Siskiyou	4	100%	0	0%	4	100%	4	100%	0	0%	4	100%		
Solano	6	<i>75%</i>	2	25%	8	100%	8	100%	0	0%	8	100%		
Sonoma	9	75%	3	25%	12	100%	11	100%	0	0%	11	100%		
Stanislaus	8	89%	1	11%	9	100%	9	90%	1	10%	10	100%		
Sutter	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%		
Tehama	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%		
Trinity	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%		
Tulare	7	100%	0	0%	7	100%	7	100%	0	0%	7	100%		
Tuolumne	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%		
Ventura	7	88%	1	13%	8	100%	9	100%	0	0%	9	100%		
Yolo	4	80%	1	20%	5	100%	5	100%	0	0%	5	100%		
Yuba	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%		

^{1.} Tabled values for veteran and disability status include responses from justices and judges new to the bench in calendar years 2014 through 2018, as well as experienced justices and judges who chose to update their demographic information during the same 4 year period. Demographic questions pertaining to veteran and disability status are new as of 2014 and reflect an expansion of the mandate for the collection of demographic information from new justices and judges.

Year-to-Year Comparison of Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges Relative to Gender (Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n)) As of December 31, 2018¹

Gender

Court / Year	Fe	male	N	I ale	Total Respondents			
	N	%	N	%	N	%		
Supreme Court								
2007	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7	100.0%		
2008	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7	100.0%		
2009	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7	100.0%		
2010	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7	100.0%		
2011	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7	100.0%		
2012	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	7	100.0%		
2013	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	7	100.0%		
2014	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	7	100.0%		
2015	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	6	100.0%		
2016	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	7	100.0%		
2017	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	7	100.0%		
2018	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	6	100.0%		
2019	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	6	100.0%		
Court of Appeal								
2007	32	30.5%	73	69.5%	105	100.0%		
2008	29	29.0%	71	71.0%	100	100.0%		
2009	29	29.0%	71	71.0%	100	100.0%		
2010	30	29.4%	72	70.6%	102	100.0%		
2011	33	32.7%	68	67.3%	101	100.0%		
2012	33	32.7%	68	67.3%	101	100.0%		
2013	31	31.0%	69	69.0%	100	100.0%		
2014	29	30.5%	66	69.5%	95	100.0%		
2015	31	31.6%	67	68.4%	98	100.0%		
2016	30	30.9%	67	69.1%	97	100.0%		
2017	31	33.3%	62	66.7%	93	100.0%		
2018	33	36.7%	57	63.3%	90	100.0%		
2019	41	39.4%	63	60.6%	104	100.0%		

Year-to-Year Comparison of Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges Relative to Gender (Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n)) As of December 31, 2018¹

Gender

					Т	otal
Court / Year	Fe	male	M	ale		ndents
Trial Court	N	%	N	%	N	%
2007	398	26.8%	1,088	73.2%	1,486	100.0%
2008	421	28.1%	1,075	71.9%	1,496	100.0%
2009	430	28.7%	1,068	71.3%	1,498	100.0%
2010	444	29.2%	1,078	70.8%	1,522	100.0%
2011	486	30.6%	1,102	69.4%	1,588	100.0%
2012	484	30.8%	1,085	69.2%	1,569	100.0%
2013	484	31.2%	1,065	68.8%	1,549	100.0%
2014	508	32.2%	1,071	67.8%	1,579	100.0%
2015	499	32.2%	1,052	67.8%	1,551	100.0%
2016	517	32.9%	1,053	67.1%	1,570	100.0%
2017	531	33.5%	1,053	66.5%	1,584	100.0%
2018	543	34.3%	1,039	65.7%	1,582	100.0%
2019	589	36.1%	1,044	63.9%	1,633	100.0%
Total	N	%	N	%	N	%
2007	433	<i>27.1%</i>	1,165	<i>72.9%</i>	1,598	100.0%
2008	453	28.3%	1,150	<i>71.7%</i>	1,603	100.0%
2009	462	28.8%	1,143	<i>71.2%</i>	1,605	100.0%
2010	477	<i>29.2%</i>	1,154	70.8%	1,631	100.0%
2011	522	<i>30.8%</i>	1,174	69.2%	1,696	100.0%
2012	521	<i>31.1%</i>	1,156	<i>68.9%</i>	1,677	100.0%
2013	519	<i>31.3%</i>	1,137	<i>68.7%</i>	1,656	100.0%
2014	541	<i>32.2%</i>	1,140	67.8%	1,681	100.0%
2015	533	<i>32.2%</i>	1,122	67.8%	1,655	100.0%
2016	551	<i>32.9%</i>	1,123	67.1%	1,674	100.0%
2017	566	<i>33.6%</i>	1,118	66.4%	1,684	100.0%
2018	579	<i>34.5%</i>	1,099	65.5%	1,678	100.0%
2019	633	<i>36.3%</i>	1,110	<i>63.7%</i>	1,743	100.0%

^{1.} The calendar years in which the demographic data was released may be found in the first column of the table. The 2007 and 2008 data reflect the number of justices and judges on the bench as of February 1 of those years. The 2009 through 2018 data reflect the number of justices and judges on the bench as of December 31 of the previous year.

Note: The changes in percentages from year to year are the result of more than one factor, including: (1) new judicial appointments; (2) judicial retirements; and (3) newly-acquired data from judges on the bench who previously did not provide their demographic information.

Year-to-Year Comparison of Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges Relative to Race/Ethnicity (Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n)) As of December 31, 2018¹

Race/Ethnicity ²	Ind	erican lian or				ck or			D : C	T			Some	e Other		T 1	Inform	mation		
Court / Year		a Native	Aaia	n Only		rican can Only	1	anic or to Only		Islander Inly		e Only		Only ³		e Than Race		ovided ⁴	Total D	espondents
	N	% // // // // // // // // // // // // //	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	% %	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	espondents
S	IN	70	IN	70	IN.	70	IN	70	IN IN	70	1N	70	IN	70	IN .	70	IN.	70	IN .	70
Supreme Court	0	0.00/	1	4.4.20/	0	0.00/	4	4.4.20/		0.00/	4	F7 40/	0	0.00/	1	1.4.20/		0.00/	7	400.00/
2007 2008	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	4	<i>57.1%</i>	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%
	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	4	<i>57.1%</i>	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%
2009	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	4	57.1%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%		100.0%
2010	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	4	<i>57.1%</i>	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%
2011	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	4	57.1%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%
2012	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	42.9%	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	7 7	100.0%
2013 2014	0	0.0%	2	28.6% 28.6%	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0% 0.0%	3	42.9%	0	0.0% 0.0%	2 2	28.6%	0	0.0% 0.0%	7	100.0% 100.0%
2014	0	0.0% 0.0%	2	28.6% 33.3%		0.0% 0.0%	0	0.0% 0.0%	0	0.0%	3	42.9% 50.0%	0	0.0%	1	28.6% 16.7%	0 0	0.0%		100.0%
2016	0	0.0%	2 2	28.6%	0	0.0% 14.3%	1	0.0% 14.3%	0	0.0%	3 2	28.6%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	6 7	100.0%
2017	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%
2017	0	0.0%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	1	28.6% 16.7%	0	0.0%	1	14.5%	0	0.0%	6	100.0%
2019	0	0.0%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%		0.0%	_	100.0%
Court of Appeal	0	0.070	4	JJ.J /0	1	10.7 70	1	10.7 70		0.070	1	10.7 70	0	0.070	1	10.7 70	0	0.070	6	100.070
2007	0	0.0%	3	2.9%	3	2.9%	3	2.9%	0	0.0%	86	81.9%	0	0.0%	9	8.6%	1	1.0%	105	100.0%
2007	0	0.0%	3	3.0%	3	3.0%	3	3.0%	0	0.0%	82	82.0%	0	0.0%	8	8.0%	1	1.0%	100	100.0%
2009	0	0.0%	3	3.0%	4	4.0%	3	3.0%	0	0.0%	81	81.0%	0	0.0%	8	8.0%	1	1.0%	100	100.0%
2010	0	0.0%	3	2.9%	3	2.9%	3	2.9%	0	0.0%	83	81.4%	0	0.0%	7	6.9%	3	2.9%	100	100.0%
2010	0	0.0%	3	2.9%	5	4.8%	4	3.8%	0	0.0%	83	79.8%	0	0.0%	7	6.7%	2	2.9% 1.9%	102	100.0%
2011	0	0.0%	3	3.0%	5	5.0%	4	4.0%	0	0.0%	81	80.2%	1	1.0%	6	5.9%	1	1.0%	104	100.0%
2013	0	0.0%	3	3.0%	5	5.0%	5	5.0%	0	0.0%	79	79.0%	1	1.0%	6	6.0%	1	1.0%	100	100.0%
2014	0	0.0%	2	2.1%	5	5.3%	5	5.3%	0	0.0%	75	78.9%	1	1.1%	6	6.3%	1	1.1%	95	100.0%
2015	0	0.0%	1	1.0%	7	7.1%	6	6.1%	0	0.0%	75	76.5%	1	1.0%	7	7.1%	1	1.1%	98	100.0%
2016	0	0.0%	2	2.1%	8	8.2%	6	6.2%	0	0.0%	73	75.3%	1	1.0%	6	6.2%	1	1.0%	97	100.0%
2017	0	0.0%	2	2.2%	9	9.7%	5	5.4%	0	0.0%	71	76.3%	1	1.1%	5	5.4%	0	1.0%	93	100.0%
2018	0	0.0%	2	2.2%	10	11.1%	6	6.7%	0	0.0%	65	72.2%	2	2.2%	5	5.6%	0	0.0%	90	100.0%
2019	0	0.0%	5	4.8%	10	9.6%	7	6.7%	0	0.0%	75	72.1%	2	1.9%	5	4.8%	0	0.0%	104	100.0%
Trial Court		0.070	3	7.070	10	2.070	,	0.7 70		0.070	13	7 2.7 70	_	1.5 70		7.070		0.070	101	100.070
2007	2	0.1%	66	4.4%	68	4.6%	96	6.5%	2	0.1%	1,030	69.3%	3	0.2%	61	4.1%	158	10.6%	1,486	100.0%
2008	2	0.1%	67	4.5%	74	4.9%	103	6.9%	2	0.1%	1,076	71.9%	7	0.5%	63	4.2%	102	6.8%	1,496	100.0%
2009	5	0.3%	71	4.7%	77	5.1%	110	7.3%	3	0.2%	1,080	72.1%	10	0.7%	58	3.9%	84	5.6%	1,498	100.0%
2010	5	0.3%	78	5.1%	81	5.3%	118	7.8%	3	0.2%	1,113	73.1%	15	1.0%	52	3.4%	57	3.7%	1,522	100.0%

Year-to-Year Comparison of Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges Relative to Race/Ethnicity (Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n)) As of December 31, 2018¹

Race/Ethnicity ²		erican ian or			Rla	ck or														
•		a Native				ican	Hisp	anic or	Pacific	Islander			Some	Other	More	Than	Infor	mation		
Court / Year	O	Only	Asia	n Only		an Only		o Only		nly		e Only	Race	Only ³	One	Race	Not Pr	ovided ⁴	Total Re	espondents
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Trial Court																				
2011	6	0.4%	88	5.5%	90	5.7%	134	8.4%	4	0.3%	1,142	71.9%	15	0.9%	52	3.3%	57	3.6%	1,588	100.0%
2012	7	0.4%	89	5.7%	91	5.8%	133	8.5%	4	0.3%	1,128	71.9%	18	1.1%	51	3.3%	48	3.1%	1,569	100.0%
2013	7	0.5%	91	5.9%	96	6.2%	133	8.6%	4	0.3%	1,101	71.1%	18	1.2%	51	3.3%	48	3.1%	1,549	100.0%
2014	8	0.5%	95	6.0%	99	6.3%	148	9.4%	4	0.3%	1,108	70.2%	18	1.1%	52	3.3%	47	3.0%	1,579	100.0%
2015	8	0.5%	97	6.3%	101	6.5%	149	9.6%	4	0.3%	1,079	69.6%	18	1.2%	50	3.2%	45	2.9%	1,551	100.0%
2016	9	0.6%	104	6.6%	101	6.4%	158	10.1%	4	0.3%	1,082	68.9%	19	1.2%	50	3.2%	43	2.7%	1,570	100.0%
2017	9	0.6%	106	6.7%	106	6.7%	163	10.3%	4	0.3%	1,086	68.6%	16	1.0%	52	3.3%	42	2.7%	1,584	100.0%
2018	9	0.6%	116	7.3%	111	7.0%	166	10.5%	4	0.3%	1,067	67.4%	17	1.1%	51	3.2%	41	2.6%	1,582	100.0%
2019	8	0.5%	127	7.8%	123	7.5%	177	10.8%	4	0.2%	1,079	66.1%	16	1.0%	62	3.8%	37	2.3%	1,633	100.0%
Total																				
2007	2	0.1%	70	4.4%	71	4.4%	100	6.3%	2	0.1%	1,120	70.1%	3	0.2%	71	4.4%	159	9.9%	1,598	100.0%
2008	2	0.1%	71	4.4%	77	4.8%	107	6.7%	2	0.1%	1,162	<i>72.5%</i>	7	0.4%	72	4.5%	103	6.4%	1,603	100.0%
2009	5	0.3%	75	4.7%	81	5.0%	114	7.1%	3	0.2%	1,165	<i>72.6%</i>	10	0.6%	67	4.2%	85	<i>5.3%</i>	1,605	100.0%
2010	5	0.3%	82	5.0%	84	<i>5.2%</i>	122	7.5%	3	0.2%	1,200	<i>73.6%</i>	15	0.9%	60	<i>3.7%</i>	60	<i>3.7%</i>	1,631	100.0%
2011	6	0.4%	92	<i>5.4%</i>	95	<i>5.6%</i>	139	8.2%	4	0.2%	1,229	<i>72.3%</i>	15	0.9%	60	3.5%	59	3.5%	1,699	100.0%
2012	7	0.4%	94	5.6%	96	<i>5.7%</i>	137	8.2%	4	0.2%	1,212	<i>72.3%</i>	19	1.1%	59	3.5%	49	2.9%	1,677	100.0%
2013	7	0.4%	96	5.8%	101	6.1%	138	8.3%	4	0.2%	1,183	71.4%	19	1.1%	59	3.6%	49	3.0%	1,656	100.0%
2014	8	0.5%	99	5.9%	104	6.2%	153	9.1%	4	0.2%	1,186	70.6%	19	1.1%	60	3.6%	48	2.9%	1,681	100.0%
2015	8	0.5%	100	6.0%	108	6.5%	155	9.4%	4	0.2%	1,157	69.9%	19	1.1%	58	3.5%	46	2.8%	1,655	100.0%
2016	9	0.5%	108	6.5%	110	6.6%	165	9.9%	4	0.2%	1,157	69.1%	20	1.2%	57	<i>3.4%</i>	44	2.6%	1,674	100.0%
2017	9	0.5%	110	6.5%	116	6.9%	169	10.0%	4	0.2%	1,159	68.8%	17	1.0%	58	<i>3.4%</i>	42	2.5%	1,684	100.0%
2018	9	0.5%	120	7.2%	122	<i>7.3%</i>	173	10.3%	4	0.2%	1,133	67.5%	19	1.1%	57	<i>3.4%</i>	41	2.4%	1,678	100.0%
2019	8	0.5%	134	7.7%	134	7.7%	185	10.6%	4	0.2%	1,155	66.3%	18	1.0%	68	3.9%	37	2.1%	1,743	100.0%

^{1.} The calendar years in which the demograhic data was released may be found in the first column of the table. The 2007 and 2008 data reflect the number of justices and judges on the bench as of February 1 of those years. The 2009 through 2018 data reflect the number of justices and judges on the bench as of December 31 of the previous year.

^{2.} The race and ethnicity category descriptions were adapted from definitions used by the U.S. Census Bureau in Census 2000. The same category descriptions were used by the U.S. Census Bureau in Census 2010. See page 22 for descriptions of race and ethnicity categories.

^{3. &}quot;Some other race only" includes respondents who indicated they do not consider themselves to be any of the six identified race and ethnicity categories. To provide the most accurate data, the "some other race only" category includes only those respondents who identified some other race or ethnicity that did not clearly fall within one or more of the six identified categories.

^{4. &}quot;Information not provided" includes non-responses by active justices and judges participating in the survey that did not respond to a given survey question, as well as a smaller group of active justices and judges that have not responded to the survey more generally.

Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges Relative to Race/Ethnicity (Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n)) As of December 31, 2018

Race/Ethnicity Categories

The category descriptions are adapted from definitions used by the U.S. Census Bureau in Census 2000, which for the first time invited respondents to identify more than one category. The same category descriptions were used in Census 2010.

American Indian or Alaska Native: A person having origins in any of the original peoples of North and South America (including Central America), and who maintains tribal affiliation or community attachment.

Asian: A person having origins in any of the original peoples of the Far East, Southeast Asia, or the Indian subcontinent. The category includes persons who indicate their race as Cambodian, Chinese, East Indian, Filipino, Japanese, Korean, Malaysian, Pakistani, Thai, or Vietnamese.

Black or African American: A person having origins in any of the black racial groups of Africa.

Hispanic or Latino: A person of Cuban, Mexican, Puerto Rican, South or Central American, or other Spanish culture or origin, regardless of race.

Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander: A person having origins in any of the original peoples of Hawaii, Guam, Samoa, or other Pacific Islands.

White: A person having origins in any of the original peoples of Europe, the Middle East, or North Africa.