In the Supreme Court of the State of California

RECEIVED

JUN 0 3 2014

STATE DEPARTMENT OF STATE HOSPITALS, et al.,

Petitioners.

CLERK SUPREME COURT
Case No. S 215132

v.

SUPERIOR COURT OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY,

Respondent.

ELAINA NOVOA, Individually and as Personal Representative, etc., Real Party in Interest.

> Second Appellate District, Case No. B248603 Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC487936 Hon. John L. Segal, Judge

NOTICE OF ERRATA

KAMALA D. HARRIS
Attorney General of California
KATHLEEN A. KENEALY
Chief Assistant Attorney General
KRISTIN G. HOGUE
Senior Assistant Attorney General
RICHARD F. WOLFE
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
JOEL A. DAVIS
Supervising Deputy Attorney General

Paul F. Arentz
Deputy Attorney General
State Bar No. 166748
300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702
Los Angeles, CA 90013
Telephone: (213) 897-6125
Fax: (213) 897-2810
Email: Paul.Arentz@doj.ca.gov
Attorneys for Defendants and
Respondents Department of State
Hospitals, Cliff Allenby and Stephen
Mayberg

The attached corrected pages are submitted to replace the Table of Contents and Table of Authorities in the Answer Brief on The Merits of Defendants and Respondents.

Dated: May <u>**30**</u>, 2014

KAMALA D. HARRIS
Attorney General of California
KATHLEEN A. KENEALY
Chief Assistant Attorney General
KRISTIN G. HOGUE
Senior Assistant Attorney General
RICHARD F. WOLFE
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
JOEL A. DAVIS
Supervising Deputy Attorney General

PAUL F. ARENTZ

Deputy Attorney General

Attorneys for Defendants and Respondents Department of State Hospitals, Cliff

Allenby and Stephen Mayberg

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		rage
INTRODUC	CTION	1
STATEMEN	VT OF	FACTS3
PROCEDUF	RAL H	ISTORY7
		9
I.	DEFENDANTS DID NOT BREACH A MANDATORY DUTY UNDER GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 815.6.	
	A.	The Entire Screening Process For Parolees Under The SVPA Is Discretionary10
	В.	The "Full Evaluation" Itself Is Discretionary And Therefore Not a Mandatory Duty Under Government Code Section 815.6
	C.	The Intermediate Level of Review Used By The DSH To Screen Cases Referred By The CDCR And Select Those Eligible For a Full Evaluation Did Not Breach a Mandatory Duty21
	D.	Since The Legislature Has Chosen To Immunize Decisions To Parole or Release a Prisoner, The Alleged Improper Evaluation of a Parolee Under The SVPA Cannot Give Rise To a Cause Of Action For Breach Of Mandatory Duty
II.	FAC PRO ALL	INTIFF FAILED TO ALLEGE SUFFICIENT TS TO ESTABLISH HER INJURIES WERE XIMATELY CAUSED BY DEFENDANTS' EGED FAILURE TO PROPERLY EVALUATE RE
	A.	The Court May Rule As a Matter of Law That Plaintiff Has Not Sufficiently Alleged Proximate Cause Where The Facts Are Undisputed

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

Page

	B.	The Court Of Appeal Correctly Followed Whitcombe, Fleming, And Perry In Holding That Plaintiff Failed To Allege Sufficient Facts To Show That Evaluation of Pitre By One, Rather Than Two, Evaluators Proximately Caused Her Loss
	C.	The Authorities Cited By Plaintiff Do Not Compel Reversal of The Court Of Appeal's Well-Reasoned Decision That Plaintiff Failed To Sufficiently Allege Proximate Cause
III.	THAT GOVE SUBI PLAI	COURT OF APPEAL ERRED IN HOLDING I THE IMMUNITY AFFORDED BY ERNMENT CODE SECTION 845.8, DIVISION (A) DOES NOT APPLY WHERE NTIFF ALLEGES BREACH OF A DATORY DUTY
	A.	Government Code Section 845.8, Subdivision (a) Broadly Provides Immunity For Any Decision or Recommendation To Parole or Release a Prisoner, Even Where a Mandatory Duty Is Breached
	В.	This Court's Previous Decision In <i>Perez-Torres</i> v. State Of California Does Not Prevent Defendants From Invoking Discretionary Immunity For Recommending That Pitre Not Be Civilly Confined As a Sexually Violent Predator
CONCLUSI	ON	44

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page
CASES
Alejo v. City of Alhambra (1999) 75 Cal.App.4th 1180
Braman v. State of California (1994) 28 Cal.App.4th 344
Brenneman v. State of California (1989) 208 Cal.App.3d 812passim
County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2012) 209 Cal.App.4th 54320
Creason v. State Department of Health Services (1998) 18 Cal.4th 623passim
De Villers v. County of San Diego (2007) 156 Cal.App.4th 238
Fleming v. State of California (1995) 34 Cal.App.4th 1378passim
Guzman v. County of Monterey (2009) 46 Cal.4th 887passim
Haggis v. City of Los Angeles (2000) 22 Cal.4th 490passim
Henderson v. Newport-Mesa Unified School District (2013) 214 Cal.App.4th 478
In re Lucas (2012) 53 Cal.4th 83911
Johnson v. State of California (1968) 69 Cal.2d 782passim
Landeros v. Flood (1976) 17 Cal.3d 399

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES (continued)

1 ag	C
Martinez v. State of California (1978) 85 Cal.App.3d 430	
Ortega v. Kmart Corp. (2001) 26 Cal.4th 1200	
Ortega v. Sacramento County Dept. of Health and Human Services (2008) 161 Cal.App.4th 713	
People v. Badura (2002) 95 Cal.App.4th 1218	
Perez-Torres v. State of California (2007) 42 Cal.4th 136	
Reilly v. Superior Court (2013) 57 Cal.4th 64122	
Smith v. County of Los Angeles (1989) 214 Cal.App.3d 266	
Stasulat v. Pacific Gas & Elec. Co. (1937) 8 Cal.2d 631	
State of California v. Superior Court (1984) 150 Cal.App.3d 848	
State Department of State Hospitals v. Superior Court (2013) 220 Cal.App.4th 1503passim	
Thompson v. County of Alameda (1980) 27 Cal.3d 741	
Varshock v. California Dept. of Forestry and Fire Protection (2011) 194 Cal.App.4th 63540	
Walt Rankin & Associates, Inc. v. City of Murrieta (2000) 84 Cal App 4th 605	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES (continued)

1 ag	Ü
Weissich v. County of Marin (1990) 224 Cal.App.3d 1069	
Whitcombe v. County of Yolo (1977) 73 Cal.App.3d 698passim	
STATUTES AND REGULATIONS	
Cal. Code Regs., Title 9, § 4005	
Civ. Code, § 52.142	
Code Civ. Proc., § 377.30	
Code Civ. Proc., § 1085	
Gov. Code, § 815.6	
Gov. Code, § 818.6	
Gov. Code, § 820.227, 37	
Gov. Code, § 820.227	
Gov. Code, § 845.8, subd. (a)passim	
Gov. Code, § 84638	
Gov. Code, § 855.624, 43	
Welf. & Inst. Code, Code § 66004, 19	
Welf. & Inst. Code, § 6601.532	
Welf. & Inst. Code, § 6601	
Welf. & Inst. Code, § 6601, subd. (a)(1)10	
Welf. & Inst. Code, § 6601, subd. (b)	
Welf. & Inst. Code. § 6601, subd. (c)	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES (continued)

rage
Welf. & Inst. Code, § 6601, subd. (d)11, 14, 19, 21, 23, 28
Welf. & Inst. Code, § 6601, subd. (e)11, 19
Welf. & Inst. Code, § 6601, subd. (f)32
Welf. & Inst. Code, § 6601, subd. (h)32
Welf. & Inst. Code, § 6601, subd. (i)
Welf. & Inst. Code, § 6601, subd. (k)
Welf. & Inst. Code, § 6602, subd. (a)11
Welf. & Inst. Code, § 660312
Welf. & Inst. Code, § 660412
COURT RULES
Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.520(b)(3)36

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY OVERNIGHT COURIER

Case Name:

State Department of Hospitals, et al. v. Superior Court of Los Angeles, et al.

No.:

California Supreme Court Case No. S 215132

I declare:

I am employed in the Office of the Attorney General, which is the office of a member of the California State Bar, at which member's direction this service is made. I am 18 years of age or older and not a party to this matter; my business address is: 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702, Los Angeles, CA 90013.

On <u>June 2, 2014</u>, I served the attached **NOTICE OF ERRATA** by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with the **FEDERAL EXPRESS OVERNIGHT MAIL**, addressed as follows:

Chris A. Johnson, Esq. Shook, Hardy & Bacon, L.L.P. One Montgomery, Suite 2700 San Francisco, CA 94104-4505

Hon. John L. Segal Los Angeles Superior Court 111 N. Hill Street Los Angeles, CA 90012

Court of Appeal Second Appellate District 300 S. Spring Street Los Angeles, CA 90013 (Hand Delivered)

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on June 2, 2014, at Los Angeles, California.

Deborah Stephens	Webnah Stephens
Declarant	Signature

Λ

Λ

LA2014611899