Office of the State Public Defender

1111 Broadway, 10th Floor Oakland, California 94607-4139 Telephone: (510) 267-3300

Fax: (510) 452-8712



September 20, 2018

Mr. Jorge E. Navarrete, Clerk Supreme Court of California 350 McAllister St. San Francisco, CA 94102-3600

Re: People v. Cedric Jerome Johnson

Supreme Court of California Case No. S075727

Dear Mr. Navarrete:

This case is scheduled for oral argument on Wednesday, October 3, 2018, at 9 a.m., in San Francisco. For the Court's consideration, appellant cites the following additional authorities not contained in the briefs on file.

Argument 18 (Appellant's Supplemental Opening Brief:

People v. Ghobrial (2018) 5 Cal5th 250

People v. Johnson (2018) 21 Cal.App.5th 267 [affirming that "sole function" of trial court is to decide whether there is any evidence which raises a reasonable doubt about the defendant's competency (id. at p. 276), noting that the most glaring deficit in the case was the utter lack of any psychological evaluation of defendant (id. at p. 277), and reversing where trial court's decision that defendant was competent was based exclusively on its own observation of defendant's behavior, the observation of the guards, and the absence of any prior findings of incompetency or notations of disruptive behavior, and where defendant's behavior was not only disruptive, but out of control, self-destructive, and extremely odd (id. at pp. 277, 278, 280)].

People v. Galaviz (2018) 23 Cal.App.5th 491, 511 [prosecution failed to carry burden to show retrospective competency hearing was feasible].

Anderson v. Gipson (9th Cir. 2018) __ F.3d __, 2018 WL 4224432, *7 [California Court of Appeal's decision denying relief involved "unreasonable application" of clearly established federal law where court dismissed indicia of

incompetence, including erratic demeanor marked by intermittent withdrawal from proceedings and profane, emotional outbursts, and no mental evaluation was submitted].

Thank you for bringing this letter to the Court's attention.

Sincerely,

/S/ NINA WIDLER

NINA WILDER Supervising Deputy State Public Defender

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

Case Name: *People v. Cedric Jerome Johnson*Case Number: Cal. Supreme Court No. S075727

Los Angeles Co. Sup. Ct. No. TA037977-01

I, Marcus A. Thomas, declare as follows: I am over the age of 18, not a party to this cause. I am employed in the county where the mailing took place. My business address is 1111 Broadway, 10th Floor, Oakland, California, 94607. I served a true copy of the following document(s):

ADDITIONAL AUTHORITIES LETTER

by enclosing it in envelopes and placing the envelopes for collection and mailing on the date and at the place shown below following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with this business's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid.

The envelopes were addressed and mailed on **September 20, 2018,** as follows:

Cedric Johnson #K-61104 CSP-SQ San Quentin, CA 94974

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Signed on **September 20, 2018,** at Oakland, California.

/s/ MARCUS THOMAS
DECLARANT

Supreme Court of California

Jorge E. Navarrete, Clerk and Executive Officer of the Court

Electronically FILED on 9/20/2018 by April Boelk, Deputy Clerk

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Supreme Court of California

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIASupreme Court of California

Case Name: PEOPLE v. JOHNSON (CEDRIC JEROME)

Case Number: **S075727**

Lower Court Case Number:

- 1. At the time of service I was at least 18 years of age and not a party to this legal action.
- 2. My email address used to e-serve: nina.wilder@ospd.ca.gov
- 3. I served by email a copy of the following document(s) indicated below:

Title(s) of papers e-served:

Filing Type	Document Title		
LETTER	Oral Argument Focus Letter Additional Authority		

Service Recipients:

Person Served	Email Address	Type	Date / Time
eService California Appellate Project California Appellate Project	filing@capsf.org	I -	9/20/2018 2:10:57 PM
000000		Service	2.10.37 FWI
LAAWT Docketing	DocketingLAAWT@doj.ca.gov	e-	9/20/2018
CA Attorney General's Office - Los Angeles		Service	2:10:57 PM
marc kohm	marc.kohm@doj.ca.gov	e-	9/20/2018
CA Attorney General's Office - Los Angeles 208821		Service	2:10:57 PM
<u> </u>	mma mater to espairtai. Be .	I -	9/20/2018 2:10:57 PM
OSPD Docketing Office of the State Public Defender 000000	docketing@ospd.ca.gov	I -	9/20/2018 2:10:57 PM
Cedric Johnson Additional Service Recipients	CSP-SQ #k-61104 San Quentin, CA 94974	l	9/20/2018 2:10:57 PM

This proof of service was automatically created, submitted and signed on my behalf through my agreements with TrueFiling and its contents are true to the best of my information, knowledge, and belief.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

9/2	Λ	12	Λ1	0
9//	u	/ /!	11	ι δ

Date

/s/Nina Wilder

Signature

Wilder, Nina (100474)

Last Name, First Name (PNum)

Office of the State Public Defender

Law Firm