

ANSWERS TO SUBMITTED QUESTIONS

| Q # | Questions | RFP Reference (Document & Page-Section-Item) | Answers |
|-----|--|---|--|
| 1 | Section 5.1.8.2 of the RFP requests that proposers “provide a list of ALL California public entities for which Consultant has provided the same or similar Services to in the past seven (7) years” but also requests that responses be limited to no more than the five most recent entities. Can you please provide clarification of this request. | RFP Page 11, section 5.1.8.2 | Proposers should limit their response to no more than the five (5) most recent public entities. Please see Addendum 1 which has revised Section 5.1.8.2 of the RFP. |
| 2 | DVBE Incentive – Can you please clarify? The passages denoting DVBE incentives are contradictory. One section says only firms that are DVBE certified will receive the incentive while another section states that Proposer should have DVBE firms teaming as subconsultants submit qualifying documents. Will Proposers using DVBE firms as subconsultants be able to claim the incentive points? | RFP Page 16, section 7.2 (5) RFP Page 21, section 10.4.2 | Yes, Proposers using DVBE firms as subconsultants will be eligible to qualify for the DVBE incentive. Please see Addendum 1 which has revised Section 7.2 of the RFP. |
| 3 | Please clarify what a “financial certificate of correctness” is. Can the JCC provide an example document? We can find no applicable reference of what this document might be. | RFP Page 10, section 5.1.5 (c) | Consultants are to provide a statement of Consultant’s financial resources and insurance coverage, and to include language certifying that Consultant’s statement of financial resources is true and correct. |
| 4 | Just want to ensure that this question and its answer are established in writing in re: file size for emailing non-cost proposals. Will the JCC accept proposals that are sent in multiple files to ensure that complete submittals are received? Files that include many images such as certification proofs are large – as long as we submit with “File 1 of 4”, “File 2 of 4”, et al, in the Subject Line of the email, will this be acceptable? From the pre-proposal meeting it was established that file sizes of 10 megs or less were preferred. | RFP Page 8, sections 4.6.1 and 4.6.2 | Proposers shall make an effort to compress the non-cost proposal file to less than 10MB in size and submit one (1) electronic file of the non-cost proposal using PDF or Word format. If the file cannot be reduced to below 10MB, then Proposers shall divide the file into 10MB increments and send over multiple emails. The subject line in each email shall reference which portion of the proposal is attached (i.e. Part 1 of 3). Please refer to Addendum 1 which has revised Section 4.6.1 of the RFP. |

ANSWERS TO SUBMITTED QUESTIONS

| Q # | Questions | RFP Reference (Document & Page-Section-Item) | Answers |
|-----|--|--|---|
| 5 | <p>Based on the last submittals made to the specific emails for the SIMT and IOR proposals where the email was incorrect for the technical proposal and the file sizes were not accommodated (and no file size limits were called out in the RFPs), how will a Proposer know that their submittal was received?</p> <p>Without contacting the JCC, the problem with the last submittal would not have been discovered.</p> <p>Can the JCC please send a response that proposal files are received in full?</p> | RFP Page 9, section 4.8 | No, the JCC will not issue communications confirming its receipt of Proposals. Please refer to Section 4.8 of the RFP. |
| 6 | Is there a page limit? | | There is no page limit for submittal of the proposals. However, please make an effort to be concise. |
| 7 | Our firm has most experience in higher education construction projects as well as school districts and hospitals. Will we still be considered if our past experience does not reflect many courthouses? | | Proposers that meet all bid requirements will be considered and scored as outlined in the RFP. |
| 8 | Is anything other than Attachment G required for the Cost portion of the proposal? | RFP Page 9, section 4.6.2 | For the Cost Proposal portion of the proposal, Proposers need only submit one (1) electronic file of Exhibit G, Fee Schedule using PDF, Word, or an Excel format. The cost portion of the proposal must be submitted to the Judicial Council in an electronic file, separate from the non-cost portion. The Proposer must include the RFP number and 'cost' in the name of the electronic file. Please refer to Section 4.6.2 of the RFP. |
| 9 | If we are open to working in a geographical area we have not yet serviced, can we still mark an x on Attachment F? | Attachment F | Proposers should mark all regions and counties in which Proposer would like to be considered to provide services. |
| 10 | Do we need to include the last two pages of instructions from Attachment L or can we just fill out and include the first 3 pages? | Attachment L | Attachment L is an optional form. Proposers need only submit Attachment L if Proposer wishes to seek the DVBE incentive. If submitting Attachment L, Proposers need only submit pages 1 through 3, as pages 4 and 5 are for instruction purposes only. |

ANSWERS TO SUBMITTED QUESTIONS

| Q # | Questions | RFP Reference (Document & Page-Section-Item) | Answers |
|-----|---|--|---|
| 11 | If we are not a DVBE, but will support it however possible, should we leave out Attachment M and just include a statement to that effect? | Attachment M | Attachment M is an optional form. Proposers need only submit Attachment M if Proposer wishes to seek the DVBE incentive. |
| 12 | Smaller projects may not require a DSA 1/OSHPD A. Can we provide DSA Class II & Class III and OSHPD B Resumes? | | No, all Consultant firms to be awarded a contract under this RFP must use Construction Inspectors with at least one of the certifications identified in Section 2.6 of the RFP. |
| 13 | Will the JC accept lower-level certified inspectors (DSA Class 2-3) for projects appropriate for those levels? | | No, all Consultant firms to be awarded a contract under this RFP must use Construction Inspectors with at least one of the certifications identified in Section 2.6 of the RFP. |
| 14 | Will the JC accept proposals for lower-level certified inspectors (DSA 2-3) as assistants to Class 1? | | No, all Consultant firms to be awarded a contract under this RFP must use Construction Inspectors with at least one of the certifications identified in Section 2.6 of the RFP. |
| 15 | Regions: Our price structure varies upon region. Can we submit different rates for different regions? | | Please see Addendum 1 which has revised Attachment G, Fee Schedule, to allow proposers to provide separate and distinct rates for each region. |