CASEFLOW MANAGEMENT RESOURCES FOR CALIFORNIA JUDGES

© 2013 by Judge Michael Mattice, Superior Court of California, County of Solano¹

USERS' GUIDE: Caseflow management ("CFM") is the process whereby courts convert their caseloads of newly-filed and pending matters into closed cases, and the pragmatic study of that process. Effective CFM enables efficiency and resource conservation, and minimizes the time from filing to closure, while preserving or improving the quality of adjudication. Legal authority for CFM is shown in Part A, infra. Principles of CFM, which apply in all fields of law, are described briefly in early chapters in all of Greacen's three manuals on *Developing Effective Practices* as listed in Parts B and E, in Steelman's *Improving Caseflow Management: A Brief Guide* in Part F, and more thoroughly in Part F, Steelman's description of CFM as *The Heart of Court Management in the New Millennium*, with CFM principles detailed in Chapter 1.² The other listed materials focus on applying CFM principles in specific areas. An Index of Subject Matter starts on page 10.

PART A: AUTHORITY FOR CFM IN TRIAL COURTS

Calif. Code of Judicial Ethics, Canon 3B(8) (duties of judges as to cases assigned to them).

Calif. Code of Civil Procedure ("CCP"):

- §§ 128 subd. (a) and 187 (judicial powers to control litigation processes).
- §§ 177; 177.5; 178 (judicial powers to enforce court orders, sanctions, contempt).
- § 437c, subd's (f) and (s) (summary adjudication of, respectively, either *dispositive* issues or claims or affirmative defenses; or *non-dispositive* ones (upon stipulation) to promote judicial economy by reducing trial time or increasing settlement likelihood).
- §§ 630.01-630.10 (expedited jury trial ("EJT"))
- § 1775, subd. (f) (daily cost of maintaining a civil judicial department [as of 1993]).

Calif. Evidence Code, § 765 (duties of judges to control examination of witnesses).

Calif. Family Code:

- § 2032, subd. (d) (fee/costs allocation control in complex or substantial cases).

- § 2450 (discretion to impose, and purpose of "family centered case resolution").
- § 2451 (matters included within "family centered case resolution plan").

Calif. Government Code, §§ 68607-68608 (CFM mandatory in all cases except juvenile, probate, and family law).

¹ Last revised 11/19/2013. This document, with live hyperlinks, appears in the Serranus Judicial Education Toolkit for Criminal Assignments ("Courtroom Management/Caseflow Management" near bottom of page). http://serranus.courtinfo.ca.gov/education/documents/cfm-bibliography-mattice.pdf The California Judicial Council and AOC, and the California Judges' Association are all hereby authorized to reproduce or otherwise use this document in any way any of them sees fit to promote judicial education and to further the efficient administration of justice.

² The CFM principles used as the main selection criteria for this resources guide are: (1) judicial rather than litigant control over case schedules and progress; (2) create, maintain and enforce expectations that events will occur when scheduled; (3) create opportunities and incentives for early case resolution and disposition; (4) create maximum predictability of court procedures and outcomes; (5) find opportunities to improve efficiency; (6) handle different types of cases differently ("differential case management"); and (7) set case processing goals and use court data to monitor compliance with them. Greacen's three "Developing..." manuals (Parts B and E herein) suggest these are the most fundamental CFM principles; other authors cited herein suggest additional principles.

Calif. Penal Code:

- § 1044 (duties of judges in criminal trials).
- § 1050 (setting for trial; criminal case precedence; continuances).
- § 1050.5 (sanctions for noncompliance with Pen. Code § 1050 notice requirements).

Calif. *Probate Code*, §§ 12200-12206 (time for closing estate, status report, sanctions).

Calif. Rules of Court:

- § 3.110 (civil case service time rules).
- §§ 3.700-3.771 (civil case management).
- §§ 3.800-3.898 (civil case ADR).
- §§ 3.1545-3.1552 (expedited jury trial ("EJT")).
- §§ 4.110-4.115 (criminal case management).
- § 5.83 (family law case management).
- §§ 5.670-5.672, 5.680(d), 5.686, 5.710, 5.715, 5.720 (juvenile case management).

Elkins v Superior Court (Elkins) (2007) 41 Cal.4th 1337, 1351-1354 (judicial powers to control litigation processes, limitations on powers).

In re Marriage of Georgiou and Leslie (2013) 218 Cal.App.4th 561, 568-569 (summary adjudication motion in family law action), but see Calif. Rules of Court § 5.74(b) (summary judgment motion not available in family law action).

People v. Clancey (2013) 56 Cal.4th 562 (limitations on judicial powers in plea bargaining).

People v. Seykora (1991) 232 Cal.App.3d 1075, 1080 (fn. 3), and People v. Tabb (1991) 228 Cal.App.3d 1300, 1310 (CCP § 177.5 sanctions apply in criminal cases).

Rutherford v. Owens-Illinois, Inc. (1997) 16 Cal.4th 953, 967 (judicial powers to control litigation processes, limitations on powers).

Calif. Standards of Judicial Administration §§ 2.1-2.2 (case management; time goals); 2.20 (trial management). See also, §§ 2.10-2.11 (interpreters); Title 3 (civil cases); Title 4 (criminal cases); Title 5 (family law cases); § 10.17 (performance standards).

Calif. AOC, Deskbook on the Management of Complex Civil Litigation (2012), Danvers, MA: Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. (LexisNexis), ISBN: 978-0-8205-4391-8. (Ideas for "complex" cases per CRC Rule 3.400, and for other "big" cases. Available from LexisNexis at no cost to California judges; contact Andrew Watry, Esq., Andrew.Watry@lexisnexis.com or (415) 908-3268, or cal.custquest@bender.com or (800) 424-0651, ext. 3268.)

PART B: CALIFORNIA JUDICIAL COUNCIL-AOC, FOR PUBLIC USE

American Institutes for Research, *Unified Family Court Evaluation Literature Review* (2002), prepared for the California AOC, Center for Families, Children and the Courts (CFCC). http://www.courts.ca.gov/documents/ufclitreview.pdf

California Courts, 2012 Court Statistics Report: Statewide Caseload Trends, 2001-2002 Through 2010-2011. Annual report of statistical information and trends in all California courts, in two parts (introduction, full report). http://www.courts.ca.gov/12941.htm

Greacen Associates, LLC, *Developing Effective Practices in Family Caseflow Management* (2005), a manual prepared for the California AOC, CFCC. http://www.courts.ca.gov/partners/documents/FL Caseflow Mgmt Manual.pdf

Greacen Associates, LLC, *Developing Effective Practices in Juvenile Delinquency Caseflow Management* (2006), a manual prepared for the California AOC, CFCC. http://www.courts.ca.gov/documents/DevelopingEffective--JDCM.pdf

Judicial Council of California, Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC), *Evaluation of the Early Mediation Pilot Programs* (2004), report pursuant to CCP § 1742 (Stats. 1999, Chap. 67, Sec. 4 (A.B. 1105)). http://www.courts.ca.gov/documents/empprept.pdf

National Center for State Courts, *Trust and Confidence in the California Courts: A Survey of the Public and Attorneys* (2005), commissioned by the AOC on behalf of the Judicial Council of California. http://www.courts.ca.gov/xbcr/cc/4 37pubtrust1.pdf

State Justice Institute and California AOC CFCC, Handling Cases Involving Self-Represented Litigants: A Benchguide for Judicial Officers (2007), Washington, DC: National Legal Aid & Defender Association (NLADA).

http://www.nlada.org/DMS/Documents/1176151729.08/CA%20pro%20se%20Benchbook.pdf

PART C: CALIFORNIA JUDGES ASSOCIATION ("CJA")

Mader, K. and H. Goldberg, *Can This Criminal Case Be Settled?* (2012), in The Bench (Vol. 52 No. 1, Spring 2012), pp. 7-8, San Francisco, CA: CJA. http://www.caljudges.org/files/members/pdf/MaderGoldberg.pdf

PART D: SERRANUS—AOC/CJER COURSES

Bertoli, Hon. J.G. and Hon. B.J. DeMeo, *Tips & Techniques for Improving Efficiencies for Noncriminal Calendars* (6/18-20/2012), Cow County Institute. http://serranus.courtinfo.ca.gov/education/courses/documents/cow-jun12-03-tipsnoncrim.pdf

CJER, Difficult Custody Cases [Compiled by CJER] (2010), a CJER-compiled chart from materials provided by Duryee, Hon. L. and Lund, M., Ph.D., in CJER Primary Assignment Orientations—Family Law Orientation Materials, Feb. 1-5, 2010. http://serranus.courtinfo.ca.gov/education/courses/documents/je-feb10-fam-09 difficult.pdf

Crandall, Hon. C.S., *Proactive Civil Case Management Techniques and What They Can Mean for You* (11/3/2011), Trial Court Judicial Attorneys Institute. http://serranus.courtinfo.ca.gov/education/media/6732 video.htm

Duryee, Hon. L., *High Conflict Personalities* (6/17-19/2013), Cow County Institute. (Lists and discusses personality characteristics and useful judicial tactics.) http://serranus.courtinfo.ca.gov/education/courses/documents/cow-jun13-07-conflict.pdf

Duryee, Hon. L., *High Conflict Personality Checklist* (2013), in Qualifying Ethics (5th Cycle) QE5 Core Course Participant Manual, p. 35, San Francisco, CA: AOC/CJER. (Lists personality characteristics and useful judicial tactics.)

Duryee, Hon. L. and Hon. A.C. Hill, *How to Settle Any Case and Look Like a Pro* (3/12/2008), Great Minds Series, AOC-TV Online Video.

http://serranus.courtinfo.ca.gov/education/media/6174_video.htm

Duryee, Hon. L. and Hon. M.G. Virga, *Seal the Deal!* [Settlement Techniques], (3/21/2013), Civil Law Institute.

http://serranus.courtinfo.ca.gov/education/courses/documents/cli-mar13-10_seal.pdf

Eriksson-Embree, K., *Interpreters in California Courts* (2013), Interactive Judicial Article [and Quiz] (10/2013). http://serranus.courtinfo.ca.gov/education/ricc/10ricc 2013oct.htm

Karnow, Hon. C. and Hon. R. Trapp, *Expediting Jury Trials and Pretrial Procedures in General Civil Cases* (3/20/2013), Civil Law Institute.

http://serranus.courtinfo.ca.gov/education/courses/documents/cli-mar13-02 expediting.pdf

Lucky, Hon. L.J., IV, *Paperless Courts: Eye to the Future* (6/17-19/2013), Cow County Institute. http://serranus.courtinfo.ca.gov/education/courses/documents/cow-jun13-08-paperless.pdf, or http://bit.ly/cowcounty2013

Mattice, Hon. M. and Hon. P. Pennypacker, *Saving Costs Through Case Flow Management* (2/8/2012), Criminal Institute.

http://serranus.courtinfo.ca.gov/education/courses/documents/crim-feb12-post08_costs.pdf

Mattice, Hon. M. and Hon. P. Pennypacker, *The Pleasures of CaseFlow Management* (5/24/2011), Cow County Institute.

http://serranus.courtinfo.ca.gov/education/courses/documents/cow-may11-16-pleasures.pdf

Pennypacker, Hon. P., *Settling Criminal Cases* (8/2012), Ten-Minute Mentor Series Video. http://serranus.courtinfo.ca.gov/education/media/mentor/tm-6808-pennypacker.htm

Pennypacker, Hon. P. and Hon. A.D. Rosenfield, *Tips & Techniques for Improving Efficiencies for Criminal Calendars* (6/19/2012), Cow County Institute. http://serranus.courtinfo.ca.gov/education/media/6789 video.htm

Williams, Hon. A.H. III, *Settlement: Ten Tips in Ten Minutes* (9/2007), Ten-Minute Mentor Series Video. http://serranus.courtinfo.ca.gov/education/media/mentor/tm-6198-williams10.htm

PART E: SERRANUS—CASEFLOW MANAGEMENT REFERENCES

Caseflow Management reference page. Information and tools concerning clearance rate; time to disposition; age of active pending caseload; data quality and case file integrity; link to NCSC's Court Statistics Project systems; other references.

http://serranus.courtinfo.ca.gov/programs/courtresearch/casemgt.htm#cr

Court Interpreters Program reference page. Information and tools concerning Video Remote Interpreting (VRI) and other resources.

http://serranus.courtinfo.ca.gov/programs/interpreters/cip-vri.htm

Greacen Associates, LLC, *Developing Effective Practices in Criminal Caseflow Management* (Rev. Ed. 2012), a manual prepared for the California AOC.

http://serranus.courtinfo.ca.gov/programs/courtresearch/documents/ocr-crim-caseflow.pdf

Greacen Associates, LLC, *Developing Effective Practices in Criminal Caseflow Management:* Standard Criminal Caseflow Management Reports (7th Draft, 2007), a manual prepared for the California AOC. http://serranus.courtinfo.ca.gov/programs/courtresearch/documents/ocr-crim-standReports.pdf

Greacen, J.M. and F.G. Miller, *Felony Hearing and Trial Date Certainty Study*, (Oct. 2011), AOC Court Programs and Services Division.

http://serranus.courtinfo.ca.gov/programs/courtresearch/documents/ocr-crim-trialC.pdf

Office of Court Research, *Data Reports* page: Data collections and reports including case aging, trials, filings, dispositions and clearance rates for 10 years, plus annual and quarterly tracking reports. http://serranus.courtinfo.ca.gov/programs/courtresearch/data.htm

PART F: NATIONAL CENTER FOR STATE COURTS ("NCSC")

USE NOTE: If web addresses *infra* stop working, go to <u>www.ncsc.org</u> or to <u>http://nstc.sirsi.net/uhtbin/cqisirsi/CNjrbHwzKq/0/0/49</u> and search.

Center on Court Access to Justice for All [NCSC], Caseflow Management and Access Services, web site on using CFM to enhance access for self-represented litigants. http://www.ncsc.org/microsites/access-to-justice/home/Topics/Caseflow-Management-and-

<u>nttp://www.ncsc.org/microsites/access-to-justice/nome/Topics/Caseflow-Management-and-Access-Services.aspx</u>

Church, T., A. Carlson, J-L. Lee and T. Tan, *Justice Delayed: The Pace of Litigation in Urban Trial Courts* (1978), Williamsburg, VA: NCSC.

 $\frac{\text{http://cdm16501.contentdm.oclc.org/cdm/ref/collection/ctadmin/id/0}}{\text{https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/Digitization/51949NCJRS.pdf}}. Executive summary: \\$

Clarke, J.A. and B.D. Borys, *Usability Is Free: Improving Efficiency by Making the Court More User Friendly* (2011; Los Angeles Superior Court), Williamsburg, VA: NCSC. http://cdm16501.contentdm.oclc.org/cdm/singleitem/collection/ctadmin/id/1844/rec/6

Dodge, H. and K. Pankey, *Case Processing Time Standards in State Courts, 2002-03* (2003), Knowledge and Information Services, NCSC, Williamsburg, VA. http://cdm16501.contentdm.oclc.org/cdm/ref/collection/ctadmin/id/985

Flango, V.E., *Problem-Solving Courts Under a Different Lens* (2007), in C.R. Flango, C. Campbell, and N. Kauder, Future Trends in State Courts 2007, pp. 41-45, Williamsburg, VA: NCSC. http://cdm16501.contentdm.oclc.org/cdm/singleitem/collection/ctadmin/id/980/rec/3

Garofalo, C., The Impact of Coordinating Multiple Criminal Cases in the Multiple Court Sites of the Orange County [California] Superior Court (2011), Institute for Court Management, Court Executive Development Program, Williamsburg, VA: NCSC. (Article on "case packaging.") http://www.ncsc.org/~/media/files/pdf/education%20and%20careers/cedp%20papers/2011/coordinating%20multiple%20criminal%20cases%20in%20multiple%20court%20sites.ashx

Goerdt, J., Divorce Courts: Case Management Procedures, Case Characteristics, and the Pace of Litigation in 16 Urban Jurisdictions (1992), Williamsburg, VA: NCSC. http://cdm16501.contentdm.oclc.org/cdm/ref/collection/famct/id/4

Goerdt, J., C. Lomvardias and G. Gallas, *Reexamining the Pace of Litigation in 39 Urban Trial Courts* (1991), Williamsburg, VA: NCSC, in association with U.S. Dept. of Justice, Bureau of Justice Assistance. https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/Digitization/134609NCJRS.pdf

111

Greacen, J., *The Court Administrator's Perspective: Performance Measurement–A Success Story in New Jersey* (2007), in C.R. Flango, C. Campbell, and N. Kauder (eds.), Future Trends in State Courts 2007, pp. 93-100, Williamsburg, VA: NCSC. http://cdm16501.contentdm.oclc.org/cdm/singleitem/collection/ctadmin/id/980/rec/3

Griller, G., D. Steelman, L. Webster, E. Friess and O. Sudoma, *Innovations and Efficiency Study: City of Phoenix Justice System* (2012), Williamsburg, VA: NCSC. http://cdm16501.contentdm.oclc.org/cdm/singleitem/collection/ctadmin/id/1995

Hall, D. and L. Suskin, *Reengineering Lessons from the Field* (2010), Williamsburg, VA: NCSC. http://cdm16501.contentdm.oclc.org/cdm/ref/collection/ctadmin/id/1625

Hannaford-Agor, P. and N.L. Waters, *Estimating the Cost of Civil Litigation* (2013), in Caseload Highlights, Vol. 20, No. 1, Jan. 2013, pp. 1-8. Williamsburg, VA: NCSC. http://www.courtstatistics.org/~/media/Microsites/Files/CSP/DATA%20PDF/CSPH online2.as http://www.ncsc.org/~/media/Microsites/Files/CSP/DATA%20PDF/csph 2013 tablesv1.ashx

Hewitt, W., G. Gallas and B. Mahoney, *Courts That Succeed: Six Profiles of Successful Courts* (1990), Williamsburg, VA: NCSC. ISBN: 0-89656-102-X. http://cdm16501.contentdm.oclc.org/cdm/singleitem/collection/ctadmin/id/10/rec/17

Mahoney, B., A. Aikman, P. Casey, V. Flango, G. Gallas, T. Henderson, J. Ito, D. Steelman and S. Weller, *Changing Times in Trial Courts: Caseflow Management and Delay Reduction in Urban Trial Courts* (1988), Williamsburg, VA: NCSC. http://cdm16501.contentdm.oclc.org/cdm/ref/collection/ctadmin/id/7

Mahoney, B., H. Bakke, A. Bonacci-Miller, N.C. Maron and M. Solomon, *How to Conduct a Caseflow Management Review: A Guide for Practitioners* (1994), Williamsburg, VA: NCSC. http://cdm16501.contentdm.oclc.org/cdm/ref/collection/ctadmin/id/60

McMillan, J.E., *Using Technology to Improve Customer Service—Trends 2007* (2007), in C.R. Flango, C. Campbell and N. Kauder (eds.), Future Trends in State Courts 2007, pp. 23-25, Williamsburg, VA: NCSC.

http://cdm16501.contentdm.oclc.org/cdm/singleitem/collection/ctadmin/id/980/rec/3

Mize, Hon. G.E., P. Hannaford-Agor and N.L. Waters Ph.D., *The State-Of-The-States Survey of Jury Improvement Efforts: A Compendium Report* (2007), Williamsburg, VA: NCSC Center for Jury Studies. http://www.ncsc-

jurystudies.org/~/media/Microsites/Files/CJS/SOS/SOSCompendiumFinal.ashx

NCSC, Best Practices in Court-Based Programs for the Self-Represented: Concepts, Attributes and Issues for Exploration (2006), Williamsburg, VA: NCSC. http://cdm16501.contentdm.oclc.org/cdm/ref/collection/accessfair/id/90

NCSC, California's Expedited Jury Trial Program: Awaiting a Verdict, in Short, Summary & Expedited: The Evolution of Civil Jury Trials (2012), pp. 68-81 (.PDF pp. 74/96-87/96). www.ncsc.org/SJT/

NCSC, Caseflow Management Resource Guide, a well-organized list of articles and publications in multiple disciplines of law: http://www.ncsc.org/Topics/Court-Management/Caseflow-Management/Resource-Guide.aspx

NCSC, CourTools® web site: http://www.courtools.org/

NCSC, Key Events in the Evolution of State Court Caseflow Management, http://cdm16501.contentdm.oclc.org/cdm/ref/collection/ctadmin/id/1281

NCSC, State Court Guide to Statistical Reporting, ver. 1.3 (2009). http://cdm16501.contentdm.oclc.org/cdm/ref/collection/ctadmin/id/1472.

NCSC and Conference of State Court Administrators, *Court Statistics Project* web site: http://www.courtstatistics.org/

NCSC and Justice at Stake, Funding Justice: Strategies and Messages for Restoring Court Funding (2012), http://www.ncsc.org/information-and-resources/budget-resource-center/analysis strategy/funding-justice.aspx, select "Download the Report."

NCSC Information Service-1998, *Smart Calendaring*, in Report on Trends in the State Courts, 1997-1998 Ed. (1998), pp. 11-12 (.PDF pp. 21/70-22/70), Williamsburg, VA: NCSC. http://ncsc.contentdm.oclc.org/cdm/ref/collection/ctadmin/id/430

Ostrom, B. and R. Hanson, *Efficiency, Timeliness and Quality: A New Perspective from Nine State Criminal Trial Courts* (1999), (includes Alameda and Sacramento courts), Williamsburg, VA: NCSC. http://cdm16501.contentdm.oclc.org/cdm/ref/collection/ctadmin/id/409

Sammon, M., Fundamental Issues of Caseflow Management (2008), Institute for Court Management, an e-Learning course. https://courses.ncsc.org/course/caseflow

Sipes, D., M. Oram, M. Thornton, D. Valluzzi and R. Duizend, *On Trial: The Length of Civil and Criminal Trials* (1988), Williamsburg, VA: NCSC. ISBN: 0896560864. http://cdm16501.contentdm.oclc.org/cdm/ref/collection/ctadmin/id/40

Steelman, D., with J. Goerdt and J. McMillan, *Caseflow Management: The Heart of Court Management in the New Millennium* (Rev. Ed., 2004), Williamsburg, VA: NCSC. ISBN: 0896562352. http://cdm16501.contentdm.oclc.org/cdm/ref/collection/ctadmin/id/1498

Steelman, D., *Elements of a Successful "Plea Cut-Off" Policy for Criminal Cases* (2008), Williamsburg, VA: NCSC.

http://cdm16501.contentdm.oclc.org/cdm/ref/collection/ctadmin/id/1253

Steelman, D., *Improving Caseflow Management: A Brief Guide* (Rev. Ed., 2008), Williamsburg, VA: NCSC.

http://cdm16501.contentdm.oclc.org/cdm/ref/collection/ctadmin/id/1022

Steelman, D., Improving Protective Probate Processes: An Assessment of Guardianship and Conservatorship Procedures in the Probate and Mental Health Department of the Maricopa County Superior Court (2011), Williamsburg, VA: NCSC. http://cdm16501.contentdm.oclc.org/cdm/ref/collection/spcts/id/226

Steelman, D., *Model Continuance Policy* (2009), Williamsburg, VA: NCSC. http://cdm16501.contentdm.oclc.org/cdm/ref/collection/ctadmin/id/1484

Steelman, D., Reducing Court Work Volume through Caseflow Management (2009), Williamsburg, VA: NCSC.

http://cdm16501.contentdm.oclc.org/cdm/ref/collection/ctadmin/id/1485

Waters, N.L., Ph.D., *Does Jury Size Matter? A Review of the Literature* (2004), Williamsburg, VA: NCSC. http://cdm16501.contentdm.oclc.org/cdm/ref/collection/juries/id/68

Zorza, R., Spreading and Adopting Best Practices for Court-Based Programs for the Self-Represented (2007), in C.R. Flango, C. Campbell and N. Kauder (eds.), Future Trends in State Courts 2007, pp. 81-83, Williamsburg, VA: NCSC. http://cdm16501.contentdm.oclc.org/cdm/singleitem/collection/ctadmin/id/980/rec/3

PART G: OTHER RESOURCES AND PUBLICATIONS

Bornstein, B.H., A.J. Tomkins and E.M. Neeley, *Reducing Courts' Failure to Appear Rate: A Procedural Justice Approach* (2011), Rockville, MD: National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS) NJC 234370. https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/234370.pdf

Brown, Hon. R.F., *Juxtaposed Expert Testimony: A New Way to Hear from the Experts* (2012), in Forum, Vol. 42, No. 6, Nov./Dec. 2012, pp. 12-15, Sacramento, CA: CAOC.

Brown, Hon. R.F., *Juxtaposed Expert Testimony [JET]: A Proposal to Benefit California's Judicial System* (2012), http://jet-trials.org/, select "Juxtaposed Expert Testimony" or see http://jet-trials.org/juxtaposed-expert-testimony-2/

Dressel, Hon. W.F., Court Organization and Effective Caseflow Management: Time to Redefine (2010), National Judicial College in association with U.S. Dept. of Justice, Bureau of Justice Assistance. http://www.judges.org/pdf/Time%20to%20Redefine.pdf

Greacen, J.M., *Issues in Criminal Case-Flow Measurement* (2006), in Judges Journal, Vol. 45 No. 2, Winter 2006, pp. 38-40, 47, Chicago, IL: ABA. http://www.americanbar.org/content/dam/aba/publications/judges_journal/2006win_jj.authcheckdam.pdf

Hawken, A., Ph.D., *HOPE for Probation: How Hawaii Improved Behavior with High-Probability, Low-Severity Sanctions* (2010), in The Journal of Global Drug Policy and Practice, Fall 2010 issue, Vol. 4, No. 3. http://www.globaldrugpolicy.org/losues/Vol%204%20Issue%203/Hope%20for%20Probation.pdf

Jacoby, J.E., C.R. Link and E.C. Ratledge, *Some Costs of Continuances: A Multi-Jurisdictional Study* (1986), Washington, D.C., Jefferson Institute for Justice Studies, for U.S. Dept. of Justice, National Institute of Justice (NCJRS). (Studies 4 courts including Ventura County, CA.) https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/Digitization/103304NCJRS.pdf

Juhas, Hon. M.A., Hon. M. McKnight, Hon. L.D. Zelon and R. Zorza, *Self-Represented Cases—15 Techniques for Saving Time in Tough Times* (2010), in Judges' Journal, Vol. 49 No.1, Winter 2010, pp. 18-19, Chicago, IL: ABA.

http://www.americanbar.org/content/dam/aba/publications/judges_journal/2010win_jj.authc heckdam.pdf

Karnow, Hon. C.E.A., *Timing Settlement* (2011), unpublished, Selected Works of Curtis E.A. Karnow, http://works.bepress.com/curtis-karnow/ and search, or http://works.bepress.com/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1006&context=curtis-karnow/

Miller, M.G., Ph.D., How Do Court Continuances Influence the Time Children Spend in Foster Care? (2004), Olympia, WA: Washington State Institute for Public Policy. http://www.wsipp.wa.gov/rptfiles/04-03-3901.pdf

Monek, F., Court Delay: Some Causes and Remedies (1982), Dean's Address to the International Academy of Trial Lawyers, Minneapolis, MN: IATL. http://www.iatl.net/files/public/82 court i4a.pdf

Nakamura, Hon. K.H., *Six Happy Jurors, Parts 1 and 2* (2012), in Daily Journal (S.F.), Nov. 15, 2012 (Editorial ID: 925845), p. 7, and Nov. 16, 2012 (Editorial ID: 925863), p. 4. http://www.dailyjournal.com/subscriber/SubMain.cfm ("Search" tab); also in Orange County Lawyer, Vol. 54 No. 11, November 2012, p. 20. http://www.ocbar.org/OCLawyer.aspx

National Judicial College, Caseflow Management Summit Report: The Caseflow Management Consortium, a 21st Century Idea to Achieve Sustainability (2009), National Judicial College in association with U.S. Dept. of Justice, Bureau of Justice Assistance, Reno, NV. http://www.judges.org/pdf/caseflow-cons-benefits0813.pdf

National Judicial College, Fair, Timely, Economical Justice: Achieving Justice Through Effective Caseflow Management (2009), National Judicial College in association with U.S. Dept. of Justice, Bureau of Justice Assistance, Reno, NV. http://www.judges.org/pdf/caseflowman-book1209.pdf, or https://www.bja.gov/publications/njc_caseflowmanagement.pdf

Robinson, P.R., *An Empirical Study of Settlement Conference Nuts and Bolts: Settlement Judges Facilitating Communication, Compromise and Fear*, ExpressO, (2010). http://works.bepress.com/peter-robinson/ and search, or http://works.bepress.com/peter-robinson/2

Robinson, P.R., *Opening Pandora's Box: An Empirical Exploration of Judicial Settlement*, ExpressO (2011, in press). http://works.bepress.com/peter robinson/ and search, or http://works.bepress.com/peter robinson/3

Robinson, P.R., Settlement: An Empirical Documentation of Judicial Settlement Conferences Practices and Techniques, ExpressO, (2009). http://works.bepress.com/peter robinson/ and search, or http://works.bepress.com/peter robinson/1

Robinson, P.R., Settlement Conference Judge: Legal Lion or Problem Solving Lamb: An Empirical Documentation of Judicial Settlement Conference Practices & Techniques, (2009), 33 Am. J. Trial Advoc. 113. http://works.bepress.com/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1000&context=peter_robinson/

Self-Represented Litigation Network, *Principles of Caseflow Management for Access to Justice* (2011), © NCSC, Williamsburg, VA: NCSC. www.probono.net/va/search/attachment.198437

Solomon, M., Conducting A Felony Caseflow Management Review: A Practical Guide (2010), Washington D.C., American University, for U.S. Dept. of Justice, Bureau of Justice Assistance. http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/BJA/pdf/AU_FelonyCaseflow.pdf

Solomon, M., *Improving Criminal Caseflow* (2008), Washington D.C., American University, for U.S. Dept. of Justice, Bureau of Justice Assistance. http://www1.spa.american.edu/justice/documents/2406.pdf

White, Hon. K.M. and Hon. D.P. Maguire, *How (Not) To Handle Exhibits*, in Daily Journal (S.F.), May 23, 2013 (Editorial ID: 929107), p. 5. http://www.dailyjournal.com/subscriber/SubMain.cfm. ("Search" tab).

INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER

USE NOTE: References A through G are to the foregoing Parts of this resources guide.

Age of cases, E: Caseflow Management reference page; E: Greacen et al., Felony Hearing and Trial Date Certainty Study; E: Office of Court Research Data reports page; F: NCSC, Caseflow Management Resource Guide; F: NCSC, CourTools® web site

Alternative dispute resolution (ADR), B: Judicial Council, AOC, Evaluation of the Early Mediation Pilot Programs. See also, Settling cases, below

Authority for CFM, see Part A, above

Best practices, see Effective practices, below

Case packaging, F: Garofalo, The Impact of Coordinating Multiple Criminal Cases...Orange County [etc.]

Caseflow management in general, D: Mattice, et al., The Pleasures of CaseFlow Management; F: Mahoney, et al., How to Conduct a Caseflow Management Review [etc.]; F: NCSC, Key Events in the Evolution of State Court Caseflow Management; F: Sammon, Fundamental Issues of Caseflow Management; F: Steelman, Improving Caseflow Management: A Brief Guide; F: Steelman, et al., Caseflow Management: The Heart of Court Management [etc.]; G: Dressel, Court Organization and Effective Caseflow Management: Time to Redefine; G: National Judicial College, Caseflow Management Summit Report; G: National Judicial College, Fair, Timely, Economical Justice: Achieving Justice [etc.]; G: Solomon, Conducting A Felony Caseflow Management Review: A Practical Guide; G: Solomon, Improving Criminal Caseflow

Caseload data, see Data, below

Child custody litigation, D: CJER, Difficult Custody Cases by CJER; D: Duryee, High Conflict Personality Checklist

Clearance rate, E: Caseflow Management reference page; E: Office of Court Research Data reports page; G: Greacen, J.M., Issues in Criminal Case-Flow Measurement. See also, Data, below

Complex civil litigation, A: California AOC, Deskbook on the Management of Complex Civil Litigation

Continuances, E: Greacen, et al., Felony Hearing and Trial Date Certainty Study; F: Steelman, Model Continuance Policy; G: Jacoby, et al., Some Costs of Continuances: A Multi-Jurisdictional Study; G: Miller, How Do Court Continuances Influence the Time Children Spend in Foster Care?

Costs to litigants, F: Hannaford-Agor, et al., Estimating the Cost of Civil Litigation

Data, B: California Courts, 2012 Court Statistics Report; E: Caseflow Management reference page; E: Greacen et al., Developing Effective Practices in Criminal Caseflow Management: Standard Criminal Caseflow Management Reports; E: Office of Court Research, Data Reports page; F: NCSC, CourTools®; NCSC, State Court Guide to Statistical Reporting, ver. 1.3; NCSC et al., Court Statistics Project See also, Clearance rate, above

Early mediation, see Alternative dispute resolution, above

Effective practices, B: Greacen et al., Developing Effective Practices in Family Caseflow Management; B: Greacen et al., Developing Effective Practices in Juvenile Delinquency Caseflow Management; B: State Justice Institute and California AOC CFCC, Handling Cases Involving Self-Represented Litigants: A Benchguide for Judicial Officers; E: Greacen et al., Developing Effective Practices in Criminal Caseflow Management; F: NCSC, Best Practices in Court-Based Programs for the Self-Represented [etc.]

Exhibits at trial, G: White, et al., How (Not) To Handle Exhibits

Failures to appear, G: Bornstein, et al., Reducing Courts' Failure to Appear Rate [etc.]

Family law, B: American Institutes for Research, Unified Family Court Evaluation Literature Review; B: Greacen et al., Developing Effective Practices in Family Caseflow Management; D: CJER, Difficult Custody Cases [Compiled by CJER]; F: Goerdt, J., Divorce Courts: Case Management Procedures, Case Characteristics, and the Pace of Litigation in 16 Urban Jurisdictions. See also, High conflict personalities, below; Principles of caseflow management, below; Self-represented litigants, below; and other materials throughout this resources guide that can enhance family law CFM.

Funding the court, F: NCSC and Justice at Stake, Funding Justice: Strategies and Messages for Restoring Court Funding

High conflict personalities, D: Duryee, High Conflict Personalities; D: Duryee, High Conflict Personality Checklist

In general, see Caseflow management in general, above

Interpreters, D: Eriksson-Embree, Interpreters in California Courts (2013); E: Court Interpreters Program reference page

Jury improvement efforts nationwide, F: Mize, et al., The State-Of-The-States Survey of Jury Improvement Efforts [Etc.]

Juvenile law, B: Greacen et al., Developing Effective Practices in Juvenile Delinquency Caseflow Management

Juxtaposed expert testimony [JET], G: Brown, Juxtaposed Expert Testimony: A New Way [etc.]; G: Brown, Juxtaposed Expert Testimony [JET] [etc.]

Legal authority for caseflow management, see Part A, above

Paperless courts, *D*: Lucky, *Paperless Courts: Eye to the Future* Personalities, see High conflict personalities, above

Plea bargaining, A: People v. Clancey; C: Mader et al., Can This Criminal Case Be Settled? F: Steelman, Elements of a Successful "Plea Cut-Off" Policy for Criminal Cases. See also, Settling cases, below.

Principles of caseflow management, see resources listed in Users' Guide, p. 1, above; also F: Zorza, Spreading and Adopting Best Practices for Court-Based Programs for the Self-Represented.; G: Self-Represented Litigation Network, Principles of Caseflow Management for Access to Justice. See also, Caseflow management in general, above.

Probate, F: Steelman, Improving Protective Probate Processes: An Assessment [etc.]

Probation, G: Hawken, HOPE for Probation: How Hawaii Improved Behavior [etc.]

Recidivism, G: Hawken, HOPE for Probation: How Hawaii Improved Behavior [etc.]

Self-represented litigants, B: State Justice Institute et al., Handling Cases Involving Self-Represented Litigants [etc.]; F: Center on Court Access to Justice for All [NCSC], Caseflow Management and Access Services; F: NCSC, Best Practices in Court-Based Programs for the Self-Represented [etc.]; F: Zorza, Spreading and Adopting Best Practices for Court-Based Programs for the Self-Represented; G: Juhas et al., Self-Represented Cases—15 Techniques for Saving Time in Tough Times; G: Self-Represented Litigation Network, Principles of Caseflow Management for Access to Justice

Settling cases, B: Judicial Council, AOC, Evaluation of the Early Mediation Pilot Programs; C: Mader, et al., Can This Criminal Case Be Settled?; D: Duryee, et al., How to Settle Any Case and Look Like a Pro; D: Duryee, et al., Seal the Deal! [Settlement Techniques]; D: Pennypacker, Settling Criminal Cases; D: Williams, Settlement: Ten Tips in Ten Minutes; G: Karnow, Timing Settlement; G: Robinson, An Empirical Study of Settlement Conference Nuts and Bolts [etc.]; G: Robinson, Opening Pandora's Box: An Empirical Exploration of Judicial Settlement; G: Robinson, Settlement: An Empirical Documentation of Judicial Settlement Conferences; G: Robinson, Settlement Conference Judge: Legal Lion or Problem Solving Lamb [etc.]; See also, Plea bargaining, above.

Summary adjudication, A: Calif. Code of Civil Procedure ("CCP") § 437c, subd's (f) and (s); A: In re Marriage of Georgiou and Leslie

Time to disposition, A: Calif. Standards of Judicial Administration; E: Greacen et al., Felony Hearing and Trial Date Certainty Study; F: Dodge et al., Case Processing Time Standards in State Courts 2002-03

Trials - Calendaring, F: NCSC Information Service-1998, Smart Calendaring

Trials – Exhibits, G: White, et al., How (Not) To Handle Exhibits

Trials – Expedited, A: CCP §§ 630.01-630.10; A: Calif. Rules of Court §§ 3.1545-3.1552; F: NCSC, California's Expedited Jury Trial Program: Awaiting a Verdict

Trials – Length, F: Sipes, et al., On Trial: The Length of Civil and Criminal Trials; G: Brown, Juxtaposed Expert Testimony [etc.]

Unified family courts, *B*: American Institutes for Research, *Unified Family Court Evaluation Literature Review*

Work volume, F: Steelman, Reducing Court Work Volume through Caseflow Management