

# 2019-2020 JURY DATA REPORT

## Key Performance Indicators: Yield, Utilization, & Postponement Ratio

Total Summoned:	8,204,218	*57 of 58 Courts Reporting*
Total Postponed in:	569,424	
Told Not to Report (Telephone Standby):	3,316,758	
<b>Potentially Available:</b>	<b>8,773,642</b>	

Failure to Appear (FTA):	1,425,846
Undeliverable:	617,539
Disqualified:	896,366
Other (deceased, peace officer):	28,581
Excused:	811,959
Postponed out:	321,000
<b>Unavailable:</b>	<b>4,101,291</b>

<b>Total Qualified and Available to Serve:</b>	<b>4,672,351</b>
--	------------------

<b><u>Postponement Ratio:</u></b>	<b>0.6</b>	<b>1:1 Suggested Goal</b>
-----------------------------------	------------	---------------------------

% FTA:	16%
% Undeliverable:	7%
% Disqualified:	10%
% Other (deceased, peace officer):	0.3%
% Excused:	9%
% Postponed Out:	4%

<b><u>JUROR YIELD:</u></b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>50% Suggested Goal</b>
----------------------------	------------	---------------------------

Total Completed Service:	4,206,192
<b>Total Completed Service in One Day:</b>	<b>3,857,014</b>
One Day in Person:	630,629

One Day on Call or Telephone Standby:	3,226,385
Completed in Person:	889,434
Sent for Jury Selection (courtroom):	568,586
Complete in Assembly Room:	320,848
Sent to a Courtroom and Utilized	355,859
Questioned and Released:	261,708
Sworn:	94,151
Not Reached:	210,148

% Sent to Courtroom and Utilized:	63%	90% Suggested Goal
% Sent to Courtroom for Selection:	42%	90% Suggested Goal
% Jurors Who Completed in Person:	29%	90% Suggested Goal
% Completed in Assembly Room:	36%	
% Questioned and Released:	46%	
% Sworn:	17%	30% Suggested Goal
% Not Reached (in courtroom):	37%	10% Suggested Goal
<b><u>JUROR UTILIZATION:</u></b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>73% Suggested Goal</b>

*Quality* - Accuracy of the data

57 question fields (not counting 6a-6c and 8) x 57 courts reporting = 3,249 question fields. 121 false returns. 47 suspicious value calculations.  $(121+47)/3,249 = 5.2\%$  inconsistent data percentage (rounded to whole tenth or decimal tenth). Converse = 94.8% data consistent percentage.

*Completeness* - Percentage of records with missing fields

3,306 total cells for data. 9 nulls. 1 court missing all 57 pertinent fields of data.  $(57+9)/3,306 = 2\%$  records (rounded) with missing fields. Converse = 98% with completed fields.

*Currency* - Timeliness of data

45 courts submitted timely data. 12 courts submitted late data. 1 court submitted no data.  $(12+1)/58 = 22\%$  of data (rounded) is untimely. Converse = 78% of data is timely.

*System Integrity* - Numbers of systems and level of data consistency

6 jury management systems (JMS). 58 different data entry methodologies.



## **FY 2019-2020 Court Participation**

57 Courts

Alameda	San Mateo
Alpine	Santa Barbara
Amador	Santa Clara
Butte	Santa Cruz
Calaveras	Shasta
Colusa	Sierra
Contra Costa	Siskiyou
Del Norte	Solano
El Dorado	Sonoma
Fresno	Stanislaus
Glenn	Sutter
Humboldt	Tehama
Inyo	Trinity
Kern	Tulare
Kings	Tuolumne
Lake	Ventura
Lassen	Yolo
Los Angeles	Yuba
Madera	
Marin	
Mariposa	
Mendocino	
Merced	
Modoc	
Mono	
Monterey	
Napa	
Nevada	
Orange	
Placer	
Plumas	
Riverside	
Sacramento	
San Benito	
San Bernardino	
San Diego	
San Francisco	
San Joaquin	
San Luis Obispo	