| # | RFQ Reference | Question | Answer |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | Section 3.0, Subsection 3.5 Architectural and Engineering Design Services (page 5) and Section 4.0, Tab 2. 330 Part I (A-D): Firm Identification (page 8) | Section 4.0, Tab 2 mentions that only key sub-consultants, Structural, Mechanical, Electrical, low-voltage, and LEED / Sustainability should be identified in Part I (A-D). However, in Section 3.0, Subsection 3.5, the following Architectural and Engineering Design Services are identified “…architectural, structural, civil, mechanical, electrical, plumbing, sustainable and LEEDTM design, security, acoustical, interior design, lighting, audio visual, data / telecommunications, graphics design, building information modeling (“BIM”) and related services which may be required in connection with planning, design and a new building project. Special services that may be requested including but not limited to, geotechnical engineering; land surveys; wind engineering; vibration control; life safety/code consulting; audio visual; physical and electronic security design; parking structure design; parking revenue control; and art.” **Please clarify whether we should only specify the five key sub-consultants in the entire SF 330 response or should we list these five sub-consultants only in Part I, Sections A-D and all other required disciplines per Section 3.0, Subsection 3.5 in the remaining applicable sections?** | RFQ Section 4.1 Tab 2, Tab 3 (330 Part I A through E) Firm Identification and Resumes require that the information needs to be submitted on only the Architect and key sub-consultants that include structural, mechanical, electrical, low-voltage and LEED/sustainability. |
| 2 | Section 4, Tab 7, 330 Part II: General Qualifications (page 9) | Are Part II: General Qualifications required from all of the sub-consultants? | General Qualifications (330 Part II) are required for only the architect and key consultants, not all sub-consultants. |
| 3 | Section 4 Tab 2 Firm Identification | **Sub-Consultants**  The RFQ requests that we provide under Tab 2 of the qualification submission “Firm Identification” for key sub-consultants, Structural, Mechanical, Electrical, Low-Voltage, and Sustainability. During today’s conference call a question was asked if we should include information for the entire team of sub-consultants outside of Structural, Mechanical, Electrical, Low-Voltage, and Sustainability. Please clarify what information you would like provided and for which sub-consultants. Do you want us to ***only*** list the entire recommended sub-consultants and provide resumes for **ONLY** key sub-consultants (Structural, Mechanical, Electrical, Low-Voltage, and Sustainability)? Or you would like us to include resumes for all sub-consultants including specialty sub-consultants? | Refer to Answer #1. |
| 4 | Section 4 Tab 6 | **Proposed Approach**  To adequately provide our approach to this project we request an increase in page allowance from 4 to 6. The two additional pages will allow us to highlight our expertise and vision for your project. | Tab 6 (330 Part I – (H) Proposed Approach shall be 4 pages maximum. |
| 5 | General | To what extent will the design team be responsible for providing further utility investigation, such as potholing? | This will be discussed as part of the Request for Proposal (RFP) process. |
| 6 | General | Site and existing building questions:  1).       Are sites being considered at locations other than the Central Juvenile campus?  2).       Will the location of the secure perimeter of the campus potentially be altered to accommodate site area for the courthouse?  If so will that be done as part of the work of this contract?  3).       Is renovation of the existing courthouse being considered? | 1. Currently, no other sites are being considered. 2. At this point in time, the site perimeter of the existing county campus is not expected to change. 3. The renovation of the existing building will not be considered. |
| 7 | General | Will survey and geotechnical report be provided by the owner?  Is the A/E team expected to do the survey and provide geotechnical report? | The selected Architect’s team will be required to provide both survey and geotechnical report. |
| 8 | General | Can sub-consultants submit the qualifications directly to the Judicial Council of California? | All sub-consultants should be submitting their qualification through Architects. |
| 9 | Page 8 Tab 4 | This section states, “completed in the last 10 years”. Can you verify what constitutes a completed project – is it design completion or construction completion? | The completed projects are defined as built projects. |
| 10 | Page 8 Tab 4 | This section states, “10 projects – can we submit additional projects that are not complete but are relevant projects for Eastlake? | The proposers shall include only up to 10 projects. |
| 11 | Page 8 Tab 2 | Since we are required to submit a principal and engineer for each of our sub-consultants, should all of our sub-consultants be listed in Section C proposed team? And should they be listed on the organization chart as well? | Per Tab 2 (330 Part I), the proposed team shall be comprised of the Architect and only key sub-consultants. |
| 12 | Section 4 Tab 2, Tab 4 Firm Identification and Resumes of Key Personnel | Should programming consultant be part of the A/E team on this RFQ? | For the purpose of this RFQ, the proposed team shall be comprised of the Architect and only key sub-consultants that include structural, mechanical, electrical, low-voltage and LEED/sustainability. |
| 13 | Page 8 Tab 2 Part I | Please confirm if it’s necessary to include the qualifications and resumes of a landscape architect and a court planner at this time (in addition to those listed at the top of Page 8). | Refer to Answer #12. |
| 14 | Page 7 | Please clarify if the Payee Data Record and Qualifications Questionnaire should be submitted separately from the SOQ, not bound in the same package? | The Payee data record and Qualifications Questionnaire shall be in the same package. |
| 15 | General | Will you be considering proposals from primary firms with past examples of institutional and public projects if they work with a local associate architect/consultant with courthouse specific experience? | Per Section 4.1 Tab 4 (Example Projects), the project examples should be either court buildings or institutional buildings of similar complexity. |
| 16 | General | What are the requirements for submittal with an associate architect/consultant? | If a Prime Architect proposes to work with an Associate Architect, the same submittal requirements for Architect apply to Associate Architect as well per Section 41. Tab 2 Firm Identification and Tab 3 Resumes of Key Personnel. |
| 17 | Section 3.4 Page 4 | Section 3.4 mentions a building program will be available. What other specific programmatic elements are to be included in the building? | This will be discussed as part of the Request for Proposal (RFP) process. |
|  |  |  |  |