


ACTIVITY REPORTING AND PROPOSAL FORM

JUDICIAL COUNCIL DIRECTIVES AOC RESTRUCTURING

DATE	12/27/2012
PREPARED BY	Malcolm Franklin
OFFICE NAME	<u>Office of Security</u>
JUDICIAL COUNCIL DIRECTIVE NUMBER	125
JUDICIAL COUNCIL DIRECTIVE	Judicial Council Directive E&P recommends that the Judicial Council direct the Administrative Director of the Courts to return to the Judicial Council with an analysis, defining the necessary emergency response and security functions for the branch and a recommendation on the organizational plan for council approval.
SEC RECOMMENDATION	7-54. There is no need for a stand-alone Office of Emergency Response and Security. Most necessary functions performed by the office can be reassigned and absorbed by existing units in the Judicial and Court Operations Services Division. 7-55. The functions of this office should be refocused and limited to those reasonably required by statute or by the Rules of Court, primarily including review of security plans for new and existing facilities; review of court security equipment, if requested by the courts; and review of emergency plans. 7-56. Reductions in this office are feasible. The office cannot effectively provide branch-wide judicial security and online protection for all judicial officers. Positions allocated for such functions should be eliminated. The Administrative Director should evaluate whether some activities undertaken by this office are cost effective, such as judicial security and online protection functions.
RESPONSE (check applicable boxes)	
<input type="checkbox"/> This directive has been completed and implemented:	
<input type="checkbox"/> This directive is forwarded to the Judicial Council with options for consideration:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other:	
The council voted unanimously to retain the Office of Security. However, the council deferred action	








on the remaining recommendations, most importantly the recommendation that a Court Security Advisory Committee be established, pending an assessment of the costs of the committee, and E&P's comprehensive review of all the council's advisory groups. Final decisions regarding the functions of the Office of Security are pending further decisions by the council or other advisory committees.

 File Attachment

TIMELINE AND RESOURCES FOR IMPLEMENTATION

IMPLEMENTATION DATE OR PROJECTED IMPLEMENTATION DATE	12/14/12
RESOURCES REQUIRED FOR IMPLEMENTATION	None

ADDITIONAL IMPLEMENTATION INFORMATION (complete only applicable sections)

<input type="checkbox"/> PROCEDURES/ POLICIES UPDATED OR DEVELOPED	<div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div> <p> File Attachment</p>
<input type="checkbox"/> TRAINING UPDATED OR DEVELOPED	<div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div> <p> File Attachment</p>
<input type="checkbox"/> SAVINGS	<div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div> <p> File Attachment</p>
<input type="checkbox"/> COST	<div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div> <p> File Attachment</p>
<input type="checkbox"/> EFFICIENCIES	<div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div> <p> File Attachment</p>
<input type="checkbox"/> SERVICE LEVEL IMPACT	<div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div> <p> File Attachment</p>
<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER	<div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div> <p> File Attachment</p>

ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR OF THE COURTS (ADOC) REVIEW AND APPROVAL

--	--

ADOC REVIEW	Administrative Director of the Courts Review Date: 2/7/2013 <input type="text"/>
EXECUTIVE AND PLANNING (E&P) COMMITTEE REVIEW	
E&P REVIEW	Executive and Planning Review Date: 2/14/2013 <input type="text"/>