

ACTIVITY REPORTING AND PROPOSAL FORM

JUDICIAL COUNCIL DIRECTIVES AOC RESTRUCTURING

DATE	4/12/2013
PREPARED BY	Mark Dusman
OFFICE NAME	<u>Information & Technology Services Office</u>
JUDICIAL COUNCIL DIRECTIVE NUMBER	101
JUDICIAL COUNCIL DIRECTIVE	E&P recommends that the Judicial Council support SEC Recommendation 7 -44 and direct the council's Technology Committee to reexamine technology policies in the judicial branch to formulate any new branch-wide technology policies or standards, based on the input, needs, and experiences of the courts and court users, and including cost-benefit analysis.
SEC RECOMMENDATION	The Technology Committee continues work to develop a unified, long-term plan to achieve funding stability for court technology. Following the October 23-24, 2012 Judicial Branch Technology Summit, the Chief Justice authorized the creation of a task force on judicial branch technology governance and strategy, to report to the Technology Committee. The Technology Planning Task Force will be comprised of Judicial Officers, Court Executive Officers, Court Information Technology Officers, and other stakeholders representing the trial and appellate courts, the State Bar, and the public. The charge of the task force is to 1) define judicial branch technology governance, 2) develop a strategic plan for technology at the Trial Court, Appellate Court, and Supreme Court level, and 3) develop recommendations for funding judicial branch technology. Work is also underway to streamline technology governance.
RESPONSE (check applicable boxes)	
<input type="checkbox"/> This directive has been completed and implemented:	
<input type="text"/>	
<input type="button" value="File Attachment"/>	
<input type="checkbox"/> This directive is forwarded to the Judicial Council with options for consideration:	
<input type="text"/>	
<input type="button" value="File Attachment"/>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other:	
The Technology Committee continues work to develop a unified, long-term plan to achieve funding stability for court technology. Following the October 23-24, 2012 Judicial Branch Technology	

Summit, the Chief Justice authorized the creation of a task force on judicial branch technology governance and strategy, to report to the Technology Committee. The Technology Planning Task Force was formed on February 1, 2013 with the term to be through February 1, 2014 (in alignment with Judicial Council direction for committees to have a specific purpose and timeline). Membership includes Judicial Officers, Court Executive Officers, Court Information Technology Officers, and other stakeholders representing the trial and appellate courts, the State Bar, and the public. The charge of the task force is to 1) define judicial branch technology governance, 2) develop a strategic plan for technology at the Supreme Court, Appellate Court, Trial Court, and Judicial Council levels, and 3) develop recommendations for funding judicial branch technology, including the development of budget change proposals for submission to the Department of Finance and the Legislature.






The task force held its first meeting on February 20, a face to face kick-off on February 27, and a follow-up meeting on March 18, 2013. Three tracks are being formed to focus on governance, strategic plan, and funding. The tracks include broad representation from the judicial branch and stakeholders. The task force charge and roster is available on the public website and can be found at <http://www.courts.ca.gov/3046.htm>.

 File Attachment

TIMELINE AND RESOURCES FOR IMPLEMENTATION

IMPLEMENTATION DATE OR PROJECTED IMPLEMENTATION DATE	6/30/2014
RESOURCES REQUIRED FOR IMPLEMENTATION	

ADDITIONAL IMPLEMENTATION INFORMATION (complete only applicable sections)

<input type="checkbox"/> PROCEDURES/ POLICIES UPDATED OR DEVELOPED	<div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; width: 100%;">  File Attachment </div>
<input type="checkbox"/> TRAINING UPDATED OR DEVELOPED	<div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; width: 100%;">  File Attachment </div>
<input type="checkbox"/> SAVINGS	<div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; width: 100%;">  File Attachment </div>
<input type="checkbox"/> COST	<div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; width: 100%;">  File Attachment </div>
<input type="checkbox"/> EFFICIENCIES	<div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; width: 100%;">  File Attachment </div>
	<div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div>

<input type="checkbox"/> SERVICE LEVEL IMPACT	<input type="text" value="File Attachment"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER	<input type="text" value="File Attachment"/>
ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR OF THE COURTS (ADOC) REVIEW AND APPROVAL	
ADOC REVIEW	Administrative Director of the Courts Review Date: <input type="text" value="4/10/2013"/>
EXECUTIVE AND PLANNING (E&P) COMMITTEE REVIEW	
E&P REVIEW	Executive and Planning Review Date: <input type="text" value="4/17/2013"/>