


## ACTIVITY REPORTING AND PROPOSAL FORM

### JUDICIAL COUNCIL DIRECTIVES AOC RESTRUCTURING

<b>DATE</b>	6/4/2013
<b>PREPARED BY</b>	Mark Dusman
<b>OFFICE NAME</b>	<u>Information &amp; Technology Services Office</u>
<b>JUDICIAL COUNCIL DIRECTIVE NUMBER</b>	101
<b>JUDICIAL COUNCIL DIRECTIVE</b>	E&P recommends that the Judicial Council support SEC Recommendation 7 -44 and direct the council's Technology Committee to reexamine technology policies in the judicial branch to formulate any new branch-wide technology policies or standards, based on the input, needs, and experiences of the courts and court users, and including cost-benefit analysis.
<b>SEC RECOMMENDATION</b>	A reexamination of technology policies in the judicial branch must occur now that CCMS does not represent the technology vision for all courts. Formulation of any new branch-wide technology policies or standards must be based on the input, needs, and experiences of the courts, and including cost-benefit analysis.
<b>RESPONSE (check applicable boxes)</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/> This directive has been completed and implemented: <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%; margin-top: 5px;"></div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin-top: 10px; width: fit-content;">  File Attachment         </div>	
<input type="checkbox"/> This directive is forwarded to the Judicial Council with options for consideration: <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%; margin-top: 5px;"></div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin-top: 10px; width: fit-content;">  File Attachment         </div>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: <p>The Technology Committee continues work to develop a unified, long-term plan to achieve funding stability for court technology. The Technology Planning Task Force has been tasked with this plan. The charge of the task force is to 1) define judicial branch technology governance, 2) develop a strategic plan for technology at the Trial Court, Appellate Court, and Supreme Court level, and 3) develop recommendations for funding judicial branch technology. Membership of the task force includes Judicial Officers, Court Executive Officers, Court Information Technology Officers, and other stakeholders representing the trial and appellate courts, the State Bar, and the public.</p> <p>To accomplish all of this in the one year time frame, three individual tracks were launched. These include Governance, led by Jake Chatters, Court Executive Officer, Superior Court of California,</p>	

County of Placer; Strategic Plan, led by Brian Cotta, Chief Information Officer, Superior Court of California, County of Fresno; and Funding, led by Judge Marsha Slough, Presiding Judge, Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino. The task force meets monthly. The three individual tracks (Governance, Strategic Plan, and Funding) meet regularly. The task force has approved a charter and vision statement. The projected implementation date is June 30, 2014.








The task force charge and roster is available on the public website and can be found at <http://www.courts.ca.gov/3046.htm>.

 File Attachment

**TIMELINE AND RESOURCES FOR IMPLEMENTATION**

<b>IMPLEMENTATION DATE OR PROJECTED IMPLEMENTATION DATE</b>	
<b>RESOURCES REQUIRED FOR IMPLEMENTATION</b>	

**ADDITIONAL IMPLEMENTATION INFORMATION (complete only applicable sections)**

<input type="checkbox"/> <b>PROCEDURES/ POLICIES UPDATED OR DEVELOPED</b>	<div data-bbox="500 940 1388 997" style="border: 1px solid black; height: 27px;"></div> <div data-bbox="516 1018 820 1066" style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;"> File Attachment</div>
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>TRAINING UPDATED OR DEVELOPED</b>	<div data-bbox="500 1081 1388 1138" style="border: 1px solid black; height: 27px;"></div> <div data-bbox="516 1159 820 1207" style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;"> File Attachment</div>
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>SAVINGS</b>	<div data-bbox="500 1222 1388 1278" style="border: 1px solid black; height: 27px;"></div> <div data-bbox="516 1299 820 1348" style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;"> File Attachment</div>
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>COST</b>	<div data-bbox="500 1362 1388 1419" style="border: 1px solid black; height: 27px;"></div> <div data-bbox="516 1440 820 1488" style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;"> File Attachment</div>
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>EFFICIENCIES</b>	<div data-bbox="500 1503 1388 1560" style="border: 1px solid black; height: 27px;"></div> <div data-bbox="516 1581 820 1629" style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;"> File Attachment</div>
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>SERVICE LEVEL IMPACT</b>	<div data-bbox="500 1644 1388 1701" style="border: 1px solid black; height: 27px;"></div> <div data-bbox="516 1722 820 1770" style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;"> File Attachment</div>
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>OTHER</b>	<div data-bbox="500 1785 1388 1841" style="border: 1px solid black; height: 27px;"></div> <div data-bbox="516 1862 820 1911" style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;"> File Attachment</div>

**ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR OF THE COURTS (ADOC) REVIEW AND APPROVAL**

**ADOC REVIEW**

Administrative Director of the Courts Review Date: 6/13/2013

**EXECUTIVE AND PLANNING (E&P) COMMITTEE REVIEW**

**E&P REVIEW**

Executive and Planning Review Date: 6/17/2013