

## ACTIVITY REPORTING AND PROPOSAL FORM

### JUDICIAL COUNCIL DIRECTIVES AOC RESTRUCTURING

<b>DATE</b>	6/4/2013
<b>PREPARED BY</b>	Mark Dusman
<b>OFFICE NAME</b>	<u>Information &amp; Technology Services Office</u>
<b>JUDICIAL COUNCIL DIRECTIVE NUMBER</b>	105
<b>JUDICIAL COUNCIL DIRECTIVE</b>	As of April 2013, JC Directive 105 will now state: "E&P recommends that the Judicial Council support SEC Recommendation 7-46 and direct the Administrative Director of the Courts, as part of AOC long-term planning, to conduct a review and audit of all technology currently used at the AOC and to return to the Judicial Council with a progress report on the findings, including efficiencies and potential cost savings."
<b>SEC RECOMMENDATION</b>	Different divisions in AOC operate from different technology platforms, including SAP used for the Phoenix system, Oracle, and CCMS. As part of a long range plan for the use of technology in AOC operations, the AOC should conduct a review and audit of all technology currently used in the AOC. Efficiencies and cost savings could result from the use of a single platform.
<b>RESPONSE (check applicable boxes)</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/> This directive has been completed and implemented: <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%; margin-top: 5px;"></div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; margin-top: 5px;">  File Attachment         </div>	
<input type="checkbox"/> This directive is forwarded to the Judicial Council with options for consideration: <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%; margin-top: 5px;"></div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; margin-top: 5px;">  File Attachment         </div>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: <p>The request for modification of directive #105 wording was accepted at the April 26, 2013 Judicial Council Meeting. The following language will appear on all future reports:</p> <p>"E&amp;P recommends that the Judicial Council support SEC Recommendation 7-46 and direct the Administrative Director of the Courts, as part of AOC long-term planning, to conduct a review and audit of all technology currently used at the AOC and to return to the Judicial Council with a progress report on the findings, including efficiencies and potential cost savings."</p> <p>The enterprise architecture team began its semi-annual review of the approved technology standards</p>	

for AOC-hosted applications. These standards define the technologies that should be leveraged and those that should be phased out in order to maximize efficiencies and cost savings. The standards are reviewed with the application and infrastructure teams during their monthly reviews to identify strategies for ensuring compliance with the directive.

 File Attachment

**TIMELINE AND RESOURCES FOR IMPLEMENTATION**

**IMPLEMENTATION DATE OR PROJECTED IMPLEMENTATION DATE**

ADOC interim report to the council by the December 2013 council meeting.

**RESOURCES REQUIRED FOR IMPLEMENTATION**

The team for directives #105 and #133 will continue to work on gathering the background information required for the review.

**ADDITIONAL IMPLEMENTATION INFORMATION (complete only applicable sections)**

**PROCEDURES/ POLICIES UPDATED OR DEVELOPED**

 File Attachment

**TRAINING UPDATED OR DEVELOPED**

 File Attachment

**SAVINGS**

 File Attachment

**COST**

 File Attachment

**EFFICIENCIES**

 File Attachment

**SERVICE LEVEL IMPACT**

 File Attachment

**OTHER**

 File Attachment

**ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR OF THE COURTS (ADOC) REVIEW AND APPROVAL**

Administrative Director of the Courts Review Date: 6/13/2013

<b>ADOC REVIEW</b>	
<b>EXECUTIVE AND PLANNING (E&amp;P) COMMITTEE REVIEW</b>	
<b>E&amp;P REVIEW</b>	Executive and Planning Review Date: <input type="text" value="6/17/2013"/>