ACTIVITY REPORTING AND PROPOSAL FORM

JUDICIAL COUNCIL DIRECTIVES AOC RESTRUCTURING

DATE	4/2/2013
PREPARED BY	Bob Fleshman
OFFICE NAME	Fiscal Services Office
JUDICIAL COUNCIL DIRECTIVE NUMBER	40
JUDICIAL COUNCIL DIRECTIVE	E&P recommends that the Judicial Council direct the Administrative Director of the Courts to require that requests for additional resources be presented to the Judicial Council at it's August meeting, identify the increased resources requested, and be accompanied by clear statements of the need and use of the resources and the impact on the AOC, as well as the impact on the judicial branch, if any. A cost-benefit analysis should be part of any request and there should be a system to prioritize requests.
SEC RECOMMENDATION	Requests for additional resources are presented to the Judicial Council at its August meeting. These requests identify increased resources requested and should be accompanied by clear statements of the need and use of the resources and the impact on the AOC, as well as the impact on the judicial branch, if any. A cost-benefit analysis should be part of any request, and there should be a system to prioritize requests.
RESPONSE (check applicable boxes)	
	RESPONSE (check applicable boxes)
☐ This directive has	RESPONSE (check applicable boxes) s been completed and implemented:
☐ This directive has	
This directive has	
File Attachment	
File Attachment	s been completed and implemented:
File Attachment	s been completed and implemented:
File Attachment This directive is f	s been completed and implemented:
File Attachment This directive is f File Attachment Other: Directives 7-13, 21, 40,	s been completed and implemented: orwarded to the Judicial Council with options for consideration: 91, and 145 have been combined as part of a broader review and policy e development of a cost-benefit analysis proposal for the AOC, which will be
File Attachment This directive is f File Attachment Other: Directives 7-13, 21, 40, discussion relating to the	s been completed and implemented: orwarded to the Judicial Council with options for consideration: 91, and 145 have been combined as part of a broader review and policy e development of a cost-benefit analysis proposal for the AOC, which will be

TIMELINE AND RESOURCES FOR IMPLEMENTATION

IMPLEMENTATION DATE OR PROJECTED IMPLEMENTATION DATE	TBD	
RESOURCES REQUIRED FOR IMPLEMENTATION	TBD	
ADDITIONAL IMPLEMENTATION INFORMATION (complete only applicable sections)		
PROCEDURES/ POLICIES UPDATED OR DEVELOPED	File Attachment	
☐ TRAINING UPDATED OR DEVELOPED	File Attachment	
☐ SAVINGS	File Attachment	
⊏соѕт	File Attachment	
☐ EFFICIENCIES	File Attachment	
SERVICE LEVEL IMPACT	File Attachment	
□ OTHER	File Attachment	
ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR OF THE COURTS (ADOC) REVIEW AND APPROVAL		
ADOC REVIEW	Administrative Director of the Courts Review Date: 4/10/2013	
EXECUTIVE AND PLANNING (E&P) COMMITTEE REVIEW		
E&P REVIEW	Executive and Planning Review Date: 4/17/2013	