## **ACTIVITY REPORTING AND PROPOSAL FORM**

## JUDICIAL COUNCIL DIRECTIVES AOC RESTRUCTURING

DATE	5/22/2013
PREPARED BY	Patrick Farrales
OFFICE NAME	Human Resources Services Office
JUDICIAL COUNCIL DIRECTIVE NUMBER	81
JUDICIAL COUNCIL DIRECTIVE	E&P recommends that the Judicial Council direct the Administrative Director of the Courts to consider SEC Recommendation 7-20(b), taking into account the results of the classification and compensation studies to be completed.
SEC RECOMMENDATION	The Education Division's current staffing level is one of the highest in the AOC and should be reduced. To achieve the reduction, the following areas should be reviewed and considered, and appropriate actions taken:
	(b) There are in excess of a dozen attorney positions in the Education Division in units such as Design and Consulting, and Publications and Resources, in addition to the Judicial Education unit. All attorney position allocations should be reviewed with a goal of reducing their numbers and/or reallocating them to non-attorney classifications. In particular, education specialist positions are staffed by attorneys, a staffing practice that appears unnecessary.
RESPONSE (check applicable boxes)	
☐ This directive has been completed and implemented:	
☐ This directive is forwarded to the Judicial Council with options for consideration:	
File Attachment	
✓ Other:	
On May 31, 2011, a total of 104 individuals worked in the Education Division/CJER (regular, 909, and Apple One temporary employees). At the end of April 2013, a total of 70 individuals work in CJER.	
Before implementation of Directive 81 can occur, the Judicial Council must determine, under Directive 19, whether an outside entity will be used to conduct the organization-wide classification/compensation review.	

The Judicial Council deferred a decision on Directive 19 pending the results of the AOC's Request for Proposals (RFPs). The AOC will report back to the council on the cost estimates for conducting: (1) an organization-wide evaluation of the AOC's classification structure and compensation plan through the use of an outside entity; and (2) an organization-wide evaluation of the AOC's classification structure and compensation plan using a hybrid approach. The Administrative Director will provide an interim report on the outcome of the classification/compensation study Request for Proposal (RFP) at the June 2013 council meeting. Final report timeline is currently unknown, pending the Council's decision at the June 2013 session. In the interim, the AOC will conduct a review on the use of attorney positions in private and public sector organizations. Ultimately, data from both studies will guide the AOC in determining the appropriate use and number of attorneys within the organization. File Attachment TIMELINE AND RESOURCES FOR IMPLEMENTATION **IMPLEMENTATION DATE OR PROJECTED IMPLEMENTATION** DATE **RESOURCES REQUIRED FOR IMPLEMENTATION** ADDITIONAL IMPLEMENTATION INFORMATION (complete only applicable sections) ☐ PROCEDURES/ **POLICIES UPDATED** File Attachment **OR DEVELOPED** TRAINING **UPDATED OR** File Attachment **DEVELOPED** ☐ SAVINGS File Attachment □ COST File Attachment ☐ EFFICIENCIES File Attachment ☐ SERVICE LEVEL **IMPACT** 

	File Attachment
□ OTHER	File Attachment
ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR OF THE COURTS (ADOC) REVIEW AND APPROVAL	
ADOC REVIEW	Administrative Director of the Courts Review Date: 6/13/2013
EXECUTIVE AND PLANNING (E&P) COMMITTEE REVIEW	
E&P REVIEW	Executive and Planning Review Date: 6/17/2013