RFP Title: Consultation Services for Electronic Security Systems Evaluations

RFP Number: RFP-FS-2018-02-JP-Security-Systems-Evaluation Attachment G

| Q# | Questions | RFP Reference (Document & Page-Section-Item) | Answers |
|----|--|---|---|
| 1 | The RFP makes multiple references to respondents as "contractor(s)". Are submitting firms required to be licensed contractors? | RFQ cover page and multiple sections throughout. | No. The word "contractor" refers to a vendor who goes into contract with the Judicial Council |
| 2 | Are responding firms allowed to have subconsultants as part of their proposed team? | N/A | Yes, please identify them by name in your submittal. |
| 3 | Are subconsultants required to complete Attachments D, E, F, G, H, J (if applicable), K, L and M? | Page 7, Section 8; Attachments list. | Yes |
| 4 | Are reviewed financial statements required or are we allowed to include our internal financial statements instead? | Page 10, Section 12, item I; Proof of financial solvency or stability (e.g., balance sheets and income statements). | Yes, financial statements are required and yes include internal financial statements too. |
| 5 | Are bidders required to hold any security certifications (e.g. PSP, CPP, PE) to be qualified to propose on this project? | General Question | Security Certifications are not specifically required to submit a proposal. |

RFP Title: Consultation Services for Electronic Security Systems Evaluations

RFP Number: RFP-FS-2018-02-JP-Security-Systems-Evaluation

Attachment G

| ე # | Questions | RFP Reference (Document & Page-Section-Item) | Answers |
|-----|---|---|--|
| 6 | Are security equipment manufacturers, integrators, or contractors allowed to propose on this scope of work? | General Question | Yes. However, successful proposers will not be allowed to participate in future upgrade or replacement projects, if any, resulting from these evaluations. |
| 7 | Please confirm how many years of financials are requested from responders. | Page 10, Section 12, Item I | 3 years |
| 8 | Please confirm if the scope of work includes evaluating each site using the JCC's current security standard for device locations to determine deficiencies. | Page 3, Section 3 | Device locations and deficiencies in coverage are not part of this scope. This project is to evaluate the overall health of the existing systems, and provide solution options for upgrades, replacement or refreshing those systems, including cost rough orders of magnitude. (ROM) The scope does not include system expansion options. |

RFP Title: Consultation Services for Electronic Security Systems Evaluations

RFP Number: RFP-FS-2018-02-JP-Security-Systems-Evaluation

Attachment G

| Q# | Questions | RFP Reference (Document & Page-Section-Item) | Answers |
|----|---|---|---|
| 9 | The requirements under Cost Proposal only state that cost proposals include job titles and hourly rates. Please confirm if responders are also required to provide a cost proposal within our response to survey all sites as a lump sum, time and material (NTE), outlined per site (based on priority), and separated line item for reimbursables. | Page 11-12, Section 13 | Per site cost proposals are not required. The costs will be determined based on the hourly rates, and paid for actual hours worked to complete each survey and evaluation report. The size of the facilities varies greatly, and will be discussed during scheduling to assist with time allocation. Reimbursables (approved travel expenses) will be reimbursed according to Judicial Council travel reimbursement guidelines, and do not need to be included in cost proposals. |
| 10 | Please clarify the rate of pay? (I.E. Standard Hourly Rate, Prevailing Wage or Davis-Bacon) | Page #10 – Section 13 | Standard Hourly Rate |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | | | |