



INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY Advisory committee

JOINT APPELLATE TECHNOLOGY SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE

APPELLATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND THE

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY ADVISORY COMMITTEE

MINUTES OF OPEN MEETING

January 28, 2019 4:00 PM Teleconference

	Hon. Louis Mauro, chair, Mr. Kevin Green, Mr. Jorge Navarrete, Hon. Alan Perkins, Ms. Beth Robbins, Mr. Tim Schooley, Hon. Peter Siggins, Mr. Don Willenburg
Advisory Body Members Absent:	N/A
Others Present:	Ms. Christy Simons, Ms. Kristi Morioka, Mr. Richard Blalock

OPEN MEETING

Call to Order and Roll Call

The chair called the meeting to order at 4:00 pm, and took roll call.

Approval of Minutes

The advisory body reviewed and approved the minutes of the 9/6/17, 2/5/18, 7/2/18, and 8/30/18, Joint Appellate Technology Subcommittee meetings.

DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEMS (ITEMS 1-2)

Item 1

Court of Appeal Service Copy of a Petition for Review (Action)

The subcommittee considered whether to recommend circulation of amendments to the rule regarding petitions for review in the Supreme Court to remove the requirement of a separate service copy of a petition for review. Mr. Navarrete reported that the Supreme Court's e-filing group will test to be sure all DCAs are receiving their copies and any contract with a new electronic filing service provider (EFSP) would include a provision requiring that a copy of a petition for review automatically be served on the Court of Appeal. Based on this input, the subcommittee modified the invitation to comment to remove a question regarding whether the proposal should address the possibility of the Supreme Court contracting with a different EFSP in the future.

Action: The subcommittee voted to recommend to the committees that the proposal, as modified and if testing confirms that all DCAs are receiving their copies, be circulated for public comment.

Item 2

Uniform Formatting Rules for Electronic Documents (Action)

The subcommittee considered whether to recommend circulation of amended rules governing the formatting of electronic documents filed in or submitted to the appellate courts. Justice Mauro presented the proposal, including background on the project and the courts' local rules from which best practices were identified. The subcommittee reviewed rules 8.40, 8.44, 8.71, 8.72, and 8.252, and began review of rule 8.74. The subcommittee agreed with a suggestion to move the provision stating that these rules prevail over inconsistent rules into its own subdivision. The subcommittee discussed the proposed bookmarking requirements, including whether the requirements should be mandatory or permissive and whether "inherit zoom" should be rephrased or explained.

Action: The subcommittee approved the text of several amended rules as proposed or with minor modifications. The subcommittee will continue its consideration of the proposal at the meeting on February 4, 2019.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 5:00 PM and continued to February 4, 2019.

Approved by the advisory body on June 5, 2020.