



**SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA
ORAL ARGUMENT CALENDAR
LOS ANGELES SESSION
APRIL 3 and 4, 2019
FIRST AMENDED**

The following cases are placed upon the calendar of the Supreme Court for hearing at its courtroom in the Ronald Reagan State Office Building, 300 South Spring Street, Third Floor, North Tower, Los Angeles, California on April 3 and 4, 2019.

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 3, 2019—11:00 A.M.

- (1) People v. Canizales (Michael Rafael) et al., S221958

1:30 P.M.

- (2) City and County of San Francisco v. Regents of the University of California et al., S242835
(*Groban, J., not participating; Baker, J., assigned justice pro tempore*)
- (3) Stoetzl (Kurt) et al. v. State of California, Department of Human Resources, et al., S244751
- (4) People v. Mitchell (Louis, Jr.), [Automatic Appeal], S147335

THURSDAY, APRIL 4, 2019—9:00 A.M.

- (5) People v. Molano (Carl Edward), [Automatic Appeal], S161399
- (6) People v. Mendez (Julian Alejandro), [Automatic Appeal], S129501

CANTIL-SAKAUYE
Chief Justice

If exhibits are to be transmitted to this court, counsel must apply to the court for permission. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.224(c).)

**SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA
ORAL ARGUMENT CALENDAR
LOS ANGELES SESSION
APRIL 3 and 4, 2019**

The following case summaries are issued to inform the public about cases that the California Supreme Court has scheduled for oral argument and of their general subject matter. In most instances, the descriptions set out below are reproduced from the original news release issued when review in each of these matters was granted and are provided for the convenience of the public. The descriptions do not necessarily reflect the view of the court or define the specific issues that will be addressed by the court.

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 3, 2019—11:00 A.M.

(1) *People v. Canizales (Michael Rafael) et al., S221958*

#14-134 *People v. Canizales (Michael Rafael) et al., S221958.* (E054056; 229 Cal.App.4th 820; Superior Court of San Bernardino County; FVA1001265.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal affirmed in part and reversed in part judgments of conviction of criminal offenses. This case presents the following issue: Was the jury properly instructed on the “kill zone” theory of attempted murder?

1:30 P.M.

(2) *City and County of San Francisco v. Regents of the University of California et al., S242835 (Groban, J., not participating; Baker, J., assigned justice pro tempore)*

#17-269 *City and County of San Francisco v. Regents of the University of California et al., S242835.* (A144500; 11 Cal.App.5th 1107; Superior Court of San Francisco County; CPF14513434.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal affirmed the judgment in an action for writ of administrative mandate. This case presents the following issue: Can a charter city require state universities that operate paid parking lots within the city to comply with an ordinance that requires parking lot operators to collect from their customers and remit to the city a tax on the fee charged for a parking space?

(3) *Stoetzl (Kurt) et al. v. State of California, Department of Human Resources, et al., S244751*

#17-332 Stoetzl (Kurt) et al. v. State of California, Department of Human Resources, et al., S244751. (A142832; 14 Cal.App.5th 1256; Superior Court of San Francisco County; CJC11004661.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal affirmed in part and reversed in part the judgment in a civil action. This case includes the following issue: Does the definition of “hours worked” found in the Industrial Wage Commission’s Wage Order 4, as opposed to the definition of that term found in the federal Labor Standards Act, constitute the controlling legal standard for determining the compensability of time that correctional employees spend after signing in for duty and before signing out but before they arrive at and after they leave their actual work posts within a correctional facility?

(4) *People v. Mitchell (Louis, Jr.), [Automatic Appeal], S147335*

This matter is an automatic appeal from a judgment of death.

THURSDAY, APRIL 4, 2019—9:00 A.M.

(5) *People v. Molano (Carl Edward), [Automatic Appeal], S161399*

This matter is an automatic appeal from a judgment of death.

(6) *People v. Mendez (Julian Alejandro), [Automatic Appeal], S129501*

This matter is an automatic appeal from a judgment of death.