

SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA ORAL ARGUMENT CALENDAR SAN FRANCISCO SESSION APRIL 5, 2022

Due to the COVID-19 coronavirus pandemic and related public health directives from state and local authorities, the procedures specified by Administrative Orders Nos. 2020-03-13 (Mar. 16, 2020), 2020-03-27 (March 27, 2020), and 2020-08-19 (August 19, 2020) apply. Counsel will appear remotely and courtroom seating for the press will be strictly limited to achieve appropriate distancing. The public will continue to have access to argument via live-streaming on the judicial branch website: https://www.courts.ca.gov/.

The following cases are placed upon the calendar of the Supreme Court for hearing at its courtroom in the Ronald M. George State Office Complex, Earl Warren Building, 350 McAllister Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California, on April 5, 2022.

TUESDAY, APRIL 5, 2022 — 9:00 A.M.

Grande (Lynn) v. Eisenhower Medical Center (Flexcare, LLC, Intervener), S261247
People v. Poore (Christopher Eric), [Automatic Appeal], S104665
People v. Pineda (Santiago), [Automatic Appeal], S150509

CANTIL-SAKAUYE	
Chief Justice	

If exhibits are to be transmitted to this court, counsel must apply to the court for permission. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.224(c).)

SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA ORAL ARGUMENT CALENDAR SAN FRANCISCO SESSION APRIL 5, 2022

The following case summaries are issued to inform the public about cases that the California Supreme Court has scheduled for oral argument and of their general subject matter. In most instances, the descriptions set out below are reproduced from the original news release issued when review in each of these matters was granted and are provided for the convenience of the public. The descriptions do not necessarily reflect the view of the court or define the specific issues that will be addressed by the court.

TUESDAY, APRIL 5, 2022—9:00 A.M.

(1) Grande (Lynn) v. Eisenhower Medical Center (Flexcare, LLC, Intervener), S261247

#20-121 Grande v. Eisenhower Medical Center, S261247. (E068730, E068751; 44 Cal.App.5th 1147; Riverside County Superior Court; RIC1514281.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal affirmed the judgment in a civil action. The court limited review to the following issue: May a class of workers bring a wage and hour class action against a staffing agency, settle that lawsuit with a stipulated judgment that releases all of the staffing agency's agents, and then bring a second class action premised on the same alleged wage and hour violations against the staffing agency's client?

- (2) *People v. Poore (Christopher Eric), [Automatic Appeal], S104665* This matter is an automatic appeal from a judgment of death.
- (3) *People v. Pineda (Santiago), [Automatic Appeal], S150509* This matter is an automatic appeal from a judgment of death.