

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

COURT EXECUTIVES ADVISORY COMMITTEE

COURT EXECUTIVES ADVISORY COMMITTEE

JUDICIAL BRANCH STATISTICAL INFORMATION SYSTEM SUBCOMMITTEE

MATERIALS FOR JUNE 6, 2018

Meeting Contents

Agenda 1

Discussion and Possible Actions Items

Item 1 – Review the Judicial Branch Statistical Information System (JBSIS) Recommendations from Advisory Committee on Audits and Financial Accountability for the Judicial Branch (No Action Required)

Item 2 – Court Data Quality Procedures and Amending JBSIS Reports (No Action Required)

Item 3 – Deployment and Timeframe for Court Testing and Reporting Revised JBSIS Standards (No Action Required)

Item 4 – Update on Coordination with Case Management System (CMS) Vendors and Courts (No Action Required)

Item 5 – Draft Proposal for a Dispute Resolution Process (Action Required) 4





COURT EXECUTIVES ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Request for ADA accommodations should be made at least three business days before the meeting and directed to: JCCAccessCoordinator@jud.ca.gov

COURT EXECUTIVES ADVISORY COMMITTEE

JUDICIAL BRANCH STATISTICAL INFORMATION SYSTEM SUBCOMMITTEE

NOTICE AND AGENDA OF OPEN MEETING

Open to the Public (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 10.75(c)(1) and (e)(1)) THIS MEETING IS BEING CONDUCTED BY ELECTRONIC MEANS

THIS MEETING IS BEING RECORDED

Date:	June 6, 2018
Time:	11:00 a.m. – Noon
Public Call-in Number:	1-877-820-7831 Pass Code: 9857922 (Listen Only)

Meeting materials will be posted on the advisory body web page on the California Courts website at least three business days before the meeting.

Members of the public seeking to make an audio recording of the meeting must submit a written request at least two business days before the meeting. Requests can be e-mailed to <u>ceac@jud.ca.gov</u>.

Agenda items are numbered for identification purposes only and will not necessarily be considered in the indicated order.

I. OPEN MEETING (CAL. RULES OF COURT, RULE 10.75(C)(1))

Call to Order and Roll Call

II. PUBLIC COMMENT (CAL. RULES OF COURT, RULE 10.75(K)(1))

This meeting will be conducted by electronic means with a listen only conference line available for the public. As such, the public may submit comments for this meeting only in writing. In accordance with California Rules of Court, rule 10.75(k)(1), written comments pertaining to any agenda item of a regularly noticed open meeting can be submitted up to one complete business day before the meeting. For this specific meeting, comments should be e-mailed to ceac@jud.ca.gov or mailed or delivered to Judicial Council of California, 455 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102, attention: Mr. Chris Belloli. Only written comments received by 11:00 a.m. on June 5, 2018, will be provided to advisory body members prior to the start of the meeting.

III. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ITEMS (ITEMS 1-5)

Item 1

Review the Judicial Branch Statistical Information System (JBSIS) Recommendations from Advisory Committee on Audits and Financial Accountability for the Judicial Branch (No Action Required)

Review recommendations from the Advisory Committee on Audits and Financial Accountability for the Judicial Branch based on preliminary observations from JBSIS filing audit activities. These recommendations involve developing policies to further enhance JBSIS data quality practices and reporting standards.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Mr. Jake Chatters, Chair, JBSIS Subcommittee Mr. Chris Belloli, Supervising Analyst, Judicial Council, Budget Services, Office of Court Research

Item 2

Court Data Quality Procedures and Amending JBSIS Reports (No Action Required)

Discuss the development of internal data quality procedures for courts as part of their regular JBSIS reporting responsibilities. This would include developing guidelines and criteria for determining when a court should submit amended JBSIS reports to correct data reporting issues.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Mr. Jake Chatters, Chair, JBSIS Subcommittee Mr. Bryan Borys, Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles Ms. Liane Herbst, Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles

Item 3

Deployment and Timeframe for Court Testing and Reporting Revised JBSIS Standards (No Action Required)

Discuss a proposed deployment timeline of January 2019 for courts to test and begin reporting JBSIS data based on revised standards effective July 1, 2018.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Mr. Chris Belloli, Supervising Analyst, Judicial Council, Budget Services, Office of Court Research

Item 4

Update on Coordination with Case Management System (CMS) Vendors and Courts (No Action Required)

Provide an update on ongoing coordination activities with courts and case management system (CMS) vendors for the JBSIS revisions.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Mr. Chris Belloli, Supervising Analyst, Judicial Council, Budget Services, Office of Court Research

Item 5

Draft Proposal for a Dispute Resolution Process (Action Required)

The JBSIS Subcommittee has identified the need to establish a dispute resolution process for courts that disagree with JBSIS reporting guidance provided by the Judicial Council, Budget Services, Office of Court Research. The subcommittee will review a draft proposal for a new dispute resolution process and identify the next steps for implementation.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Mr. Jake Chatters, Chair, JBSIS Subcommittee

Mr. Chris Belloli, Supervising Analyst, Judicial Council, Budget Services, Office of Court Research

IV. ADJOURNMENT

Adjourn

JBSIS Dispute Resolution Process

(Agenda Item 5)

I. Definitions

- **a. JBSIS:** the Judicial Branch Statistical Information System. This is the data repository for statistical data submitted to the Judicial Council and is the source of trial court operational data for the judicial branch, the Legislature, and other state agencies consistent with article VI, section 6 of the California Constitution and Government Code section 68505.
- **b. JBSIS Manual:** the JBSIS manual provides the data definitions and reporting specifications for JBSIS. The current version of the manual is 2.3. There is a separate reporting manual for courts that report via the JBSIS web portal, but all of the portal data definitions are subsumed within the JBSIS manual.
- **c. OCR:** Office of Court Research. A group within the Budget Services Division of the Judicial Council that is responsible for ensuring the timely and accurate submissions of JBSIS data by the trial courts.
- **d.** The JBSIS Subcommittee of CEAC: this body was formed in 2017 to provide oversight for JBSIS, and to oversee changes and updates to the JBSIS reporting definitions.

II. Introduction

California Rules of Court, rule 10.400 outlines the establishment of and reporting requirements for courts to submit statistical data to JBSIS. The data definitions and reporting guidelines are memorialized in the JBSIS manual. The manual is updated periodically, with the current version being 2.3.

JBSIS data serves many functions for the judicial branch; most importantly, it is used as the basis for workload models (the Resource Assessment Study model and the Judicial Needs Assessment) that are used as the basis for making funding and resource allocation decisions. As such, it is critical that the data in JBSIS be accurate and consistent.

III. Role of the Court Executives Advisory Committee

California Rules of Court, rule 10.48(b)(3) outlines the Court Executives Advisory Committee's role with respect to JBSIS, in that CEAC must "review and make proposals concerning the Judicial Branch Statistical Information System or other large-scope data collection efforts." CEAC has had a JBSIS Working Group for several years, serving as a sounding board for periodic enhancements and updates to JBSIS reporting. In 2017, and in recognition of the need for ongoing input on JBSIS issues and for a more formal advisory body, the working group became a subcommittee of CEAC. OCR staffs the JBSIS Subcommittee of CEAC.

IV. Role of the Office of Court Research

As the subject matter experts with regard to the JBSIS manual's reporting requirements, OCR staff are responsible for maintaining and overseeing the JBSIS reporting rules, as well as ensuring the integrity of JBSIS data reporting. OCR staff also monitor the courts' regular JBSIS report submissions and provide technical assistance as needed on JBSIS reporting issues.

As lead staff to the JBSIS Subcommittee of CEAC, OCR is delegated the authority to make determinations on how courts should report data in JBSIS. Courts that need clarification on the reporting of JBSIS data receive assistance from OCR staff in determining the appropriate reporting category. On occasion, when OCR staff is unable to provide a response, subject matter experts from the courts may be consulted by OCR to provide supplemental guidance. OCR is responsible for initiating that assistance and then distilling it in order to provide guidance to the requesting court.

The JBSIS Dispute Resolution Process (Dispute Process) is effectuated when a court disagrees with the direction provided by OCR on reporting statistical data in JBSIS. The Dispute Process is not intended to be a forum for receiving general recommendations or feedback on JBSIS reporting standards or for other business issues related to JBSIS data reporting; those issues may be brought to the JBSIS Subcommittee as part of its public comment process. However, issues identified during the Dispute Process may guide areas for future study by the JBSIS Subcommittee. Areas of future study will be added to the subcommittee's annual agenda for review.

V. Format of the dispute

The Dispute Process originates if a court wishes to challenge a determination made by OCR on a particular reporting issue or interpretation of a definition in the JBSIS Manual. A dispute for these purposes occurs only after the court has requested formal direction by OCR. If the court disagrees with OCR's formal direction on a reporting issue, the court may request a review by the JBSIS Subcommittee. A review may be requested by submitting a memorandum to the JBSIS Subcommittee Chair. The memorandum must include the court's reasoning for their position and supporting documentation or information for their position. Within 45 days, the JBSIS Subcommittee will convene a public meeting, generally via conference call, to hear the dispute. This dispute may be added to the agenda of a Subcommittee meeting along with other items if a meeting has already been scheduled within this 45 day period.

Prior to the meeting, OCR will prepare and distribute to subcommittee members and to the court bringing the dispute a memo that will form the basis for the committee's review of the issue and will include the following: 1) the court's original proposed submission, including all attachments and other documentation; 2) the determination made by OCR; and 3) any supporting details or documentation for OCR's determination. The court may elect to provide additional materials or

position statements for the subcommittee's review. Those items must be provided at least one week in advance of the meeting date in order to comply with the Open Meetings rules.

OCR will present this information at the subcommittee meeting. The court raising the dispute may participate in the meeting.

While the presentation of the dispute will take place during an open, public meeting, the deliberations of the subcommittee will be held in closed session. Also, the JBSIS Subcommittee may need to deliberate or do additional research with court subject matter experts before making a decision. In order to be as expeditious as possible, the subcommittee will render a decision within 30 days of the review meeting. The decision will be shared with the court that raised the dispute and a record of the dispute and its outcome will be posted on the JBSIS website to be shared with other courts and for future reference.

VI. Statistical reporting during the dispute process

Courts that wish to raise an issue through the dispute resolution process are asked to not submit amended data until a determination is made by the JBSIS Subcommittee. The data in JBSIS is used at various times of the year for reporting in the Court Statistics Report and for the Resource Assessment Study and Judicial Needs Assessment models, and it would be impossible to synchronize the dispute process with the various reporting deadlines. Therefore, the data that is reported in JBSIS at any given time should conform to OCR's interpretation pending a final resolution by the dispute process. If the dispute process concludes with a resolution in favor of the court's position, then the JBSIS data should be immediately amended with the court's revised submission. All courts will similarly be notified and given the opportunity to amend their data.

VII. Appeals

The decisions of the JBSIS Subcommittee are intended to be final. However, in certain circumstances, it might be necessary for a court to be able to appeal to a higher authority. Appeals of decisions made by the JBSIS Subcommittee shall be heard by CEAC. A court that wishes to appeal a decision made by the JBSIS Subcommittee must notify the chair of the JBSIS Subcommittee within 30 days of the date that the court was notified of the subcommittee's decision that it is appealing the decision. OCR, as staff to the subcommittee, will place the item on the next CEAC meeting agenda. If the next meeting is more than 45 days from the date of the appeal, a special telephone meeting may be held at the CEAC Chair's discretion.