

Court Facilities Advisory Committee
Annual Agenda¹—2019

Approved by Executive and Planning Committee: March 13, 2019

I. COMMITTEE INFORMATION

Chair:	Hon. Brad R. Hill, Administrative Presiding Justice, Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District
Lead Staff:	Mr. Mike Courtney, Director, Facilities Services Mr. Chris Magnusson, Facilities Supervisor, Facilities Services
Committee's Charge/Membership: <p>Rule 10.62 of the California Rules of Court states the charge of the Court Facilities Advisory Committee (CFAC), which is to make recommendations to the Judicial Council concerning the judicial branch capital program for the trial and appellate courts.</p> <p>Rule 10.62(b) sets forth the membership position categories of the committee. CFAC currently has 21 members. The current composition shown on the committee roster's web page is as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Appellate court justice – 2 members• Appellate court clerk/administrator – 1 member• Superior court judge – 8 members• Court executive officer – 3 members• Lawyer – 2 members• Local government official or administrator – 1 member• Public member with expertise in real estate acquisition, construction, architecture, or cost estimating, or facilities management and operations – 2 members• The chair and vice-chair of the Trial Court Facility Modification Advisory Committee, as non-voting members – 2 members	

¹ The annual agenda outlines the work a committee will focus on in the coming year and identifies areas of collaboration with other advisory bodies and the Judicial Council staff resources.

Subcommittees²:

1. Courthouse Cost Reduction Subcommittee (CCRS) – Hon. Jeffrey W. Johnson, Chair
2. Independent Outside Oversight Consultant (IOOC) Subcommittee – Hon. Patricia M. Lucas, Chair
3. Subcommittee on Courthouse Names – Hon. Keith D. Davis, Chair

² California Rules of Court, rule 10.30 (c) allows an advisory body to form subgroups, composed entirely of current members of the advisory body, to carry out the body's duties, subject to available resources, with the approval of its oversight committee.

II. COMMITTEE PROJECTS

#	New or One-Time Projects ³	
1.	<p>Project Title Reassessment of Unfunded Trial Court Capital Projects per Legislative Mandate</p>	<p>Priority 1⁴</p>
<p>Project Summary⁵: Review of the reassessment of unfunded trial court capital-outlay projects, which involves: (1) revising the Judicial Council’s <i>Prioritization Methodology for Trial Court Capital-Outlay Projects</i>; (2) assessing certain facilities occupied by the trial courts to update records on physical condition, security, access to court services, and overcrowding; (3) developing court facility plans and needs-based projects; (4) applying the revised prioritization methodology to all projects; and (5) updating the Trial Court Capital-Outlay Plan. Senate Bill 847 (Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review) revises Government Code section 70371.9 to require the Judicial Council to update its October 24, 2008, prioritization methodology as well as to reassess capital projects in its Trial Court Capital-Outlay Plan.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: This reassessment is proposed for the November 2019 Judicial Council meeting and due by December 31, 2019, to two legislative committees: Senate Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review and the Assembly Committee on Budget.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Coordination through lead staff to the committee with input from the Judicial Council’s offices of Facilities Services, Budget Services, and Legal Services. Assistance provided from consultants under contract with the Judicial Council’s office of Facilities Services.</p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: Trial courts; local county governments; justice partners; Department of Finance; Legislature; and Office of Governor.</p> <p>AC Collaboration: Executive and Planning Committee.</p>		

³ All proposed projects for the year must be included on the Annual Agenda. If a project implements policy or is a program, identify it as *implementation* or a *program* in the project description and attach the Judicial Council authorization/assignment or prior approved Annual Agenda to this Annual Agenda.

⁴ For non-rules and forms projects, select priority level 1 (must be done) or 2 (should be done). For rules and forms proposals, select one of the following priority levels: 1(a) Urgently needed to conform to the law; 1(b) Urgently needed to respond to a recent change in the law; 1(c) Adoption or amendment of rules or forms by a specified date required by statute or council decision; 1(d) Provides significant cost savings and efficiencies, generates significant revenue, or avoids a significant loss of revenue; 1(e) Urgently needed to remedy a problem that is causing significant cost or inconvenience to the courts or the public; 1(f) Otherwise urgent and necessary, such as a proposal that would mitigate exposure to immediate or severe financial or legal risk; 2(a) Useful, but not necessary, to implement statutory changes; 2(b) Helpful in otherwise advancing Judicial Council goals and objectives.

⁵ A key objective is a strategic aim, purpose, or “end of action” to be achieved for the coming year.

# Ongoing Projects and Activities ³		
1.	<p>Project Title Judicial Branch Courthouse Construction Projects</p>	Priority 1⁴
<p>Project Summary⁵: Review of Judicial Council-approved new courthouse construction and renovation projects in relation to available construction program budget. Submit recommendations for Judicial Council consideration on how projects should proceed with available project budgets.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Ongoing.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Coordination through lead staff to the committee with input from the Judicial Council’s offices of Facilities Services, Budget Services, and Legal Services.</p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: Trial courts; justice partners; Department of Finance; and State Public Works Board.</p> <p>AC Collaboration: Courthouse Cost Reduction Subcommittee.</p>		
2.	<p>Project Title Recommendations of the Independent Oversight Consultant (IOC)</p>	Priority 1⁴
<p>Project Summary⁵: Review and monitor implementation of IOC recommendations.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Ongoing.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Coordination through lead staff to the committee with input from the Judicial Council’s offices of Facilities Services, Budget Services, and Legal Services.</p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: Trial courts and justice partners.</p> <p>AC Collaboration: Independent Outside Oversight Consultant Subcommittee.</p>		

#	Ongoing Projects and Activities³	
3.	<p>Project Title Courthouse Construction Project Cost Reductions</p>	<p>Priority 1⁴</p>
<p>Project Summary⁵: Oversight of reductions to courthouse project costs. Submit recommendations as needed for Judicial Council consideration.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Ongoing.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Coordination through lead staff to the committee with input from the Judicial Council’s offices of Facilities Services, Budget Services, and Legal Services.</p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: Trial courts; justice partners; Department of Finance; and State Public Works Board</p> <p>AC Collaboration: Courthouse Cost Reduction Subcommittee.</p>		
4.	<p>Project Title Judicial Branch Capital Program Funding</p>	<p>Priority 1⁴</p>
<p>Project Summary⁵: Coordinate with the Judicial Council and its Executive and Planning Committee to provide funding for the Judicial Branch Capital Program. Submit recommendations as needed for Judicial Council consideration.</p> <p>Status/Timeline: Ongoing.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Resources: Coordination through lead staff to the committee with input from the Judicial Council’s offices of Facilities Services, Budget Services, Legal Services, and Governmental Affairs.</p> <p>Internal/External Stakeholders: Trial courts; justice partners; Department of Finance; Legislature; and Office of Governor.</p> <p>AC Collaboration: Executive and Planning Committee.</p>		

#	Ongoing Projects and Activities³	
5.	<p><i>Project Title</i> Additional Funding for Existing Courthouse Operations, Maintenance, and Facility Modifications</p>	<p><i>Priority 1⁴</i></p>
<p><i>Project Summary⁵</i>: Coordinate with the Judicial Council and its Trial Court Facility Modification Advisory Committee to seek additional funding for existing courthouse operations, maintenance, and facility modifications.</p> <p><i>Status/Timeline</i>: Ongoing.</p> <p><i>Fiscal Impact/Resources</i>: Coordination through lead staff to the committee with input from the Judicial Council's offices of Facilities Services, Budget Services, and Legal Services.</p> <p><i>Internal/External Stakeholders</i>: Trial courts; justice partners; Department of Finance; Legislature; and Office of Governor.</p> <p><i>AC Collaboration</i>: Trial Court Facility Modification Advisory Committee.</p>		

III. LIST OF 2018 PROJECT ACCOMPLISHMENTS

#	Project Highlights and Achievements
1.	Ongoing: Review of Judicial Council-approved new courthouse construction and renovation projects in relation to available construction program budget and recommend how to proceed.
2.	Ongoing: Review and monitor implementation of IOC recommendations.
3.	Ongoing: Oversight of reductions to courthouse project costs.
4.	Ongoing: Coordinate with the Judicial Council and its Executive and Planning Committee to provide funding for the Judicial Branch Capital Program.
5.	Ongoing: Coordinate with the Judicial Council and its Trial Court Facility Modification Advisory Committee to seek additional funding for existing courthouse operations, maintenance, and facility modifications.
6.	Completed: On February 14, 2018, CCRS approved the 100 Percent Design Development Report for the New Sacramento Criminal Courthouse project for the Superior Court of Sacramento County.
7.	Completed: On April 3, 2018, the CFAC approved—to move forward in its current design and with a funding augmentation of \$4.6 million—the completion of the Working Drawings phase for the Renovation and Addition to Willows Courthouse project for the Superior Court of Glenn County.
8.	Completed: On April 3, 2018, the CFAC approved the request for a land transaction with the California Department of Finance/Department of State Hospitals to acquire property on the Metropolitan State Hospital (MSH) campus in the City of Norwalk for the New Mental Health Courthouse project for the Superior Court of Los Angeles County. The CFAC also approved Judicial Council Facilities Services staff to continue the site selection process for other viable sites in the event the acquisition of the MSH site does not occur.
9.	Completed: On April 3, 2018, the CFAC approved a funding augmentation of \$5.5 million and to take all necessary steps to acquire—whether through cash payment or equity stake in the land under the existing Figueroa Courthouse—additional land from the County of Santa Barbara for the New Santa Barbara Criminal Courthouse project for the Superior Court of Santa Barbara County. The project would be constructed on a more regularly-shaped site and in a single phase, which preserves intact the land under the existing Figueroa Courthouse for future divestment with funds estimated between \$21–23.5 million returned to the Immediate and Critical Needs Account.

#	Project Highlights and Achievements
10.	Completed: On May 21, 2018, CCRS approved the 100 Percent Design Development Report for the New Modesto Courthouse project for the Superior Court of Stanislaus County.
11.	Completed: On June 27, 2018, CCRS approved the 100 Percent Design Development Report for the New Mid-County Civil Courthouse project for the Superior Court of Riverside County.
12.	Completed: On September 27, 2018, the CFAC did not take action but received informational reports on the status of the 10 SB 1407 courthouse capital projects funded through the enacted 2018 Budget Act (FY 2018–19), the implementation of the project labor agreement for the New Central San Diego Courthouse project for the Superior Court of San Diego County, and the post-occupancy evaluations of the newly completed trial courthouses in Merced and Tehama counties.
13.	Completed: On December 7, 2018, the CFAC reviewed a draft version of the <i>Revision of Prioritization Methodology for Trial Court Capital-Outlay Projects</i> and approved its issuance for public comment from the trial courts/general public, with the responses to be discussed at the next scheduled CFAC meeting. Senate Bill 847 (Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review) revises Government Code section 70371.9 to require the Judicial Council to update its October 24, 2008, prioritization methodology as well as to reassess capital projects in its Trial Court Capital-Outlay Plan. This CFAC meeting which was broadcasted live via webcast video and available at http://jcc.granicus.com/player/clip/826 .