

# JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue · San Francisco, California 94102-3688 www.courts.ca.gov

# REPORT TO THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

For business meeting on September 21, 2018

Title

Collaborative Justice: Recommended Allocations of Fiscal Year 2018–19 Substance Abuse Focus Grants

Rules, Forms, Standards, or Statutes Affected None

Recommended by

Collaborative Justice Courts Advisory Committee Hon. Richard Vlavianos, Chair **Agenda Item Type** 

Action Required

**Effective Date** 

September 21, 2018

Date of Report July 24, 2018

Contact

Carrie Zoller, 415-865-8829 carrie.zoller@jud.ca.gov

# **Executive Summary**

The Collaborative Justice Courts Advisory Committee recommends that the Judicial Council fund court programs using grants from the Collaborative Justice Courts Substance Abuse Focus Grant Program through the California Collaborative and Drug Court Projects in the Budget Act of 2018 (item 0250-101-0001), and the Dependency Drug Court Augmentation to the grant program through the federal Court Improvement Program funds for fiscal year (FY) 2018–19 (item 0250-101-0890). The committee recommends funding programs in 49 courts for FY 2018–19 with these annual grants to expand or enhance promising collaborative justice programs around the state.

### Recommendation

The Collaborative Justice Courts Advisory Committee recommends that the Judicial Council, effective September 21, 2018, approve the distribution of grants from the Collaborative Justice Courts Substance Abuse Focus Grant Program and the Dependency Drug Court Augmentation for FY 2018–19.

The proposed distribution is listed in the last column of Attachment A, *Allocation Summary:* Fiscal Years (FY) 2017–18 and 2018–19.

#### **Previous Council Action**

The Judicial Council has approved the annual funding allocation for the Substance Abuse Focus Grant Program since FY 1998–99. In November 2005, at the recommendation of the Collaborative Justice Courts Advisory Committee, the council approved the Caseload-Based Funding-Level Formula for distributing the funds, as shown on the grant calculation worksheet in Attachment B. In July 2014, following the formula, grant funds from the Court Improvement Program of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families, were distributed as an augmentation to the grants of the Substance Abuse Focus Grant Program.

## Analysis/Rationale

#### **Substance Abuse Focus Grant**

This year's funding authorization for the annual grants comes from a legislative mandate under California Collaborative and Drug Court Projects in the Budget Act of 2018 (Stats. 2018, ch. 23), as referenced in item 0250-101-0001.

This recommendation distributes the funding for FY 2018–19 in allocation amounts calculated using the formula previously approved by the Judicial Council and used in previous years (see Attachment B). The 2018–19 State Budget allocates \$1.16 million for these projects—the same level of funding that was allocated for the Collaborative Justice Courts Substance Abuse Focus Grant Program in FY 2017–18.

As in previous years, grants are awarded to all proposed projects that meet the following criteria:

- Consistency with both the California Standards of Judicial Administration and the *Guiding Principles of Collaborative Justice Courts* (see Attachment C);
- Involvement of a local steering committee; and
- Fulfillment of statistical and financial reporting requirements for previous grant funding periods (if applicable).

As in previous years, courts were permitted to apply for grants for more than one project and at more than one site. The funding formula worksheet—which weighs total adjusted funding allocation, type of program, and number of individuals served by each program—is provided in Attachment B of this report.

The formula starts with the presumption that all projects that meet the grant criteria start with a base funding amount of \$12,000 per county. This base figure may be adjusted upward or downward to reflect the actual amount of total funding approved by the Legislature for the year and the number of court projects eligible for grants from those funds. Each project's adjusted

base figure may then be augmented depending on the program's focus and the number of participants who may potentially benefit from the program. Programs that focus on treatment receive higher allocations than those that do not, in recognition of the intensive case management required in treatment court programs. Courts can also request grants for program planning, which may include an augmentation for the estimated number of participants if the project will become operational before the end of the fiscal year. These adjustments combine to arrive at the algorithm applied against the year's total allocation to determine each program's grant award.

For the 2017–18 fiscal year, the \$1.16 million allocation supported 260 court projects in 49 counties. The types of projects funded were adult domestic violence courts (5), adult drug courts (50), adult mental health/dual-diagnosis courts (28), community courts (3), dependency drug courts (29), DUI courts (14), elder courts (1), girls' courts (2), homeless courts (4), juvenile delinquency drug courts (20), juvenile mental health/dual-diagnosis courts (4), peer/youth courts (56), reentry courts (6), truancy courts (6), veterans courts (14), and other collaborative justice court programs (18).

# **Dependency Drug Court Augmentation Grant**

Federal Court Improvement Program funds of up to \$75,000 have been made available to support dependency drug courts. In past years, the Judicial Council's Collaborative Justice Courts Advisory Committee has made these grants available through a formulaic distribution available to all eligible dependency drug courts requesting funding through the Substance Abuse Focus Grant Program for the purpose of implementing, maintaining, enhancing, or expanding their dependency drug courts. Because these augmentation funds are federal funds, this grant augmentation must be administered in compliance with conditions stated in part B of title IV of the Social Security Act (specifically, section 438(b) of the act: the approved state application and plan, including all assurances, approved amendments, and revisions) and with applicable federal regulations, program policies, and instructions. These funds augment the Substance Abuse Focus Grant awards following the Judicial Council–approved Caseload-Based Funding-Level Formula for distributing the funds, as shown on the funding calculation table in Attachment B.

# **Application process**

The presiding judges and court executive officers of the superior courts were informed of this year's grant opportunity on July 16, 2018. Courts submitted project action plans that staff of the Judicial Council's Center for Families, Children & the Courts reviewed for conformance with the requirements of addressing substance abuse issues and adhering to the Collaborative Justice Courts principles (see Attachment C, *Guiding Principles of Collaborative Justice Courts*).

#### **Policy implications**

The recommended action is consistent with the currently existing Judicial Council policy regarding allocating these funds to local courts.

#### Comments

The recommended action was discussed at an open meeting of the Collaborative Justice Courts Advisory committee. No external comments were received. Committee members were unanimous in their agreement on the recommended action.

#### Alternatives considered

All program proposals that meet grant guidelines, including those for planning grants, are considered eligible for funding. The committee considered introducing a competitive process for determining which programs deserve awards. The idea was rejected because distributing funds to all qualified applicants by straight formula has proven to be an effective and efficient process and feedback from local courts has indicated their preference for a noncompetitive grant process.

## **Fiscal and Operational Impacts**

In FY 2010–11, grants from the Substance Abuse Focus Grant Program changed from reimbursable to deliverable. Under the reimbursement model, courts were required to submit monthly invoices to receive reimbursement for their program costs. Under the deliverable model, courts now submit program information that documents the program model, use and participation levels, and outcomes via two progress reports accompanied by two invoices. This change has streamlined the process for distributing funding to the courts, resulting in significant time savings for the courts and for the Judicial Council's grant-processing staff. In 2017 the grant application cover sheet was enhanced to automatically calculate the maximum eligible grant amount. This made the application easier to complete for local courts and improved their grant application accuracy. In turn, this reduced the amount of Judicial Council staff time needed to review grant request calculations.

#### **Attachments**

- 1. Attachment A: Allocation Summary: Fiscal Years (FY) 2017–18 and 2018–19
- 2. Attachment B: Caseload-Based Funding-Level Formula: 2018–19 Judicial Council Collaborative Justice Courts Substance Abuse Focus Grant Program
- 3. Attachment C: Guiding Principles of Collaborative Justice Courts

# Allocation Summary: Fiscal Years (FY) 2017–18 and FY 2018–19

Collaborative Justice Courts Project—Substance Abuse Focus Grant (SAFG) and Dependency Drug Court (DDC) Augmentation Awards (by Court)

|    | County             | FY 17–18<br>Allocation<br>Based on<br>Formula | FY 17-18<br>Final SAFG<br>Funding<br>Allocation <sup>1</sup> | FY 17-18<br>DDC<br>Augmen-<br>tation<br>Allocation <sup>2</sup> | FY 17-18<br>Total<br>Allocation<br>(SAFG +<br>DDC) | FY 18–19<br>Allocation<br>Based on<br>Formula | FY 18–19<br>Final SAFG<br>Funding<br>Allocation | FY 18–19 DDC<br>Augmentation<br>Allocation | FY 18-19<br>Total<br>Allocation<br>(SAFG +<br>DDC) |
|----|--------------------|---|--|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| 1  | Alameda            | \$42,000                                      | \$33,639   | \$2,516   | \$36,155   | \$42,000                                      | \$33,832  | \$4,713                                    | \$38,545   |
| 2  | Amador             | 31,000  | 25,706   |   | 25,706   | 31,000  | 25,828  |  | 25,828   |
| 3  | Butte              | 20,000  | 17,770   |   | 17,770   | 20,000  | 17,822  |  | 17,822   |
| 4  | Contra<br>Costa    | 22,000  | 19,213   |   | 19,213   | 22,000  | 19,277  |  | 19,277   |
| 5  | Del Norte          | 18,000  | 16,328   |   | 16,328   | 18,000  | 16,366  |  | 16,366   |
| 6  | El Dorado          | 24,000  | 20,656   |   | 20,656   | 24,000  | 20,733  |  | 20,733   |
| 7  | Fresno             | 45,000  | 35,803   | 1,342   | 37,145   | 45,000  | 36,015  | 1,952                                      | 37,967   |
| 8  | Glenn              | 35,000  | 28,590   | 537   | 29,127   | 41,000  | 33,103  |  | 33,103   |
| 9  | Humboldt           | 24,000  | 20,656   |   | 20,656   | 23,000  | 20,005  | 404  | 20,409   |
| 10 | Inyo               | 16,000  | 14,885   |   | 14,885   | 16,000  | 14,911  |  | 14,911   |
| 11 | Kern               | 16,000  | 14,885   |   | 14,885   | 16,000  | 14,911  |  | 14,911   |
| 12 | Kings              | 24,000  | 20,656   |   | 20,656   | 20,000  | 17,822  |  | 17,822   |
| 13 | Lake               | 12,000  | 12,001   |   | 12,001   | 16,000  | 14,911  |  | 14,911   |
| 14 | Lassen             | 20,000  | 17,770   |   | 17,770   | 20,000  | 17,822  |  | 17,822   |
| 15 | Los<br>Angeles     | 39,000  | 31,476   | 5,031   | 36,507   | 35,000  | 28,739  | 1,683                                      | 30,422   |
| 16 | Madera             | 18,000  | 16,328   |   | 16,328   | 18,000  | 16,366  |  | 16,366   |
| 17 | Marin              | 16,000  | 14,885   |   | 14,885   | 16,000  | 14,911  |  | 14,911   |
| 18 | Mendocino          | 24,000  | 20,656   | 2,013   | 22,669   | 22,000  | 19,277  | 808  | 20,085   |
| 19 | Merced             | 12,000  | 12,001   |   | 12,001   | 12,000  | 12,000  |  | 12,000   |
| 20 | Modoc              | 16,000  | 14,885   | 168   | 15,053   | 16,000  | 14,911  | 168  | 15,079   |
| 21 | Monterey           | 42,000  | 33,639   | 10,063  | 43,702   | 45,000  | 36,015  | 4,208                                      | 40,223   |
| 22 | Nevada             | 24,000  | 20,656   |   | 20,656   | 24,000  | 20,733  |  | 20,733   |
| 23 | Orange             | 42,000  | 33,639   |   | 33,639   | 42,000  | 33,832  |  | 33,832   |
| 24 | Placer             | 16,000  | 14,885   |   | 14,885   | 16,000  | 14,911  |  | 14,911   |
| 25 | Plumas             | 12,000  | 12,001   |   | 12,001   | 16,000  | 14,911  |  | 14,911   |
| 26 | Sacramento         | 42,000  | 33,639   | 13,416  | 47,055   | 42,000  | 33,832  | 13,465                                     | 47,297   |
| 27 | San<br>Bernardino  | 45,000  | 35,803   |   | 35,803   | 45,000  | 36,015  |  | 36,015   |
| 28 | San Diego          | 42,000  | 33,639   | 6,708   | 40,347   | 42,000  | 33,832  | 4,578                                      | 38,410   |
| 29 | San<br>Francisco   | 44,000  | 35,082   | 3,354   | 38,436   | 45,000  | 36,015  | 9,762                                      | 45,777   |
| 30 | San<br>Joaquin     | 45,000  | 35,803   | 15,093  | 50,896   | 45,000  | 36,015  | 15,147                                     | 51,162   |
| 31 | San Luis<br>Obispo | 32,000  | 26,426   | 2,516   | 28,942   | 32,000  | 26,555  | 3,535                                      | 30,090   |
| 32 | San Mateo          | 32,000  | 26,426   |   | 26,426   | 32,000  | 26,555  |  | 26,555   |

|    | County           | FY 17–18<br>Allocation<br>Based on<br>Formula | FY 17-18<br>Final SAFG<br>Funding<br>Allocation <sup>1</sup> | FY 17-18<br>DDC<br>Augmen-<br>tation<br>Allocation <sup>2</sup> | FY 17-18<br>Total<br>Allocation<br>(SAFG +<br>DDC) | FY 18–19<br>Allocation<br>Based on<br>Formula | FY 18–19<br>Final SAFG<br>Funding<br>Allocation | FY 18–19 DDC<br>Augmentation<br>Allocation | FY 18–19<br>Total<br>Allocation<br>(SAFG +<br>DDC) |
|----|------------------|---|--|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| 33 | Santa<br>Barbara | 42,000  | 33,639   |   | 33,639   | 42,000  | 33,832  |  | 33,832   |
| 34 | Santa Clara      | 42,000  | 33,639   | 4,696   | 38,335   | 42,000  | 33,832  | 4,713                                      | 38,545   |
| 35 | Santa Cruz       | 45,000  | 35,803   |   | 35,803   | 39,000  | 31,649  | 1,683                                      | 33,332   |
| 36 | Shasta           | 28,000  | 23,542   |   | 23,542   | 26,000  | 22,189  |  | 22,189   |
| 37 | Sierra           | 12,000  | 12,000   |   | 12,000   | 12,000  | 12,000  |  | 12,000   |
| 38 | Siskiyou         | 20,000  | 17,770   | 1,342   | 19,112   | 16,000  | 14,911  | 808  | 15,719   |
| 39 | Solano           | 45,000  | 35,803   | 1,342   | 37,145   | 45,000  | 36,015  | 1,347                                      | 37,362   |
| 40 | Sonoma           | 45,000  | 35,803   | 503   | 36,306   | 36,000  | 29,466  | 1,178                                      | 30,644   |
| 41 | Stanislaus       | 24,000  | 20,656   | 335   | 20991  | 24,000  | 20,733  | 337  | 21,070   |
| 42 | Sutter           | 22,000  | 19,213   |   | 19213  | 22,000  | 19,277  |  | 19,277   |
| 43 | Tehama           | 24,000  | 20,656   | 503   | 21159  | 20,000  | 17,822  | 505  | 18,327   |
| 44 | Trinity          | 34,000  | 27,869   | 1,006   | 28875  | 26,000  | 22,188  | 673  | 22,861   |
| 45 | Tulare           | 24,000  | 20,656   |   | 20656  | 39,000  | 31,649  |  | 31,649   |
| 46 | Tuolumne         | 16,000  | 14,885   | 1,342   | 16227  | 20,000  | 17,822  | 1,347                                      | 19,169   |
| 47 | Ventura          | 32,000  | 26,426   | 1,174   | 27600  | 32,000  | 26,555  | 1,986                                      | 28,541   |
| 48 | Yolo             | 12,000  | 12,000   |   | 12000  | 12,000  | 12,000  |  | 12,000   |
| 49 | Yuba             | 22,000  | 19,213   |   | 19213  | 22,000  | 19,277  |  | 19,277   |
|    | Total            | \$1,381,000                                   | \$1,160,000  | \$75,000  | \$1,235,000  | \$1,374,000                                   | \$1,160,000                                     | \$75,000                                   | \$1,235,000  |

1 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In FY 18–19 there is \$1,160,000 available for allocation among the 49 courts who applied to the Collaborative Justice Courts Substance Abuse Focus Grant Program (SAFG). According to the funding formula, the maximum amount of funding for which courts are eligible is \$1,235,000. This amount exceeds the available funding by \$214,000. As a result, the total awards reflect a reduction in funding of approximately 13 percent. Each court was awarded a base allocation of \$12,000 and the remaining funds were distributed proportionally among those courts who were eligible for additional funds above the base amount.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Dependency Drug Court Augmentation funds were allocated based on the number of participants.

# Caseload-Based Funding-Level Formula: 2018–19 Judicial Council Collaborative Justice Courts Substance Abuse Focus Grant Program

#### **FUNDING CALCULATION TABLE**

| Program Focus Category           | Base     | Number of Total Program Participants |         |         |          |          |          |         | Enhancement |  |
|----------------------------------|----------|--------------------------------------|---------|---------|----------|----------|----------|---------|-------------|--|
|                                  | Amount   | 5–19                                 | 20–49   | 50-99   | 100–199  | 200–499  | 500+     | 10–24   | 25+         |  |
| Treatment Court                  | \$12,000 | \$0                                  | \$4,000 | \$8,000 | \$12,000 | \$20,000 | \$30,000 | \$2,000 | \$3,000     |  |
| Education / Nontreatment Program | \$12,000 | \$0                                  | \$2,000 | \$4,000 | \$6,000  | \$10,000 | \$15,000 | \$1,000 | \$2,000     |  |

#### **INSTRUCTIONS**

- 1. Program Focus Category: Identify whether the primary focus of the program is on treatment or education.
- 2. Base Amount: Minimum base program funding level. Only one base amount can be included in funding calculation.
- 3. Number of Total Program Participants: Number of total participants who will be directly served by the grant program for FY 18–19.
  - a. Find the number range of participants for your program.
  - b. Match it with the appropriate Program Focus Category. **Note:** For treatment-focused programs, include all participants enrolled in the program, not just the participants receiving a particular level or kind of treatment.
  - c. Add the matching funding amount to the Base Amount—this is your maximum funding level.
- \* Example: \$12,000 (Base) + \$12,000 (Treatment Court Focus with 125 program participants) = \$24,000 eligible maximum funding level.
- **4.** Enhancement: For court programs that increase their program capacity (i.e., the maximum number of participants they can serve) beyond their FY 16–17 program capacity.
  - A minimum of 10 additional participants is required for enhancement funding.
- \* **Example:** \$12,000 (Base) + \$12,000 (Treatment Court Focus w/ 125 program participants) + \$2,000 (increase in program capacity from previous year by 15 additional participants) = \$26,000 eligible maximum funding level.

#### **CALCULATION TOOL**

| 5. Court Calculation | Base     | Treatment | Nontreat | Enhance | Maximum Funding Level |
|----------------------|----------|-----------|----------|---------|-----------------------|
| Enter numbers here:  | \$12,000 | \$0       | \$0      | \$0     | \$12,000              |

Total

Note: This tool is provided to assist courts in understanding how the maximum eligible grant allocation is calculated. Please note that actual award amounts will be based on the number of courts applying and the total allocation available in the 2017 2018California State Budget.

# **Guiding Principles of Collaborative Justice Courts**

Using the National Drug Court Institute's 10 key components of drug courts as a model, the Collaborative Justice Courts Advisory Committee identified 11 essential components as the guiding principles of collaborative justice courts:

- 1. Integrate services with justice system processing;
- 2. Achieve the desired goals without the use of the traditional adversarial process;
- 3. Intervene early and promptly to place participants in the collaborative justice court program;
- 4. Provide access to a continuum of services, including treatment and rehabilitation services;
- 5. Use a coordinated strategy that governs the court's response to participant compliance, using a system of sanctions and incentives to foster compliance;
- 6. Use ongoing judicial interaction with each collaborative justice court participant;
- 7. Use monitoring and evaluation to measure the achievement of program goals and gauge effectiveness;
- 8. Ensure continuing interdisciplinary education;
- 9. Forge partnerships among collaborative justice courts, public agencies, and community-based organizations to increase the availability of services;
- 10. Enhance the program's effectiveness and generate local support; and
- 11. Emphasize team and individual commitments to cultural competency.