## **Court Security Advisory Committee**

As of September 14, 2017

#### Hon. Patricia Bamattre-Manoukian, Acting Chair

Associate Justice of the Court of Appeal Sixth Appellate District

## Hon. Jeffrey G. Bennett

Judge of the Superior Court of California, County of Ventura

## Ms. Diana Herbert

Clerk/Administrator Court of Appeal, First Appellate District

## Hon. Thomas M. Maddock (Ret.)

Judge of the Superior Court of California, County of Contra Costa

## Mr. Justin Mammen

Emergency and Security Services Manager Superior Court of California, County of Orange

## Ms. Deborah Norrie

Court Executive Officer Superior Court of California, County of Plumas

## **Hon. Charlaine F. Olmedo** Judge of the Superior Court of California,

County of Los Angeles

## Mr. Darrel E. Parker

Court Executive Officer Superior Court of California, County of Santa Barbara

## Mr. Michael M. Roddy

Court Executive Officer Superior Court of California, County of San Diego

## Hon. Jaime R. Román

Judge of the Superior Court of California, County of Sacramento

## CJER GOVERNING COMMITTEE LIAISON

Hon. Darrell S. Mavis Judge of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles

## TRIAL COURT PRESIDING JUDGES ADVISORY COMMITTEE LIAISON

Hon. Gary G. Gibson Presiding Judge of the Superior Court of California, County of Shasta

## **GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS LIAISON**

**Mr. Cory Jasperson** Director, Governmental Affairs Judicial Council of California

## **LEGAL SERVICES LIAISON**

**Ms. Dawn Payne** Attorney, Legal Services Judicial Council of California

## FACILITIES SERVICE LIAISON

**Mr. Mike Courtney** Director, Facilities Services Judicial Council of California

# Court Security Advisory Committee As of September 14, 2017

## JUDICIAL COUNCIL LEAD STAFF TO THE COMMITTEE

#### Mr. Edward Ellestad

Supervisor, Security Operations Facilities Services Judicial Council of California

## Ms. Lisa Gotch

Analyst, Security Operations Facilities Services Judicial Council of California



www.courts.ca.gov/courtsecurityadvcomm.htm courtsecurityadvcomm@jud.ca.gov

COURT SECURITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE

## COURT SECURITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE

## MINUTES OF OPEN MEETING WITH CLOSED SESSION

## January 11, 2017 12:15 p.m. to 1:15 p.m. 877-820-7831, passcode 2856918 (listen only)

| Advisory Body<br>Members Present: | Hon. Thomas M. Maddock, Judge, Superior Court of Contra Costa   |
|-----------------------------------|---|
|                                   | Hon. Patricia Bamattre-Manoukian, Associate Justice, Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District  |
|                                   | Mr. Justin Mammen, Emergency Response and Security Services Manager,<br>Superior Court of Orange County   |
|                                   | Ms. Deborah W. Norrie, Court Executive Officer, Superior Court of Plumas County   |
|                                   | Hon. Charlaine F. Olmedo, Judge, Superior Court of Los Angeles County   |
|                                   | Mr. Michael M. Roddy, Court Executive Officer, Superior Court of San Diego County   |
|                                   | Hon. Jeffrey G. Bennett, Judge, Superior Court of Ventura County  |
|                                   | Ms. Diana Herbert, Clerk/Administrator, Court of Appeal, First Appellate District   |
|                                   | Mr. Darrel E. Parker, Court Executive Officer, Superior Court of Santa Barbara County   |
| Advisory Body<br>Members Absent:  | Hon. Jaime Román, Judge, Superior Court of Sacramento County  |
| Others Present:                   | Ms. Dawn Payne, Legal Opinion Unit, Legal Services, Judicial Council of California  |
|                                   | Mr. Enrrique Villasana, Director, Real Estate and Facilities Management,<br>Operations and Programs Division, Judicial Council of California                  |
|                                   | Mr. Edward Ellestad, Supervisor, Security Operations, Real Estate and Facilities Management, Operations and Programs Division, Judicial Council of California |
|                                   | Ms. Lisa Gotch, Analyst, Security Operations, Real Estate and Facilities<br>Management, Operations and Programs Division, Judicial Council of California      |
|                                   |   |

#### **OPEN MEETING**

#### Call to Order and Roll Call

Meeting was called to order at 12:20 p.m., and staff took roll call.

#### **Approval of Minutes**

The advisory body reviewed and approved the minutes of the October 20, 2016, Court Security Advisory Committee meeting.

#### INFORMATION ONLY ITEMS (NO ACTION REQUIRED)

#### Info 1

#### Member Update

Judge Maddock announced he retires March 31, 2017, and is willing to continue as a member until that appointment ends on September 14, 2017. When he retires, he will step down as Chair. He will speak with Justice Manoukian about what happens between then and the end of the term for the current Chair position (also September 14, 2017) and will keep the committee apprised.

#### Info 2

#### Annual Agenda Update

Judge Maddock informed members of the February 10, 2017, deadline for draft Annual Agendas, which define annual objectives and projects and confirm that work is aligned with branch goals. Staff will prepare a draft for review, which will be shared with the members before submission. After, Judge Maddock will meet with the Executive and Planning Committee for discussion.

#### Info 3

#### **Court Security Plan Update**

Staff advised about the February 1, 2017, deadline for trial courts to notify the Judicial Council whether any changes had been made over the past year to their Court Security Plans. Details about plan requirements are in rule 10.172, which was adopted to fully implement the provisions of Government Code section 69925. Staff sent a courtesy reminder to the courts.

#### ADJOURNMENT

There being no further open meeting business, the meeting was adjourned at 12:25 p.m.





## COURT SECURITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE

## MINUTES OF ACTION BY E-MAIL BETWEEN MEETINGS MARCH 14, 2016

#### E-mail Proposal

The Court Security Advisory Committee (CSAC) was asked to approve the draft Annual Agenda for 2016. Due to the limited availability of members and the upcoming submission deadline, CSAC did not have time to consider this request at a meeting. Accordingly, the Chair concluded that action by e-mail was necessary.

#### **Public Notice**

On March 10, 2016, a notice was posted advising that CSAC was proposing to act by e-mail between meetings under California Rules of Court, rule 10.75(o)(1)(B).

#### **Public Comment**

Because the e-mail proposal concerned a subject that would otherwise be discussed during an open meeting, CSAC invited public comment on the proposal under rule 10.75(o)(2). No comments were received.

#### **Action Taken**

After the public comment period ended, members were asked to submit their votes on the proposal. An adjustment was made to change an outdated reference (from the Administrative Office of the Courts to the Judicial Council). The committee was unanimous in its approval. The e-mail proposal was approved.





## COURT SECURITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE

## MINUTES OF ACTION BY E-MAIL BETWEEN MEETINGS FEBRUARY 2, 2017

#### E-mail Proposal

The Court Security Advisory Committee (CSAC) was asked to approve the draft Annual Agenda for 2017. Due to the limited availability of members and the upcoming submission deadline, CSAC did not have time to consider this request at a meeting. Accordingly, the Chair concluded that action by e-mail was necessary.

#### **Public Notice**

On January 25, 2017, a notice was posted advising that CSAC was proposing to act by e-mail between meetings under California Rules of Court, rule 10.75(0)(1)(B).

#### **Public Comment**

Because the e-mail proposal concerned a subject that would otherwise be discussed during an open meeting, CSAC invited public comment on the proposal under rule 10.75(o)(2). No comments were received.

#### **Action Taken**

After the public comment period ended, members were asked to submit their votes on the proposal. The committee was unanimous in its approval. The e-mail proposal was approved.





## COURT SECURITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE

## MINUTES OF ACTION BY E-MAIL BETWEEN MEETINGS APRIL 25, 2017

#### E-mail Proposal

The Court Security Advisory Committee (CSAC) was asked to approve language of a letter sharing trial court security information with Trial Court Presiding Judges Advisory Committee and Court Executives Advisory Committee chairs. Due to the limited availability of members and the need to share information in a timely manner, CSAC did not have time to consider this request at a meeting. Accordingly, the Chair concluded that action by e-mail was necessary.

#### **Public Notice**

On April 17, 2017, a notice was posted advising that CSAC was proposing to act by e-mail between meetings under California Rules of Court, rule 10.75(o)(1)(B).

#### **Public Comment**

Because the e-mail proposal concerned a subject that would otherwise be discussed during an open meeting, CSAC invited public comment on the proposal under rule 10.75(o)(2). No comments were received.

#### Action Taken

After the public comment period ended, members were asked to submit their votes on the proposal. The committee was unanimous in its approval. The e-mail proposal was approved.





## COURT SECURITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE

## MINUTES OF ACTION BY E-MAIL BETWEEN MEETINGS MAY 25, 2017

#### E-mail Proposal

The Court Security Advisory Committee (CSAC) was asked to approve the language of a Fiscal Year 2018–2019 Budget Change Proposal (BCP) Concept, which is due June 1 and goes before the Judicial Branch Budget Committee on June 15. Due to the limited availability of members and the need to obtain approval quickly, CSAC did not have time to consider this request at a meeting. Accordingly, the Chair concluded that action by e-mail was necessary.

#### **Public Notice**

On May 24, 2017, a notice was posted advising that CSAC was proposing to act by e-mail between meetings under California Rules of Court, rule 10.75(o)(1)(B).

#### **Public Comment**

In accordance with California Rules of Court, rule 10.75(o)(2), written public comment was not accepted on the proposed action because prompt action was required.

#### **Action Taken**

Members were asked to submit their votes on the proposal. The committee was unanimous in its approval. The e-mail proposal was approved.