

Index of Proposed Projects

- A. **CMS Data Exchanges.** Develop Standard CMS Interfaces and Data Exchanges with Critical State Justice Partners
- B. **Security Framework.** Document and Deploy Court Information Systems Security Policy Framework
- C. **"Open Source" Sharing.** Establish an "Open Source" Application-Sharing Community
- D. **E-Filing Service Provider Evaluation.** E-filing Service Provider (EFSP) Selection/Certification
- E. **E-Filing Deployment.** Roadmap and Implement an E-Filing Deployment Strategy
- F. **E-Filing Summit.** Organize and Sponsor Branch Summit on Court E-Filing
- G. **SRL E-Services Portal.** Evaluate Feasibility of Establishing a Branch SRL E-Services Portal
- H. **Next Generation Hosting Strategy.** Transition to Next-Generation Branchwide Hosting Model
- I. **Disaster Recovery Framework.** Court Disaster Recovery Framework and Pilot
- J. **Remote Video Interpreting Pilot.** Explore Opportunities to Expand Remote Video Interpreting
- K. **Remote Video in Traffic.** Expand Remote Video Traffic Program
- L. **Remote Video Technologies and Standards.** Establish Model Technologies and Standards for Remote Video
- M. **Collaboration Meetings Server.** Acquire and Implement WebEx Meetings Server
- N. **E-Business Webinar Series.** Evaluate and Continue Development of Webinar Series Promoting E-Business
- O. **Privacy Policy.** Develop Branch & Model Court Privacy Policies on Electronic Court Records and Access
- P. **E-Signatures.** Develop Standards for Electronic Signatures
- Q. **Electronic Recording.** Survey and Report State of Electronic Recording in the Courts
- R. **Trial Courts: Modernize Rules.** Modernize Trial Court Rules to Support E-Business
- S. **Appellate Courts: Modernize Rules.** Modernize Appellate Court Rule for E-Filing and E-Business
- T. **Appellate Courts: Collaborate on Rules.** Coordinate with subcommittees on Rules and Policy Matters Concerning the Appellate Courts
- U. **Appellate Courts: Electronic Service.** Appellate Courts: Electronic Service
- V. **Appellate Courts: Collaborate on Technology Implementations.** Collaborate on Statewide Appellate Court Technology Implementations
- W. **Appellate Courts: Public access to appellate records.** Develop Branch Policy on Public Access to Electronic Appellate Court Records
- X. **Liaison Information Exchange.** Liaise with JC Advisory Bodies and the Branch
- Y. **Tactical Plan for Technology.** Update Tactical Plan for Technology for Effective Date 2016-2018



CTAC 2015 ANNUAL AGENDA REVIEW CHECKLIST

1. Validate Expected Outcomes.

- What problem is being solved?
- Is it clear what needs to be accomplished?
- Are the expected outcomes, tangible?

2. Set Estimated Complete (Date).

- Are the dates being set, realistic?
- If the project spans multiple years,
 - What will be completed in 2015?
 - When will the full project be completed?

3. Validate Assignment.

- Is this project appropriately assigned to CTAC? Or, is another group better fit to complete this work?
- Is the appropriate subcommittee assigned to the project?
- Would this project be suitable and benefit from a workstream approach?

Workstreams are *ad hoc* teams formed to address well-defined, tightly scoped, and discreet subprojects to meet the short-term critical technology needs for the branch in six-months or less. Workstreams are a set of discrete court-driven initiatives of a technology project, using a community-style model that executes projects using experts from all appropriate areas of the judicial branch including trial courts, appellate courts, the Judicial Council, and partners to lead and/or participate, and be accountable for, project completion.

Sample criteria for a workstream:

- Need broad representation and input;
- Need shared resources to complete project work.

4. Identify Resources.

- CTAC Executive Sponsor needed?
- Judicial Council staff needed?
- Other advisory committee input needed?
- Court ownership/leadership needed?

5. Assign Priority.