



JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF CALIFORNIA

COURT TECHNOLOGY
ADVISORY COMMITTEE

www.courts.ca.gov/ctac.htm
ctac@jud.ca.gov

**RULES AND POLICY SUBCOMMITTEE
MINUTES OF OPEN MEETING**

Date: January 15, 2015
Time: 12:00 p.m. to 1:00 p.m.
Location: Teleconference

Members Present: Hon. Peter J. Siggins; Hon. Kyle S. Brodie; Mr. Don Willenburg; Hon. Julie R. Culver; Hon. Louis R. Mauro; Hon. James Mize; Prof. Dorothy Glancy

Members Absent: Hon. Theodore C. Zayner

Others Present: Mr. Patrick O'Donnell; Ms. Tara Lundstrom; Ms. Heather Anderson; Mr. Manny Floresca

I. OPEN MEETING (CAL. RULES OF COURT, RULE 10.75(C)(1))

Call to Order and Roll Call.

II. PUBLIC COMMENT (CAL. RULES OF COURT, RULE 10.75(K)(2))

No public comments were submitted.

III. DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEMS (ITEMS 1-2)

Item 1

Rules for Electronic Service

Update: Ms. Tara Lundstrom provided an update on rules 2.251 and 8.71. There was a slight modification to the rules proposal. In the materials, proposed rule 2.251(j), states: "The document may not be electronically served on a court unless the court consents to electronic service or electronic services." Suggestion to modify this language to mirror the language proposed by JATS for rule 8.71(g). With the change, proposed rule 8.71 would state: "A document may be electronically served on a court, if the court consents to electronic service."

Motion to advise CTAC to recommend to RUPRO that the rule proposal, with the change to rule 2.251(j), be approved for circulation. Motion passes.

Item 2

Remote Courtroom Video

Update: Ms. Tara Lundstrom provided an update on rule 4.220. Recommends to remove sunset language ("emphasis on ends January 1, 2016") and references to the terms "pilot project."

Motion to advise CTAC to recommend to RUPRO that the proposed rule, as prepared, be approved for circulation and comments to be specifically requested regarding subdivision (p). Motion Passes.

Item 3

Rules Modernization Project: Involvement and input of R&P Subcommittee Members

Update: Ms. Tara Lundstrom requested that the members assist with revising the California Rules of Court during phase 1 of the Rules Modernization Project. Titles 2 and 3 were reviewed by a working group and technical, non-substantive changes were proposed to make the rules current. Asking for volunteers to listen in on advisory committee meetings to provide input on revising the rules by identifying technical, non-substantive changes. Phase 2 will involve more substantive changes.

Judge Culver stated that she would assist.

Justice Siggins stated he would listen in when he is available and time permits.

IV. ADJOURNMENT

Adjourn