

# Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee

## Annual Agenda<sup>1</sup>—2022

Approved by Rules Committee:

### I. COMMITTEE INFORMATION

<b>Chair:</b>	Hon. Stephanie E. Hulseley and Hon. Amy M. Pellman, Co-Chairs
<b>Lead Staff:</b>	Ms. Tracy Kenny and Mr. John Henzl, Co-lead Staff; Ms. Amanda Morris, Administrative Coordinator, Center for Families, Children & the Courts
<b>Committee's Charge/Membership:</b> <a href="#">Rule 10.43. Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee</a> of the California Rules of Court states the charge of the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee, which is to make recommendations to the Judicial Council for improving the administration of justice in all cases involving marriage, family, or children. <a href="#">Rule 10.43. Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee</a> sets forth additional duties of the committee.  The Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee currently has 36 voting members and one advisory member. The <a href="#">Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee website</a> provides the composition of the committee.	
<b>Subcommittees/Working Groups<sup>2</sup>:</b> 1. Protective Order Working Group (POWG) 2. Violence Against Women Education Program (VAWEP) 3. Secure Youth Treatment Facility (SYTF) Offense Classification Matrix Working Group ( <i>New</i> ) – Statutorily required working group to develop an offense based classification matrix for youth committed to an SYTF that assigns all offenses that are eligible for an SYTF commitment to a level or category that is linked to a standard baseline term of years to be assigned to youth, based on their most serious recent adjudicated offense, when they are committed to an SYTF.	
<b>Meetings Planned for 2022 (Advisory body and all subcommittees and working groups)</b> Date/Time/Location or Teleconference: The committee meets by teleconference or videoconference each second and fourth Monday of the Month from 4:30 to 5:30 unless a meeting is not required and has one in person meeting per year. VAWEP meets twice a year, typically once in person and once by teleconference. POWG	

<sup>1</sup> The annual agenda outlines the work a committee will focus on in the coming year and identifies areas of collaboration with other advisory bodies and the Judicial Council staff resources.

<sup>2</sup> California Rules of Court, rule 10.30 (c) allows an advisory body to form subgroups, composed entirely of current members of the advisory body, to carry out the body's duties, subject to available resources, with the approval of its oversight committee.

meets as needed to work on rules and forms revisions. The SYTF Offense Classification Matrix Working Group will need to meet on a biweekly or monthly basis until a draft matrix is ready for circulation for public comment. It is expected that 1-2 of those meetings may be in person.

Check here if exception to policy is granted by Executive Office or rule of court.

## COMMITTEE PROJECTS

#	New or One-Time Projects <sup>3</sup>	
1.	<i>Legislative Changes from the 2021 Legislative Session</i>	<i>Priority 1<sup>4</sup></i> <i>Strategic Plan Goal IV<sup>5</sup></i>
<p><b>Project Summary<sup>6</sup>:</b> As directed by the Judicial Council, review legislation identified by Governmental Affairs that may have an impact on family and juvenile law issues within the advisory committee’s purview. The committee will review the legislation below, and any other identified legislation, and propose rules and forms as may be appropriate for the council’s consideration and will take action only where necessary to allow courts to implement the legislation efficiently.</p> <p><u>Domestic Violence:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. <a href="#">AB 277 (Valladares) Domestic violence: victims: address confidentiality (Ch. 457, Stats. of 2021)</a> Requires, by January 1, 2023 the inclusion of information about the Safe at Home address confidentiality program on Judicial Council forms relating to domestic violence.</li> <li>b. <a href="#">AB 887 (Levine) Domestic violence: restraining orders (Ch. 681, Stats. of 2021)</a> If funds are appropriated for this purpose in the Budget Act, requires courts to allow for e-filing of petitions for domestic violence restraining orders.</li> <li>c. <a href="#">SB 24 (Caballero) Domestic violence: protective orders: information pertaining to a child (Ch. 129, Stats. of 2021)</a> Revises existing protections against a third party’s disclosure of a minor’s protected information under a domestic violence restraining order.</li> <li>d. <a href="#">SB 320 (Eggman) Domestic violence protective orders: possession of a firearm (Ch. 685, Stats. of 2021)</a> Changes court procedures for issuing domestic violence restraining orders in order to better effectuate the requirement in existing law that a party subject to such an order must relinquish their firearms and ammunition. Codifies existing Rules of Court related to</li> </ul>		

<sup>3</sup> All proposed projects for the year must be included on the Annual Agenda. If a project implements policy or is a program, identify it as *implementation* or a *program* in the project description and attach the Judicial Council authorization/assignment or prior approved Annual Agenda to this Annual Agenda.

<sup>4</sup> For non-rules and forms projects, select priority level 1 (must be done) or 2 (should be done). For rules and forms proposals, select one of the following priority levels: 1(a) Urgently needed to conform to the law; 1(b) Urgently needed to respond to a recent change in the law; 1(c) Adoption or amendment of rules or forms by a specified date required by statute or council decision; 1(d) Provides significant cost savings and efficiencies, generates significant revenue, or avoids a significant loss of revenue; 1(e) Urgently needed to remedy a problem that is causing significant cost or inconvenience to the courts or the public; 1(f) Otherwise urgent and necessary, such as a proposal that would mitigate exposure to immediate or severe financial or legal risk; 2(a) Useful, but not necessary, to implement statutory changes; 2(b) Helpful in otherwise advancing Judicial Council goals and objectives.

<sup>5</sup> Indicate which goal number of The Strategic Plan for California’s Judicial Branch the project most closely aligns.

<sup>6</sup> A key objective is a strategic aim, purpose, or “end of action” to be achieved for the coming year.

## # New or One-Time Projects<sup>3</sup>

the relinquishment of a firearm by a person subject to a domestic violence restraining order and requires the court to notify law enforcement and the county prosecutor's office when there has been a violation of a firearm or ammunition relinquishment order.

- e. [SB 374 \(Min\) Protective orders: reproductive coercion \(Ch. 135, Stats. of 2021\)](#)  
Revises existing protections against a third party's disclosure of a minor's protected information under a domestic violence restraining order.
- f. [SB 538 \(Rubio\) Domestic violence and gun violence restraining orders \(Ch. 686, Stats. of 2021\)](#)  
Requires courts, by July 1, 2023, to provide for electronic filing and remote appearances in the context of domestic violence restraining orders (DVROs) and gun violence restraining orders (GVROs). This bill also specifies that there are no filing fees related to a petition for a DVRO or a GVRO.

### Family:

- g. [AB 627 \(Waldron\) Recognition of tribal court orders: rights in retirement plans or deferred compensation \(Ch. 627, Stats. of 2021\)](#)  
Establishes procedures for California courts to recognize tribal court family law orders involving the division of retirement and other deferred compensation benefits.
- h. [AB 429 \(Dahle\) Child support: access to records \(Ch. 52, Stats. of 2021\)](#)  
Establishes that trials and court files are to be open to the public for new actions filed under the Uniform Parentage Act on or after January 1, 2023, excluding assisted reproduction cases.
- i. [SB 654 \(Min\) Child custody \(Ch. 768, Stats. of 2021\)](#)  
Requires a court that grants unsupervised visitation to a parent with histories of abuse, neglect or substance abuse to state its reasons for doing so in writing or on the record, and provides that if a child addresses a court regarding custody or visitation, they generally must be permitted to do so without the parties being present.

### Juvenile Dependency:

- j. [AB 260 \(Stone\) Guardianships \(Ch. 578, Stats. of 2021\)](#)  
Establishes processes to ensure abused or neglected children are not improperly diverted into probate guardianships in lieu of the foster care system. Requires when a social worker receives a referral from the probate court, the social worker immediately investigate as necessary to determine whether proceedings in juvenile court should be commenced. Further requires the social worker, within three weeks of the referral, to report the findings and conclusions of the investigation, along with any decision made as a result and the reasons for the decision to the probate court. Allows the probate court or the minor's appointed counsel to apply to the juvenile court, as provided, for an order directing the agency to commence juvenile dependency proceedings if the child

# **New or One-Time Projects<sup>3</sup>**

welfare agency has not, within three weeks of referral, notified the probate court that it has commenced juvenile dependency proceedings. Requires, on or before January 1, 2023, the Judicial Council to adopt, amend, or revise rules or forms necessary for the implementation of the provisions of this bill.

- k. [AB 546 \(Maienschein\) Dependent children: documents: housing \(Ch. 519, Stats. of 2021\)](#)  
Expands the information about the housing assistance efforts a county welfare department must provide to a foster youth who is on the cusp of aging out of the system that the department must report to the juvenile court.
- l. [AB 640 \(Cooley\) Extended foster care: eligibility redetermination \(Ch. 622, Stats. of 2021\)](#)  
Creates, with respect to foster youth who were ineligible for federal foster care funds before they turned 18, a process that triggers a new eligibility determination if they receive extended foster care after turning 18.
- m. [AB 670 \(Calderon\) Child abuse or neglect: minor and nonminor dependent parents \(Ch. 585, Stats. of 2021\)](#)  
Provides additional support and protections to parents under the jurisdiction of the juvenile court. When a report alleging abuse or neglect of the child of a dependent of the juvenile court is made, requires the agency that received the report to notify the dependent youth or nonminor dependent's dependency counsel within 36 hours of the report.
- n. [AB 674 \(Bennett\) Dependent children: documents \(Ch. 524, Stats. of 2021\)](#)  
Requires, as part of a report a county welfare department must make to a juvenile court judge before a foster youth reaches age 18, the department to verify that it has provided the youth with written information relating to CalFresh benefits.
- o. [AB 788 \(Calderon\) Juveniles: reunification \(Ch. 201, Stats. of 2021\)](#)  
Clarifies the meaning of "resisted" for the purposes of existing law which enables a juvenile dependency court to deny reunification services for a parent who has a history of drug or alcohol abuse and has resisted court-ordered treatment.
- p. [AB 829 \(Levine\) Foster children: immigration counsel and guardianship \(Ch. 528, Stats. of 2021\)](#)  
Expands on existing provisions to help unaccompanied minors to obtain immigration relief. Provides that when the placing agency becomes aware that a dependent child or a nonminor dependent is an undocumented immigrant, the placing agency shall notify the dependent child's or nonminor dependent's attorney that the dependent child or nonminor dependent is an undocumented immigrant.
- q. [AB 841 \(Cunningham\) Dependant children \(Ch. 98, Stats. of 2021\)](#)  
Prohibits a child from being found to be within the jurisdiction of the juvenile court solely due to the failure of the child's parent or alleged parent to pursue court orders seeking custody of the child.
- r. [SB 354 \(Skinner\) Foster youth: relative placement \(Ch. 687, Stats. of 2021\)](#)

# **New or One-Time Projects<sup>3</sup>**

Adopts changes to the criminal background check process during the resource family approval (RFA) process for relatives and non-relative extended family members (NREFMs) of children placed in the child welfare system; permits the court to authorize placement of children with relatives and NREFMs in certain circumstances, regardless of the status of any criminal exemption or RFA; and, requires, no later than January 1, 2023, the California Child Welfare Council (Council) to submit a report to the Legislature related to criminal records exemptions and RFA.

Juvenile Justice:

- s. [AB 624 \(Bauer-Kahn\) Juveniles: transfer to court of criminal jurisdiction: appeals \(Ch.195, Stats. of 2021\)](#)  
Authorizes immediate appellate review of an order transferring a minor from the juvenile court to a court of criminal jurisdiction if a notice of appeal is filed within 30 days of the transfer order.
  
- t. [SB 383 \(Cortese\) Juveniles: informal supervision: deferred entry of judgment \(Ch. 603, Stats. of 2021\)](#)  
Authorizes a court receiving a juvenile transfer case to determine whether an eligible minor is suitable for deferred entry of judgment if the transferring court did not do so and expands the circumstances under which a minor is eligible for informal supervision.

**Status/Timeline:** Any rules and forms proposals required to implement legislation enacted in 2021 will be prepared for the Winter or Spring public comment cycles in 2022 as appropriate with anticipated effective dates of either September 1, 2022 or January 1, 2023.

**Fiscal Impact/Resources:** CFCC staff, in consultation with staff from the Legal Services will prepare revised rules and forms as needed. Joint Rules Subcommittee of Trial Court Presiding Judges and Court Executive Advisory Committees (TCPJAC/CEAC JRS) will review proposals for court operations impacts as necessary.

*This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.*

**Internal/External Stakeholders:** All draft proposals will circulate for public comment to a list of family and juvenile law related stakeholders as well as all court executives and presiding judges

**AC Collaboration:** For proposals that impact family and civil courts, the committee will collaborate with the Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee. For proposals impacting tribal courts, the committee will collaborate with the Tribal Court–State Court Forum. For proposals impacting the Courts of Appeal, the committee will collaborate with the Appellate Advisory Committee.

#	<b>New or One-Time Projects<sup>3</sup></b>	
2.	<b><i>Secure Youth Treatment Facility (SYTF) Offense Classification Matrix</i></b>	<b><i>Priority 1</i></b>
		<b><i>Strategic Plan Goal II</i></b>
	<p><b><i>Project Summary<sup>6</sup></i></b>: Division of Juvenile Justice (DJJ) Realignment Trailer Bill (SB 92) requires the Judicial Council by July 1, 2023 to develop and adopt an offense classification matrix to be used by juvenile court judges when committing wards to secure youth treatment facilities. The statute requires that the council be advised by “a working group of stakeholders, which shall include representatives from prosecution, defense, probation, behavioral health, youth service providers, youth formerly incarcerated in the Division of Juvenile Justice, and youth advocacy and other stakeholders and organizations having relevant expertise or information on dispositions and sentencing of youth in the juvenile justice system.” This working group would be charged with developing the matrix, circulating it for public comment, and then bringing its final product to the committee before it is submitted to the council for final approval.</p> <p><b><i>Status/Timeline</i></b>: In order to meet the statutory deadline for the council to adopt a final matrix by July 1, 2023, a draft proposal will need to be prepared and ready to circulate by the spring of 2022, with the expectation that a final proposal will be submitted to the council in January 2023.</p> <p><b><i>Fiscal Impact/Resources</i></b>: CFCC Staff in consultation with other staff to the council will provide staff support, include research and technical assistance, to the working group to allow the required work to be completed in a timely manner.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p><b><i>Internal/External Stakeholders</i></b>: The working group has specified external stakeholders that must be included. The committee will work with Leadership Support Services to appoint members to the working group and then its draft work product will be circulated for public comment to ensure that all key juvenile justice stakeholders have an opportunity to provide input on the final matrix.</p> <p><b><i>AC Collaboration</i></b>: As noted, the bulk of the committee’s work on this agenda item will be undertaken by the working group that is statutorily required to be convened for this purpose.</p>	
3.	<b><i>DJJ Realignment Implementation</i></b>	<b><i>Priority 1a</i></b>
		<b><i>Strategic Plan Goal IV</i></b>
	<p><b><i>Project Summary<sup>6</sup></i></b>: Legislation enacted in 2020 (SB 823) and follow up trailer bill legislation (SB 92) enacted in 2021 establish the framework for juvenile courts and counties to take over all responsibility for juvenile justice dispositions and require them to implement new procedures to commit serious offenders to an SYTF in anticipation of the complete closure of DJJ on June 30, 2023. The committee will update rules and forms to remove DJJ references and adapt them to incorporate SYTF requirements.</p>	

#	<b>New or One-Time Projects<sup>3</sup></b>	
	<p><b>Status/Timeline:</b> Rules and forms changes would be circulated for comment in the Spring 2022 cycle with an implementation date of July 1, 2023 to coincide with the closure of DJJ.</p> <p><b>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</b> CFCC staff, in consultation with staff from the Legal Services will prepare revised rules and forms as needed. Joint Rules Subcommittee of Trial Court Presiding Judges and Court Executive Advisory Committees (TCPJAC/CEAC JRS) will review proposals for court operations impacts as necessary.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p><b>Internal/External Stakeholders:</b> All draft proposals will circulate for public comment to a list of family and juvenile law related stakeholders as well as all court executives and presiding judges.</p> <p><b>AC Collaboration:</b> N/A</p>	
4.	<p><b>Implementation of SB 384 (Stats. 2017, ch. 541), Sex offenders: registration: criminal offender record information systems</b></p>	<p><b>Priority 1e</b></p> <p><b>Strategic Plan Goal IV</b></p>
	<p><b>Project Summary<sup>6</sup>:</b> Develop juvenile forms to implement SB 384, which, in relevant part, establishes three tiers of sex offender registration based on specified criteria and a petition process to request termination from the registry upon completion of a mandated minimum registration period under specified conditions. Forms were adopted in 2021 for criminal court use, but juvenile courts have requested that forms be made available for the relatively smaller number of juveniles who have been required to register as sex offenders.</p> <p><b>Status/Timeline:</b> Rules and forms changes would be circulated for comment in the Spring 2022 cycle with an implementation date of January 1, 2023.</p> <p><b>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</b> CFCC staff, in consultation with staff from the Legal Services will prepare revised rules and forms as needed. Joint Rules Subcommittee of Trial Court Presiding Judges and Court Executive Advisory Committees (TCPJAC/CEAC JRS) will review proposals for court operations impacts as necessary. Staff will consult with Criminal Justice Services staff who prepared the criminal forms to ensure consistency.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p><b>Internal/External Stakeholders:</b> All draft proposals will circulate for public comment to a list of family and juvenile law related stakeholders as well as all court executives and presiding judges.</p>	



#	<b>New or One-Time Projects<sup>3</sup></b>	
	<p><b>AC Collaboration:</b> The committee will coordinate with the Criminal Law Advisory Committee to ensure that the juvenile forms are consistent with the criminal forms.</p>	
5.	<p><b>Remote Civil Proceedings (CCP 367.75)</b></p>	<p><b>Priority 1a</b> <b>Strategic Plan Goal VI</b></p>
	<p><b>Project Summary:</b> Work with Ad Hoc Committee on Civil Remote Appearances Rules as needed to fulfill statutory requirement in Code of Civil Procedure section 367.75 that rules be adopted to implement new provisions providing for expanded use of remote appearances in civil proceedings.</p> <p><b>Status/Timeline:</b> Anticipated effective date of January 21, 2022.</p> <p><b>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</b> CFCC staff will work with staff from Legal Services and Government Affairs to implement the requirements for family and juvenile proceedings.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p><b>Internal/External Stakeholders:</b> All draft proposals will circulate for public comment to a list of family and juvenile law related stakeholders as well as all court executives and presiding judges.</p> <p><b>AC Collaboration:</b> Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee, Probate and Mental Health Advisory Committee, Information Technology Advisory Committee, Advisory Committee on Providing Access and Fairness</p>	
6.	<p><b>Family Law: Confidentiality of Child Custody Evaluation</b></p>	<p><b>Priority 1e</b> <b>Strategic Plan Goal IV</b></p>
	<p><b>Project Summary:</b> Amend rule 5.220 and revise forms FL-327, FL-328, and FL-329-INFO, to clarify those persons and organizations who have legal access to a child custody evaluation report involving serious allegations of child sexual abuse or child abuse under Family Code section 3118.</p> <p><b>Status/Timeline:</b> Anticipated effective date of September 1, 2022. Revised form FL-328 was circulated for public comment in 2021 and then action was deferred after the comment period in order to pursue an alternative approach.</p> <p><b>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</b> CFCC staff, in consultation with staff from the Legal Services will prepare revised rules and forms.</p>	

#	<b>New or One-Time Projects<sup>3</sup></b>	
	<i>Internal/External Stakeholders:</i> The draft proposal will circulate for public comment to a list of family and juvenile law related stakeholders as well as all court executives and presiding judges.	
7.	<b>Juvenile Protective Order Form Revisions to Implement Statutory Changes and Plain Language</b>	<b>Priority 1b</b>
	<b>Strategic Plan Goal I, IV</b>	
	<p><b>Project Summary:</b> Recently enacted legislation redefines what constitutes domestic violence to include “coercive control” as an example of disturbing the peace. A proposal to implement those changes on the forms used under the DVPA was circulated in the spring 2021 cycle and included some other formatting and organizational changes intended to make the forms more accessible to litigants. Similar changes are required to the protective order forms for orders issued by juvenile courts pursuant to Welfare and Institutions Code section 213. Additionally, some of the juvenile protective order forms are not in the more accessible plain language format and would benefit from being reformatted to ensure that all parties understand the orders and the consequences for violating them.</p> <p><b>Status/Timeline:</b> Anticipated effective date of January 1, 2023.</p> <p><b>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</b> CFCC staff, in consultation with staff from the Legal Services will prepare revised rules and forms.  <input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p><b>Internal/External Stakeholders:</b> The draft proposal will circulate for public comment to a list of family and juvenile law related stakeholders as well as all court executives and presiding judges.</p> <p><b>AC Collaboration:</b> The committee will work with the Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee to ensure that any changes to the protective order forms are consistent with other types of protective order forms to the extent appropriate.</p>	
8.	<b>Family Law: Update Statutory References in FL-165 and FL-620</b>	<b>Priority 1b</b>
	<b>Strategic Plan Goal IV</b>	
	<p><b>Project Summary:</b> In consultation with the Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee, update federal statutory references in item 5, Declaration of nonmilitary status, on the <i>Request to Enter Default (Family Law-Uniform Parentage)</i> (FL-165) and item 3 <i>Request to Enter Default Judgment (Governmental)</i> (FL-620) and consider whether the current affidavit language is legally sufficient. Because the legal accuracy of the forms is in question, the committee needs to act to correct these forms.</p> <p><b>Status/Timeline:</b> Anticipated effective date of January 1, 2023.</p> <p><b>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</b> CFCC staff, in consultation with staff from the Legal Services will prepare revised rules and forms.</p>	

#	<b>New or One-Time Projects<sup>3</sup></b>	
	<p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p><b>Internal/External Stakeholders:</b> The draft proposal will circulate for public comment to a list of family and juvenile law related stakeholders as well as all court executives and presiding judges.</p> <p><b>AC Collaboration:</b> The committee will work with the Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee to ensure that any changes to the request to enter default forms are consistent with similar civil forms, to the extent appropriate.</p>	
9.	<b>Placeholder for Projects assigned by the Ad-Hoc Workgroup on Post-Pandemic Initiatives (P3)</b>	<b>Priority 1</b> <b>Strategic Plan Goal TBD</b>
	<p><b>Project Summary:</b> The Ad Hoc Workgroup on Post-Pandemic Initiatives (P3) is currently working to identify successful court practices that emerged during the COVID-19 pandemic. P3 recommendations may be referred to specific advisory bodies for development and/or implementation.</p> <p><b>Status/Timeline:</b> TBD</p> <p><b>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</b> TBD</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p><b>Internal/External Stakeholders:</b> TBD</p> <p><b>AC Collaboration:</b> TBD</p>	
10.	<b>Family Law: Simplified Procedure for Correcting Clerical Errors on Birth Records</b>	<b>Priority 1e</b> <b>Strategic Plan Goal I, IV</b>
	<p><b>Project Summary:</b> In collaboration with the Office of Governmental Affairs, continue exploring solutions to jurisdictional issues between the California Department of Public Health and the Superior Courts with respect to amendments to correct erroneous information relative to a parent on a child’s birth certificate. Solutions may include communications, legal analysis, and the possible development of rules, forms or proposed legislative language to clarify the responsibilities of the courts.</p> <p><b>Status/Timeline:</b> Anticipated effective date of January 1, 2023.</p> <p><b>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</b> CFCC staff, in consultation with staff from the Office of Governmental Affairs and Legal Services, may prepare new or revised rules and forms.</p>	

#	New or One-Time Projects <sup>3</sup>
	<p data-bbox="184 217 1906 289"> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i> </p> <p data-bbox="176 328 1955 435"> <b><i>Internal/External Stakeholders:</i></b> The California Department of Public Health. Additionally, any draft proposal to create or revise rules and forms will circulate for public comment to a list of family and juvenile law related stakeholders as well as all court executives and presiding judges.         </p> <p data-bbox="176 474 506 506"> <b><i>AC Collaboration:</i></b> None.         </p>

11. <b>Rules and forms to standardize parentage determinations for surrogacy cases</b>	<b>Priority 2</b>
<b>Strategic Plan Goal IV</b>	
<p><b>Project Summary:</b> California has become a hub for surrogacy arrangements because of its abundance of fertility clinics, favorable court rulings, and clear-cut legislation for establishing parentage for the intended parents. Each court in California has had the burden of figuring out how to handle surrogacy parentage cases with little to no guidance or precedent, resulting in inconsistent approaches to the documents filed by the parties’ attorneys and the documents required by court. This lack of uniform procedure has resulted in significant variations in processing time and created burdens on court staff and judges when reviewing the applications for a parentage judgment. A uniform statewide procedure in a rule of court and implementing forms could ease these burdens and prevent forum shopping.</p> <p><b>Status/Timeline:</b> Anticipated effective date for rules and forms of January 1, 2023.</p> <p><b>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</b> CFCC staff, in consultation with staff from the Legal Services will prepare revised rules and forms.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p><b>Internal/External Stakeholders:</b> The draft proposal will circulate for public comment to a list of family and juvenile law related stakeholders as well as all court executives and presiding judges.</p> <p><b>AC Collaboration:</b> None</p>	

<b># Ongoing Projects and Activities</b>	
1. <b>Proposition 57 and SB 1391</b>	<b>Priority 1</b>
<b>Strategic Plan Goal IV</b>	
<p><b>Project Summary:</b> Monitor implementation of a recently enacted propositions and legislation, and assist juvenile courts with any required implementation:</p> <p>Proposition 57 enacted November 8, 2016 restructured the process for transfer of jurisdiction from juvenile to criminal court and eliminated the ability of prosecutors to directly file cases in criminal court. <a href="#">SB 1391</a> limited the transfer of youth to those age 16 and over or who are arrested after the age of juvenile court jurisdiction. The California Supreme Court granted review in a case decided in October 2019 (<i>O.G. v. Superior Court</i>, 40 Cal.App.5th 626 (2019)) that held that SB 1391 was enacted in violation of Proposition 57 and thus a rules and forms proposal to implement the legislation that was enacted by the council on September 24, 2019, was rescinded by the council on November 25, 2019. On February 25, 2021 the court ruled that the provisions of SB 1391 were a permissible amendment to Proposition 57 and thus were valid. As a result, the changes rescinded in 2019 may now move forward, and should be coordinated with the changes necessary to implement DJJ realignment (see above).</p>	

#	Ongoing Projects and Activities	
	<p><b>Status/Timeline:</b> Ongoing</p> <p><b>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</b> CFCC staff will consult with Criminal Justice Services staff in implementing changes that impact criminal courts.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p><b>Internal/External Stakeholders:</b> None</p> <p><b>AC Collaboration:</b> Criminal Law Advisory Committee</p>	
2.	<p><b>Family First Prevention Services Act Implementation</b></p>	<p><b>Priority 1</b></p> <p><b>Strategic Plan Goal II</b></p>
	<p><b>Project Summary:</b> Monitor implementation of the Family First Prevention Services Act (FFPSA), which reforms federal child welfare financing streams, Title IV-E and Title IV-B of the Social Security Act, to provide services to families who are at risk of entering the child welfare system. Budget trailer bill legislation enacted in 2021, with an effective date of October 1, 2021 enacted key changes to court processes for approving placements in Short Term Residential Therapeutic Programs that required rule and form changes to implement. Those changes were approved by the Judicial Council at its October 1, 2021 meeting, and will circulate for public comment in the 2022 Winter rules and forms cycle for future revisions as well as to implement additional legislative clarifications.</p> <p><b>Status/Timeline:</b> Ongoing</p> <p><b>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</b> Legal Services and Government Affairs; TCPJAC/CEAC JRS will review proposals for court operations impacts as necessary.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p><b>Internal/External Stakeholders:</b> California Department of Social Services, Chief Probation Officers of California, Child Welfare Directors Association</p> <p><b>AC Collaboration:</b> None</p>	

#	<b>Ongoing Projects and Activities</b>	
3.	<b><i>Indian Child Welfare Act Legal Updates</i></b>	<b><i>Priority 1</i></b>
<p data-bbox="180 305 1734 337"><b><i>Project Summary:</i></b> Maintain rule and form compliance with the Indian Child Welfare Act and its requirements as needed.</p> <p data-bbox="180 378 1835 448"><b><i>Status/Timeline:</i></b> Anticipated effective date of January 1, 2022 for any required rules and forms. TCPJAC/CEAC JRS will review proposals for court operations impacts as necessary.</p> <p data-bbox="180 488 714 521"><b><i>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</i></b> Legal Services</p> <p data-bbox="180 532 1902 602"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p data-bbox="180 643 678 675"><b><i>Internal/External Stakeholders:</i></b> None</p> <p data-bbox="180 716 842 748"><b><i>AC Collaboration:</i></b> Tribal Court–State Court Forum</p>		
4.	<b><i>Assembly Bill 1058 Child Support Program Funding</i></b>	<b><i>Priority 1</i></b>
<p data-bbox="180 930 1938 1073"><b><i>Project Summary:</i></b> As directed by the council, review the implementation of the workload based funding methodology for the AB 1058 Child Support Commissioner program, including its impact on the performance of the program as federally mandated, and make recommendations to the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee for sufficient funding that provides a minimum service level for smaller courts prior to FY 2023–24.<sup>7</sup></p> <p data-bbox="180 1114 520 1146"><b><i>Status/Timeline:</i></b> Ongoing</p> <p data-bbox="180 1187 1577 1219"><b><i>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</i></b> CFCC staff will work with Budget Services staff to coordinate work with TCBAC.</p> <p data-bbox="180 1230 1902 1300"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p data-bbox="180 1341 678 1373"><b><i>Internal/External Stakeholders:</i></b> None</p>		

<sup>7</sup> See Judicial Council report, May 14, 2021, *Child Support: Updating Workload Data for the AB 1058 Child Support Commissioner Funding Methodology, Adopting a Family Law Facilitator Program Funding Methodology, and Adopting 2021–22 AB 1058 Program Funding Allocations*, <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=9508521&GUID=BC737E96-AFD8-4E22-A046-AE9E16A5C422>.

#	<b>Ongoing Projects and Activities</b>	
	<i>AC Collaboration:</i> Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee and Court Executives Advisory Committee	
5.	<b><i>Child Support: Quadrennial Review of Statewide Uniform Child Support Guideline</i></b>	<b><i>Priority 1a</i></b> <b><i>Strategic Plan Goal I</i></b>
<p><b><i>Project Summary:</i></b> Family Code section 4054(a) requires that, at least every four years, the Judicial Council review the statewide uniform child support guideline to recommend to the Legislature appropriate revisions. Additionally, federal regulations require that the state review its guideline on a quadrennial basis</p> <p><b><i>Status/Timeline:</i></b> It is anticipated the study will be completed in 2021 and submitted to the Legislature in May 2022</p> <p><b><i>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</i></b> CFCC staff will work with Government Affairs staff on transmitting the study to the legislature.  <input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p><b><i>Internal/External Stakeholders:</i></b> California Department of Child Support Services. Additionally, the draft study will circulate for public comment to a list of family and juvenile law related stakeholders as well as all court executives and presiding judges.</p> <p><b><i>AC Collaboration:</i></b> The study must also be reviewed by the Office of Government Affairs and approved by the Legislative Committee.</p>		
6.	<b><i>Court Appointed Special Advocates (CASA) grants program Funding Augmentation and Program Oversight</i></b> <b><i>(<a href="#">Welf. &amp; Inst. Code, § 100 et seq.</a>)</i></b>	<b><i>Priority 1</i></b> <b><i>Strategic Plan Goal IV</i></b>
<p><b><i>Project Summary:</i></b> Evaluate impact of \$500,000 augmentation received beginning fiscal year 18-19 and develop recommendation to the Judicial Council for an ongoing methodology for these funds and any other needed changes to support CASA programs.</p> <p><b><i>Status/Timeline:</i></b> Ongoing</p> <p><b><i>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</i></b> Budget Services  <input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p>		



#	<b>Ongoing Projects and Activities</b>	
	<p><b>Internal/External Stakeholders:</b> None</p> <p><b>AC Collaboration:</b> None</p>	
7.	<b>Domestic Violence</b>	<b>Priority 1</b>
	<b>Strategic Plan Goal IV</b>	
	<p><b>Project Summary:</b> Provide recommendations to the council on statewide judicial branch domestic violence issues in the area of family and juvenile law, including projects referred from the work of the Domestic Violence Practice and Procedure Task Force and the Violence Against Women Education Program (VAWEP). Serve as lead committee for Protective Orders Working Group (POWG). In 2021 the committee will narrow its focus to required activities like overseeing VAWEP due to the pandemic.</p> <p><b>Status/Timeline:</b> Ongoing</p> <p><b>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</b> Criminal Justice Services, Legal Services</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</p> <p><b>Internal/External Stakeholders:</b> None</p> <p><b>AC Collaboration:</b> Civil Small Claims Advisory Committee, Criminal Law Advisory Committee</p>	
8.	<b>Legislation</b>	<b>Priority 1</b>
	<b>Strategic Plan Goal II</b>	
	<p><b>Project Summary:</b> As requested by the Judicial Council Policy Coordination and Liaison Committee review and recommend positions on legislation related to family and juvenile law matters.</p> <p><b>Status/Timeline:</b> Ongoing</p> <p><b>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</b> Governmental Affairs</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</p>	

#	<b>Ongoing Projects and Activities</b>	
	<p><i>Internal/External Stakeholders:</i> None</p> <p><i>AC Collaboration:</i> None</p>	
9.	<p><b>Education</b></p>	<p><b>Priority 1</b></p> <p><b>Strategic Plan Goal V</b></p>
	<p><b>Project Summary:</b> Contribute to planning efforts in support of family and juvenile law judicial branch education.</p> <p><b>Status/Timeline:</b> Ongoing</p> <p><b>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</b> CJER</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p><b>Internal/External Stakeholders:</b> None</p> <p><b>AC Collaboration:</b> CJER Governing Committee</p>	
10.	<p><b>Review approval of training providers under 5.210, 5.225, 5.230, and 5.518.</b></p>	<p><b>Priority 1</b></p> <p><b>Strategic Plan Goal V</b></p>
	<p><b>Project Summary:</b> Training providers/courses are reviewed for compliance with these rules by Judicial Council staff, in consultation with the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee. As directed by the <a href="#">Judicial Council, result of review of delegations.</a></p> <p><b>Status/Timeline:</b> Ongoing</p> <p><b>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</b> Support Services, Legal Services</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p><b>Internal/External Stakeholders:</b> None</p> <p><b>AC Collaboration:</b> None</p>	

#	Ongoing Projects and Activities	
11.	<i>Advise on Distribution of Federal Title IV-E Reimbursement for Dependency Counsel</i>	<p><i>Priority 1a</i></p> <p><i>Strategic Plan Goal VII</i></p>
<p><b>Project Summary:</b> A change in the federal <i>Child Welfare Policy Manual</i> permits attorneys who provide dependency representation to claim reimbursement for specified legal activities. The Budget Act of 2019 supports this federal reimbursement with an increase of \$34 million, and ongoing funds in future years, for court appointed dependency counsel. The Council recently authorized distribution of these funds to court appointed dependency counsel statewide.</p> <p><b>Status/Timeline:</b> Ongoing</p> <p><b>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</b> Legal Services; BAPS</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p><b>Internal/External Stakeholders:</b> CEAC Subcommittee, California Department of Social Services, Child Welfare Directors Association, Court Appointed Counsel in Dependency Providers</p> <p><b>AC Collaboration:</b> TCPJAC/CEAC</p>		
12.	<i>Serve as subject matter resource for other advisory groups to avoid duplication of efforts and contribute to development of recommendations for council action.</i>	<p><i>Priority 1d</i></p> <p><i>Strategic Plan Goal IV</i></p>
<p><b>Project Summary:</b> Such efforts may include providing family and juvenile law expertise and review to working groups, advisory committees, and subcommittees as needed.</p> <p><b>Status/Timeline:</b> Ongoing</p> <p><b>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</b> None</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p><b>Internal/External Stakeholders:</b> None</p>		

# Ongoing Projects and Activities	
	<i>AC Collaboration:</i> Respective advisory bodies
13.	<b><i>Judicial Council forms within the committee’s purview that have a gender identity question or term</i></b>
	<b><i>Priority 1</i></b> <b><i>Strategic Plan Goal I</i></b>
<p><b><i>Project Summary:</i></b> Revise all gendered terms or gender identity questions to conform to legislative changes providing for nonbinary gender identity if those forms are being revised for other reasons. All other changes will be deferred to a subsequent cycle due to the pandemic.</p> <p><b><i>Status/Timeline:</i></b> Ongoing with each RUPRO cycle</p> <p><b><i>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</i></b> Legal Services</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p><b><i>Internal/External Stakeholders:</i></b> None</p> <p><b><i>AC Collaboration:</i></b> None</p>	
14.	<b><i>FL-800 Joint Petition for Summary Dissolution</i></b>
	<b><i>Priority 1</i></b> <b><i>Strategic Plan Goal IV</i></b>
<p><b><i>Project Summary:</i></b> Update to reflect change in cost of living per <a href="#">Family Code section 2400(b)</a> as a technical change.</p> <p><b><i>Status/Timeline:</i></b> Ongoing requirement to adjust every other year, next adjustment to be effective September 1, 2023 (last adjustment <a href="#">approved by the Judicial Council 3/19/19 in a technical report</a>).</p> <p><b><i>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</i></b> Legal Services</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p><b><i>Internal/External Stakeholders:</i></b> None</p> <p><b><i>AC Collaboration:</i></b> None</p>	

#	Ongoing Projects and Activities	
15.	<b>Rules and Forms: Miscellaneous Technical Changes</b>	<b>Priority 1</b>
		<b>Strategic Plan Goal IV</b>
<p><b>Project Summary:</b> Develop rule and form changes as necessary to correct technical errors meeting the criteria of rule 10.22(d)(2); “a nonsubstantive technical change or correction or a minor substantive change that is unlikely to create controversy....” if those forms are being revised for other reasons.</p> <p><b>Status/Timeline:</b> Ongoing</p> <p><b>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</b> Legal Services</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</p> <p><b>Internal/External Stakeholders:</b> None</p> <p><b>AC Collaboration:</b> None</p>		
16.	<b>Juvenile Law: Intercounty Transfers</b>	<b>Priority 2</b>
		<b>Strategic Plan Goal II</b>
<p><b>Project Summary:</b> Review requests under rule 5.610(h) to approve local collaborative agreements for alternative juvenile court transfer forms in lieu of JV-550. This project originated from the <a href="#">Judicial Council Delegations to the Administrative Director of the Courts</a> (October 25, 2013, Item 99) and must be taken on if such requests come forward during the upcoming year to comply with the delegation.</p> <p><b>Status/Timeline:</b> Ongoing</p> <p><b>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</b> None</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</p> <p><b>Internal/External Stakeholders:</b> None</p>		

#	<b>Ongoing Projects and Activities</b>	
	<i>AC Collaboration:</i> None	
17.	<b><i>Protective Order Forms: Continuance form for Renewal of Protective Order</i></b>	<b><i>Priority 1e Deferred</i></b> <b><i>Strategic Plan Goal IV</i></b>
<p><b><i>Project Summary:</i></b> As lead committee for Protective Orders Working Group (POWG), work with Civil Small Claims Advisory Committee to consider whether to adopt a form to request a continuance in a DVPA proceeding to renew a protective order.</p> <p><b><i>Status/Timeline:</i></b> Deferred due to impacts on the judicial branch relating to the COVID-19 pandemic.</p> <p><b><i>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</i></b> Legal Services</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p><b><i>Internal/External Stakeholders:</i></b> California Department of Justice</p> <p><b><i>AC Collaboration:</i></b> Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee</p>		
18.	<b><i>Protective Order Forms: Self-Represented Litigants</i></b>	<b><i>Priority 1e Deferred</i></b> <b><i>Strategic Plan Goal I</i></b>
<p><b><i>Project Summary:</i></b> As lead committee for Protective Orders Working Group (POWG), work with Civil Small Claims Advisory Committee to revise the protective order forms used in domestic violence and civil to ensure they are written in language that is comprehensible to non-attorneys, while maintaining legal accuracy.</p> <p><b><i>Status/Timeline:</i></b> Deferred due to impacts on the judicial branch relating to the COVID-19 pandemic.</p> <p><b><i>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</i></b> Legal Services</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p><b><i>Internal/External Stakeholders:</i></b> California Department of Justice</p>		

#	<b>Ongoing Projects and Activities</b>	
	<i>AC Collaboration:</i> Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee	
19.	<b><i>Blue Ribbon Commission on Children in Foster Care (BRC) Recommendations</i></b>	<b><i>Priority 1 Deferred</i></b> <b><i>Strategic Plan Goal IV</i></b>
	<p><b><i>Project Summary:</i></b> Continue to provide Judicial Council members input on council accepted recommendations concerning child welfare made by the BRC.</p> <p><b><i>Status/Timeline:</i></b> Deferred due to impacts on the judicial branch relating to the COVID-19 pandemic.</p> <p><b><i>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</i></b> None  <input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p><b><i>Internal/External Stakeholders:</i></b> None</p> <p><b><i>AC Collaboration:</i></b> None</p>	
20.	<b><i>Family Law: Elkins Family Law Task Force recommendations</i></b>	<b><i>Priority 1 Deferred</i></b> <b><i>Strategic Plan Goal IV</i></b>
	<p><b><i>Project Summary:</i></b> Continue to provide Judicial Council members input on council accepted recommendations for family law issues addressed by the Elkins Family Law Task Force.</p> <p><b><i>Status/Timeline:</i></b> Deferred due to impacts on the judicial branch relating to the COVID-19 pandemic.</p> <p><b><i>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</i></b> None  <input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p><b><i>Internal/External Stakeholders:</i></b> None</p>	

# Ongoing Projects and Activities	
	<i>AC Collaboration:</i> None
21.	<p><b><i>Referrals from the Commission on the Future of California’s Court System</i></b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b><i>Priority 1 Deferred</i></b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b><i>Strategic Plan Goal IV</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Project Summary:</i></b> The Futures Commission made <a href="#">recommendations</a> for significant reform in family and juvenile law. If those recommendations are referred to the committee it would review them and determine the next steps needed for implementation. See <a href="#">Letter from Chief Justice to Judicial Council internal committee chairs, May 17, 2017</a></p> <p><b><i>Family Recommendations:</i></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Provide mediation without recommendations as the first step in resolving all child custody disputes.</li> <li>b. Explore through pilot projects or otherwise whether additional services, including tiered mediation, would be effective in complex or contentious cases.</li> </ol> <p><b><i>Juvenile Recommendations:</i></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Establish a single juvenile court with consolidated jurisdiction over all juvenile court matters.</li> <li>b) Provide courts with jurisdiction over children and parents in all juvenile cases and provide children and parents counsel when appropriate.</li> <li>c) Test these proposals via pilot programs in a diverse set of courts</li> </ol> <p><b><i>Status/Timeline:</i></b> Deferred due to impacts on the judicial branch relating to the COVID-19 pandemic.</p> <p><b><i>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</i></b> Legal Services, Governmental Affairs</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p><b><i>Internal/External Stakeholders:</i></b> None</p> <p><b><i>AC Collaboration:</i></b> None</p>
22.	<p><b><i>Consider Mental Health Issues Implementation Task Force Referrals</i></b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b><i>Priority 1b Deferred</i></b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b><i>Strategic Plan Goal IV</i></b></p>



#	<b>Ongoing Projects and Activities</b>	
	<p><b>Project Summary:</b> Coordinate with Judicial Council staff and other advisory committees on developing and implementing recommendations to improve access and procedures in mental health proceedings, including review and consideration of implementation of select recommendations referred by the Judicial Council following the task force’s final report to the council. Recommend appropriate action within the committee’s purview. <a href="#">As referred by the council.</a></p> <p><b>Status/Timeline:</b> Deferred due to impacts on the judicial branch relating to the COVID-19 pandemic.</p> <p><b>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</b> Legal Services, Criminal Justice Services</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</p> <p><b>Internal/External Stakeholders:</b> None</p> <p><b>AC Collaboration:</b> Collaborative Justice Courts Advisory Committee, Criminal Law Advisory Committee, Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee</p>	
23.	<b>Court Coordination and Efficiencies</b>	<p><b>Priority 2</b> <b>Deferred</b></p> <hr/> <p><b>Strategic Plan Goal IV</b></p>
	<p><b>Project Summary:</b> Review promising practices that enhance coordination and increase efficient use of resources across case types involving families and children including review of unified court implementation possibilities, court coordination protocols, and methods for addressing legal mandates for domestic violence coordination to provide recommendations for education content and related policy efforts.</p> <p><b>Status/Timeline:</b> Deferred due to impacts on the judicial branch relating to the COVID-19 pandemic.</p> <p><b>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</b> None</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</p> <p><b>Internal/External Stakeholders:</b> None</p> <p><b>AC Collaboration:</b> None</p>	

# Ongoing Projects and Activities	
24.	<p><b><i>Court coordination and allegations of child abuse and neglect</i></b></p>
	<p><b>Priority 2</b> <b><i>Deferred</i></b></p>
	<p><b><i>Strategic Plan Goal IV</i></b></p>
<p><b><i>Project Summary:</i></b> A proposal to work collaboratively with Probate and Mental Health as well as the Advisory Committee on Providing Access and Fairness on issues related to court coordination and allegations of child abuse and neglect in guardianship cases.</p> <p><b><i>Status/Timeline:</i></b> Deferred due to impacts on the judicial branch relating to the COVID-19 pandemic.</p> <p><b><i>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</i></b> None</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p><b><i>Internal/External Stakeholders:</i></b> None</p> <p><b><i>AC Collaboration:</i></b> Probate and Mental Health Advisory Committee</p>	
25.	<p><b><i>Protective Orders: Access to the California Courts Protective Order Registry</i></b></p>
	<p><b>Priority 1b</b> <b><i>Deferred</i></b></p>
	<p><b><i>Strategic Plan Goal VI</i></b></p>
<p><b><i>Project Summary:</i></b> As lead committee for Protective Orders Working Group (POWG), work with Civil Small Claims Advisory Committee to examine the need for statewide guidance and policies on access to the California Courts Protective Order Registry (CCPOR).</p> <p><b><i>Status/Timeline:</i></b> Deferred due to impacts on the judicial branch relating to the COVID-19 pandemic.</p> <p><b><i>Fiscal Impact/Resources:</i></b> Legal Services</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>This project may result in an allocation or distribution of funds to the courts. We will coordinate with Budget Services to ensure their review of relevant materials.</i></p> <p><b><i>Internal/External Stakeholders:</i></b> California Department of Justice</p> <p><b><i>AC Collaboration:</i></b> Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee</p>	

## II. LIST OF 2021 PROJECT ACCOMPLISHMENTS

*[Provide highlights and achievements of completed projects that were included in the 2021 Annual Agenda.]*

#	Project Highlights and Achievements <i>[Provide brief, broad outcome(s) and completed date.]</i>
1.	<p><b>Implementation of Legislative Changes from the 2020 Legislative Session (Completed by January 1, 2022) requiring rule or form changes.</b></p> <p>As directed by the Judicial Council, reviewed legislation identified by Governmental Affairs that may have an impact on family and juvenile law issues within the advisory committee’s purview. The committee reviewed legislation and proposed rules and forms as appropriate for the council’s consideration.</p> <p><u>Domestic violence:</u>  <a href="#"><b>Domestic Violence: Forms That Implement New Laws</b></a>            Effective January 1, 2022 revised a collection of Domestic Violence forms to implement changes to the Domestic Violence Prevention Act. Senate Bill 1141 (Stats. 2020, ch. 248) elaborates on the definition of “disturbing the peace,” and Assembly Bill 2517 (Stats. 2020, ch. 245) allows the court to make a finding that certain debts were incurred as a result of domestic violence and made without the petitioner’s consent. In addition to the revisions needed to implement these new laws, the committee recommends a number of changes to the forms to make them easier to understand and complete.</p> <p><u>Family:</u>  <a href="#"><b>Family Law: Reenactment of Family Code section 4007.5</b></a>            Effective January 1, 2022 revises several forms to provide court users and the public with information regarding relief available to incarcerated or involuntarily institutionalized child support obligors. The revisions are needed to reflect new law under recently reenacted Family Code section 4007.5.</p> <p><u>Juvenile Delinquency:</u>  <a href="#"><b>Juvenile Law: Sealing of Records</b></a>            Effective January 1, 2022 amends a rule of court, revises three forms, and approves three new optional forms to implement recent legislative changes concerning the sealing of juvenile records. The legislative changes allow access to sealed records for two additional purposes, and expand sealing of records for youth diverted from the juvenile courts.</p>
2.	<p><b><u>Juvenile Law: Short-Term Residential Therapeutic Program Placement</u></b></p> <p>Effective October 1, 2021 adopts a new rule of court, amends a rule, adopts or approves 5 new Judicial Council forms, and revises 19 Judicial Council forms to implement Assembly Bill 153 (Stats. 2021, ch. 86) with an effective date of October 1, 2021. This legislation is the state statute conforming to part IV of the federal Family First Prevention Services Act which requires that placements in short-term residential therapeutic programs must follow specific procedural requirements to be eligible for federal funding.</p>
3.	<p>Co-sponsored a legislative proposal to allow specified tribal court property orders in family law cases to be recognized in state court.</p>

#	<b>Project Highlights and Achievements</b> <i>[Provide brief, broad outcome(s) and completed date.]</i>
4.	Provided oversight to implementation of new program to expand funding for court appointed counsel in dependency proceedings.
5.	Provided technical assistance and position recommendations on family and juvenile related proposed legislation via numerous legislative review calls.
6.	Approved list of training providers for court connected child custody mediators, recommending counselors, and evaluators as directed by the Judicial Council.
7.	AB 1058 Funding related activities: in addition to making recommendations to the council for ongoing funding allocations, midyear reallocations and budget increases, the committee also worked on a new funding methodology for family law facilitators and reviewing the previously implemented funding methodology for child support commissioners.
8.	Provided recommendations to the Judicial Council for allocation of funding for the Access to Visitation Grant Program and approved a midyear reallocation of unused funds.
9.	Provided recommendations to the Judicial Council for allocation of funding for CASA programs based on the current methodology as well as a budget directed increase for the Los Angeles CASA program.
10.	Provided support for the activities and meetings of the Violence Against Women Education Program.