



**AB1058 FUNDING ALLOCATION JOINT SUBCOMMITTEE**

**MINUTES OF OPEN MEETING**

June 19, 2018  
10:00 a.m. – 2:00pm

**Advisory Body Members Present:** Hon. Mark Ashton Cope, Cochair, Hon. Joyce D. Hinrichs, Cochair, Hon. Mark A. Juhas, Cochair, Hon. Sue Alexander, Mr. Mark Beckley, Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin, Ms. Rebecca Fleming, Ms. Catherine Hohenwarter, Hon. Patricia M. Lucas, Ms. Sheran Morton (on the phone), Hon. B. Scott Thomsen

**Advisory Body Members Absent:** Hon. Lorna A. Alksne

**Others Present:** Ms. Charlene Depner, Ms. Bonnie Hough, Ms. Tracy Kenny, Ms. Anna Maves, Ms. Lollie Roberts, Ms. Leah Rose-Goodwin, Mr. Gary Slossberg, Hon. Rebecca Wightman

**I. OPEN MEETING (CAL. RULES OF COURT, RULE 10.75 (C)(1))**

**Call to Order and Roll Call**

Judge Mark A. Juhas called the meeting to order at 10:15 a.m.

**Approval of Minutes**

The minutes were amended to note that Judge Thomsen was not at the April 18, 2018 meeting. The minutes of April 18, 2018 as amended were approved by acclamation.

**III. INFORMATION ONLY ITEMS (NO ACTION REQUIRED)**

**Item 1**

**Welcome and Approval of the Minutes**

**Item 2**

**Public Comment**

There were no written comments or public comments offered at the meeting.

**Item 3**

**Updates to Guideline and Objectives/Goals from April 18 Meeting**

Presenter: Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin, Fresno Superior Court

Rebecca Fleming, Court Executive Officer, Santa Clara Superior Court



## JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

Judge Conklin and Ms. Fleming reviewed the ideas generated at the March 12 and April 18 subcommittee meetings. Members were asked if there were any proposed additions or changes to the pros, cons, guidelines, and objectives identified at the last meeting. Staff was directed to capture any edits to the wording and to begin drafting paragraphs to express these ideas for the Report to the Judicial Council, which will then be brought back to the subcommittee.

### **Item 4**

#### **Update on Approved Self-Help Model**

Presenter: Bonnie Hough, Managing Attorney, Center for Families, Children & the Courts

Ms. Hough gave a report on the Self-Help Funding model approved by the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee that covers all \$30.3 million in self help funding. The base for every court in the model is \$34,000 (based on an approximation of the cost of a 0.3 FTE self-help attorney at the time of the start of the program) with the remaining funds allocated proportionally based on current population figures. See Tab 27 in the materials. The population data will be reviewed every 3 years. A minimum of 80% of the funds must be spent on personnel, although courts can be granted an exemption from this rule for special circumstances such as challenges with expansion this year. The Budget Act provides that all unspent funds will revert to the state general fund. The JCC will conduct a cost/benefit analysis study to be submitted on November 30, 2020 to the legislature.

### **Item 5**

#### **AB 1058 Family Law Facilitator Funding Model: Background and Facilitated Discussion**

Presenter: Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin, Fresno Superior Court

Rebecca Fleming, Court Executive Officer, Santa Clara Superior Court

Anna L. Maves, Supervising Attorney/AB 1058 Program Manager, Judicial Council  
Center for Families, Children & the Courts

Judge Conklin and Ms. Fleming facilitated a discussion on the AB 1058 Family Law Facilitator (FLF) Funding Model. It was noted that currently all of the FLF funds are being spent. The members discussed the issue of a funding floor for the FLF program as well as the impact of the new self-help funding on the FLF program. Members considered whether the best course would be to maintain the current funding model for now rather than make changes that may have unintended consequences for the court self-help programs.

Recommendation for Action: To preserve the intended benefit of the self-help allocation and to prevent inadvertent harm, we recommend the following:

- a deferral of the development of the Family Law Facilitator program funding methodology pending the cost/benefit analysis on the impact of the new self-help program funding,
- the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee to select factors to measure workload in the Family Law Facilitator program,
- and the Workload Assessment Advisory Committee to use the factors identified by the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee to create a funding model for



## JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

recommendation back to the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee or a subcommittee, as determined by the Judicial Council.

### **Item 6**

#### **Facilitated Discussion on Federal Drawdown Funds**

Presenter: Anna L. Maves, Supervising Attorney/AB 1058 Program Manager,  
Center for Families, Children & the Courts

Ms. Maves gave an overview of the Federal Drawdown program. See Tab 28 in the materials. Members discussed options for allocating the federal drawdown funds. It was proposed to not make any structural changes to the program now and to develop a BCP to transition drawdown to permanent funding and to request additional funds to address the underfunding of the program.

### **Item 7**

#### **Next Steps**

The members scheduled a conference call for August 20 from 12:15 p.m. to 1:15 p.m. Prior to the meeting, staff will develop and disseminate proposed funding models for the Child Support Commissioner program. The next in-person meeting will be on September 10 from 10 a.m. to 4 p.m. at the Sacramento JCC office. Staff will share a draft Report to the Judicial Council in advance of this meeting. The members determined that the CSC and FLJ subject-matter experts no longer need to attend to provide advice to the subcommittee, although they are welcome to attend as members of the public.

---

## **IV. ADJOURNMENT**

---

**Concluding Remarks and Adjourn**

**Adjourned at about 1:44 p.m.**

# Child Support Commissioner Allocation Models

August 2018

# Overview

AB 1058 staff collaborated with Office of Court Research to run three allocation models for child support commissioners and related staff (excluding family law facilitators and related staff)

- Model 1: requested by subcommittee at May 2018 meeting
- Model 2: based on Model 1 with cap on reductions of 5%
- Model 3: based on Model 1 with adjustments for smallest courts

# Overview

All models calculate a funding *need* based on filings, judicial need and RAS metrics for commissioners and court staff associated with commissioners (not including family law facilitators and staff) and court BLS index.

The statewide need using this calculation is \$67,696,000.

Need is \$36 million or 114% greater than the current base allocation of \$31,617,000.

# Model 1

## Commissioners

- $(3 \text{ yr. average of JBSIS Filings}) \times (\text{case weights in Judicial Needs Study for Other Family Law case type}) / (\# \text{ of judicial officer minutes in a year}) = \text{CSC need for each court}$
- $(\text{CSC need for each court}) \times (85\% \text{ salary of judge}) = \text{CSC salary need for each court}$
- $(\text{CSC salary need}) + (\text{salary-driven benefits}) + (\text{non-salary driven benefits}) = \text{total CSC funding need for each court}$

## Staff **(Case weights in RAS adjusted to remove facilitator and associated staff minutes)**

- $(3 \text{ yr. average of JBSIS Filings}) \times (\text{case weights in RAS for non-management staff}) / (\# \text{ of staff minutes in a year}) = \text{staffing need for each court}$
- $(\text{staffing need for each court}) \times (\text{salary/FTE from 7A}) \times (\text{BLS for each court}) = \text{Non-CSC salary need for each court}$
- $(\text{Non-CSC salary need}) + (\text{salary-driven benefits}) + (\text{non-salary driven benefits}) + (\text{court reporter costs at 1:1 ratio to court's CSC need}) = \text{total Non-CSC funding need for each court}$

## Totals

- For CSC Program overall
- $(\text{total Non-CSC funding need}) + (\text{total CSC funding need}) + (\text{OE\&E}) = \text{total funding need for each court}$
- Prorate down to available funds
- **Available funds specified as base budget, not including drawdown funds**

# Impact of Model 1

- 15 court allocations result in increase or no change
- 43 court allocations result in decrease
- Total transfer of \$5.9 million in a \$31.6 million budget
- 37 courts receive greater than 10% reduction
- Cluster 1 courts funded at approximately .1 FTE commissioner or less double, from 4 to 8

# Model 2

- Model 1 applied to all courts
- Reductions in courts held to 5% maximum

# Impact of Model 2

- 15 court allocations result in increase or no change
- 43 court allocations result in decrease
- Total transfer of \$872,000 in a \$31.6 million budget
- No courts receive greater than 5% reduction

# Model 3

- Model 1 applied to all courts
- The smallest courts (in cluster 1) adjusted so no court receives less than its FY 17-18 allocation

# Impact of Model 3

- 25 court allocations result in increase or no change
- 33 court allocations result in decrease
- Total transfer of \$5.5 million in a \$31.6 million budget
- 28 courts receive greater than 10% reduction
- Holding Cluster 1 court budgets unchanged reduces other court allocations by \$657,000

Cluster Col.A	Court Col.B	CSC Funding Need Col. C	CSC Staff (non- FLF) Funding Need Col. D	Total CSC and Staff Need (C+D) Col. E	Prorate to available funding Col. F	Current (FY 18-19) Base Allocation Col. G	Difference (F-G) Col. H
4	Alameda	581,313	2,040,063	2,621,376	1,224,299	1,066,055	158,244
1	Alpine	278	825	1,103	515		515
1	Amador	17,264	56,496	73,760	34,449	140,250	(105,801)
2	Butte	124,483	341,050	465,533	217,425	300,000	(82,575)
1	Calaveras	27,145	82,616	109,761	51,263	132,667	(81,404)
1	Colusa	5,440	15,290	20,730	9,682	45,691	(36,009)
3	Contra Costa	228,013	745,072	973,086	454,474	873,000	(418,526)
1	Del Norte	37,192	105,419	142,611	66,606	48,004	18,602
2	El Dorado	71,860	218,497	290,358	135,610	203,169	(67,559)
3	Fresno	840,246	2,303,693	3,143,939	1,468,359	1,617,646	(149,287)
1	Glenn	22,871	60,548	83,419	38,960	120,030	(81,070)
2	Humboldt	72,343	178,877	251,220	117,331	121,036	(3,705)
2	Imperial	180,053	455,697	635,749	296,923	165,363	131,560
1	Inyo	6,883	20,606	27,489	12,839	79,264	(66,425)
3	Kern	571,882	1,633,965	2,205,847	1,030,228	670,498	359,730
2	Kings	113,980	305,027	419,007	195,695	302,609	(106,914)
2	Lake	53,051	132,146	185,197	86,495	155,126	(68,631)
1	Lassen	25,369	73,063	98,431	45,972	60,000	(14,028)
4	Los Angeles	3,645,692	12,484,803	16,130,495	7,533,655	5,289,980	2,243,675
2	Madera	114,891	321,392	436,283	203,764	215,291	(11,527)
2	Marin	30,973	108,030	139,003	64,921	126,208	(61,287)
1	Mariposa	8,826	26,515	35,342	16,506	75,216	(58,710)
2	Mendocino	65,216	168,501	233,717	109,156	170,269	(61,113)
2	Merced	252,663	683,928	936,592	437,430	539,732	(102,302)
1	Modoc	7,161	17,501	24,662	11,518		11,518
1	Mono	2,220	7,081	9,301	4,344	45,974	(41,630)
3	Monterey	190,609	598,046	788,655	368,337	375,757	(7,420)
2	Napa	43,727	145,838	189,565	88,535	105,000	(16,465)
2	Nevada	34,403	101,321	135,724	63,389	327,593	(264,204)
4	Orange	1,020,245	3,319,736	4,339,981	2,026,964	2,299,118	(272,154)
2	Placer	95,546	315,509	411,054	191,981	343,600	(151,619)
1	Plumas	10,714	29,035	39,749	18,565	95,777	(77,212)
4	Riverside	1,283,679	3,813,947	5,097,627	2,380,817	1,005,357	1,375,460
4	Sacramento	803,217	2,634,077	3,437,294	1,605,368	1,044,502	560,866
1	San Benito	20,428	66,050	86,478	40,389	135,384	(94,995)
4	San Bernardino	1,968,415	5,581,660	7,550,076	3,526,220	2,569,836	956,384
4	San Diego	919,126	2,827,813	3,746,939	1,749,986	1,791,621	(41,635)
4	San Francisco	225,012	882,723	1,107,735	517,361	902,452	(385,091)
3	San Joaquin	407,798	1,209,194	1,616,992	755,207	685,004	70,203
2	San Luis Obispo	72,771	220,443	293,214	136,944	230,689	(93,745)
3	San Mateo	97,368	356,357	453,725	211,910	389,666	(177,756)
3	Santa Barbara	132,467	421,603	554,070	258,775	478,689	(219,914)
4	Santa Clara	343,333	1,208,542	1,551,874	724,794	1,773,701	(1,048,907)
2	Santa Cruz	40,244	128,266	168,509	78,701	195,056	(116,355)
2	Shasta	108,139	291,336	399,474	186,572	416,675	(230,103)
1	Sierra	1,277	3,318	4,595	2,146		2,146
2	Siskiyou	34,832	82,793	117,625	54,936	130,350	(75,414)
3	Solano	211,830	662,657	874,487	408,424	515,817	(107,393)
3	Sonoma	106,424	322,857	429,281	200,493	498,798	(298,305)
3	Stanislaus	328,918	934,759	1,263,676	590,193	771,110	(180,917)
2	Sutter	82,899	238,249	321,148	149,990	192,235	(42,245)
2	Tehama	63,661	161,302	224,963	105,068	94,249	10,819
1	Trinity	11,380	30,418	41,798	19,522		19,522
3	Tulare	212,473	570,426	782,899	365,649	558,311	(192,662)
2	Tuolumne	28,294	76,161	104,455	48,785	158,566	(109,781)
3	Ventura	278,546	903,350	1,181,896	551,998	575,604	(23,606)
2	Yolo	112,211	345,133	457,344	213,600	190,192	23,408
2	Yuba	54,069	174,817	228,887	106,900	203,149	(96,249)
	<b>Total</b>	<b>16,451,363</b>	<b>51,244,435</b>	<b>67,695,798</b>	<b>31,616,936</b>	<b>31,616,936</b>	<b>-</b>

Cluster	Court	CSC Funding Need	CSC Staff (non-FLF Funding Need	Total CSC and Staff Need (C+D)	Prorate to available funding	Current (FY 18-19) Base Allocation	Adjust to limit to max. 5% decrease	Difference (H-G)
Col. A	Col. B	Col. C	Col. D	Col. E	Col. F	Col. G	Col. H	Col. I
4	Alameda	581,313	2,040,063	2,621,376	1,224,299	1,066,055	1,089,290	23,235
1	Alpine	278	825	1,103	515		76	76
1	Amador	17,264	56,496	73,760	34,449	140,250	133,238	(7,013)
2	Butte	124,483	341,050	465,533	217,425	300,000	285,000	(15,000)
1	Calaveras	27,145	82,616	109,761	51,263	132,667	126,034	(6,633)
1	Colusa	5,440	15,290	20,730	9,682	45,691	43,406	(2,285)
3	Contra Costa	228,013	745,072	973,086	454,474	873,000	829,350	(43,650)
1	Del Norte	37,192	105,419	142,611	66,606	48,004	50,735	2,731
2	El Dorado	71,860	218,497	290,358	135,610	203,169	193,011	(10,158)
3	Fresno	840,246	2,303,693	3,143,939	1,468,359	1,617,646	1,536,764	(80,882)
1	Glenn	22,871	60,548	83,419	38,960	120,030	114,029	(6,002)
2	Humboldt	72,343	178,877	251,220	117,331	121,036	117,331	(3,705)
2	Imperial	180,053	455,697	635,749	296,923	165,363	184,680	19,317
1	Inyo	6,883	20,606	27,489	12,839	79,264	75,301	(3,963)
3	Kern	571,882	1,633,965	2,205,847	1,030,228	670,498	723,317	52,819
2	Kings	113,980	305,027	419,007	195,695	302,609	287,479	(15,130)
2	Lake	53,051	132,146	185,197	86,495	155,126	147,370	(7,756)
1	Lassen	25,369	73,063	98,431	45,972	60,000	57,000	(3,000)
4	Los Angeles	3,645,692	12,484,803	16,130,495	7,533,655	5,289,980	5,619,419	329,439
2	Madera	114,891	321,392	436,283	203,764	215,291	204,526	(10,765)
2	Marin	30,973	108,030	139,003	64,921	126,208	119,898	(6,310)
1	Mariposa	8,826	26,515	35,342	16,506	75,216	71,455	(3,761)
2	Mendocino	65,216	168,501	233,717	109,156	170,269	161,756	(8,513)
2	Merced	252,663	683,928	936,592	437,430	539,732	512,745	(26,987)
1	Modoc	7,161	17,501	24,662	11,518		1,691	1,691
1	Mono	2,220	7,081	9,301	4,344	45,974	43,675	(2,299)
3	Monterey	190,609	598,046	788,655	368,337	375,757	368,337	(7,420)
2	Napa	43,727	145,838	189,565	88,535	105,000	99,750	(5,250)
2	Nevada	34,403	101,321	135,724	63,389	327,593	311,213	(16,380)
4	Orange	1,020,245	3,319,736	4,339,981	2,026,964	2,299,118	2,184,162	(114,956)
2	Placer	95,546	315,509	411,054	191,981	343,600	326,420	(17,180)
1	Plumas	10,714	29,035	39,749	18,565	95,777	90,988	(4,789)
4	Riverside	1,283,679	3,813,947	5,097,627	2,380,817	1,005,357	1,207,316	201,959
4	Sacramento	803,217	2,634,077	3,437,294	1,605,368	1,044,502	1,126,854	82,352
1	San Benito	20,428	66,050	86,478	40,389	135,384	128,615	(6,769)
4	San Bernardino	1,968,415	5,581,660	7,550,076	3,526,220	2,569,836	2,710,262	140,426
4	San Diego	919,126	2,827,813	3,746,939	1,749,986	1,791,621	1,749,986	(41,635)
4	San Francisco	225,012	882,723	1,107,735	517,361	902,452	857,329	(45,123)
3	San Joaquin	407,798	1,209,194	1,616,992	755,207	685,004	695,312	10,308
2	San Luis Obispo	72,771	220,443	293,214	136,944	230,689	219,155	(11,534)
3	San Mateo	97,368	356,357	453,725	211,910	389,666	370,183	(19,483)
3	Santa Barbara	132,467	421,603	554,070	258,775	478,689	454,755	(23,934)
4	Santa Clara	343,333	1,208,542	1,551,874	724,794	1,773,701	1,685,016	(88,685)
2	Santa Cruz	40,244	128,266	168,509	78,701	195,056	185,303	(9,753)
2	Shasta	108,139	291,336	399,474	186,572	416,675	395,841	(20,834)
1	Sierra	1,277	3,318	4,595	2,146		315	315
2	Siskiyou	34,832	82,793	117,625	54,936	130,350	123,833	(6,518)
3	Solano	211,830	662,657	874,487	408,424	515,817	490,026	(25,791)
3	Sonoma	106,424	322,857	429,281	200,493	498,798	473,858	(24,940)
3	Stanislaus	328,918	934,759	1,263,676	590,193	771,110	732,555	(38,556)
2	Sutter	82,899	238,249	321,148	149,990	192,235	182,623	(9,612)
2	Tehama	63,661	161,302	224,963	105,068	94,249	95,838	1,589
1	Trinity	11,380	30,418	41,798	19,522		2,866	2,866
3	Tulare	212,473	570,426	782,899	365,649	558,311	530,395	(27,916)
2	Tuolumne	28,294	76,161	104,455	48,785	158,566	150,638	(7,928)
3	Ventura	278,546	903,350	1,181,896	551,998	575,604	551,998	(23,606)
2	Yolo	112,211	345,133	457,344	213,600	190,192	193,629	3,437
2	Yuba	54,069	174,817	228,887	106,900	203,149	192,992	(10,157)
	<b>Total</b>	<b>16,451,363</b>	<b>51,244,435</b>	<b>67,695,798</b>	<b>31,616,936</b>	<b>31,616,936</b>	<b>31,616,936</b>	

Cluster Col. A	Court Col. B	CSC Funding Need Col. C	CSC Staff (non- FLF) Funding Need Col. D	Total CSC and Staff Need (C+D) Col. E	Prorate to available funding Col. F	Current (FY 18-19) Base Allocation Col. G	Adjust to hold Cluster 1 courts at FY 18-19 Budget Col. H	Difference (H-G) Col. I
4	Alameda	581,313	2,040,063	2,621,376	1,224,299	1,066,055	1,198,543	132,488
1	Alpine	278	825	1,103	515		515	515
1	Amador	17,264	56,496	73,760	34,449	140,250	140,250	0
2	Butte	124,483	341,050	465,533	217,425	300,000	212,851	(87,149)
1	Calaveras	27,145	82,616	109,761	51,263	132,667	132,667	0
1	Colusa	5,440	15,290	20,730	9,682	45,691	45,691	0
3	Contra Costa	228,013	745,072	973,086	454,474	873,000	444,913	(428,087)
1	Del Norte	37,192	105,419	142,611	66,606	48,004	66,606	18,602
2	El Dorado	71,860	218,497	290,358	135,610	203,169	132,757	(70,412)
3	Fresno	840,246	2,303,693	3,143,939	1,468,359	1,617,646	1,437,468	(180,178)
1	Glenn	22,871	60,548	83,419	38,960	120,030	120,030	0
2	Humboldt	72,343	178,877	251,220	117,331	121,036	114,862	(6,174)
2	Imperial	180,053	455,697	635,749	296,923	165,363	290,677	125,314
1	Inyo	6,883	20,606	27,489	12,839	79,264	79,264	0
3	Kern	571,882	1,633,965	2,205,847	1,030,228	670,498	1,008,555	338,057
2	Kings	113,980	305,027	419,007	195,695	302,609	191,578	(111,031)
2	Lake	53,051	132,146	185,197	86,495	155,126	84,676	(70,450)
1	Lassen	25,369	73,063	98,431	45,972	60,000	60,000	0
4	Los Angeles	3,645,692	12,484,803	16,130,495	7,533,655	5,289,980	7,375,167	2,085,187
2	Madera	114,891	321,392	436,283	203,764	215,291	199,477	(15,814)
2	Marin	30,973	108,030	139,003	64,921	126,208	63,555	(62,653)
1	Mariposa	8,826	26,515	35,342	16,506	75,216	75,216	0
2	Mendocino	65,216	168,501	233,717	109,156	170,269	106,860	(63,409)
2	Merced	252,663	683,928	936,592	437,430	539,732	428,227	(111,505)
1	Modoc	7,161	17,501	24,662	11,518		11,518	11,518
1	Mono	2,220	7,081	9,301	4,344	45,974	45,974	0
3	Monterey	190,609	598,046	788,655	368,337	375,757	360,588	(15,169)
2	Napa	43,727	145,838	189,565	88,535	105,000	86,673	(18,327)
2	Nevada	34,403	101,321	135,724	63,389	327,593	62,056	(265,537)
4	Orange	1,020,245	3,319,736	4,339,981	2,026,964	2,299,118	1,984,322	(314,796)
2	Placer	95,546	315,509	411,054	191,981	343,600	187,942	(155,658)
1	Plumas	10,714	29,035	39,749	18,565	95,777	95,777	0
4	Riverside	1,283,679	3,813,947	5,097,627	2,380,817	1,005,357	2,330,731	1,325,374
4	Sacramento	803,217	2,634,077	3,437,294	1,605,368	1,044,502	1,571,596	527,094
1	San Benito	20,428	66,050	86,478	40,389	135,384	135,384	0
4	San Bernardino	1,968,415	5,581,660	7,550,076	3,526,220	2,569,836	3,452,037	882,201
4	San Diego	919,126	2,827,813	3,746,939	1,749,986	1,791,621	1,713,171	(78,450)
4	San Francisco	225,012	882,723	1,107,735	517,361	902,452	506,477	(395,975)
3	San Joaquin	407,798	1,209,194	1,616,992	755,207	685,004	739,319	54,315
2	San Luis Obispo	72,771	220,443	293,214	136,944	230,689	134,063	(96,626)
3	San Mateo	97,368	356,357	453,725	211,910	389,666	207,452	(182,214)
3	Santa Barbara	132,467	421,603	554,070	258,775	478,689	253,331	(225,358)
4	Santa Clara	343,333	1,208,542	1,551,874	724,794	1,773,701	709,546	(1,064,155)
2	Santa Cruz	40,244	128,266	168,509	78,701	195,056	77,046	(118,010)
2	Shasta	108,139	291,336	399,474	186,572	416,675	182,647	(234,028)
1	Sierra	1,277	3,318	4,595	2,146		2,146	2,146
2	Siskiyou	34,832	82,793	117,625	54,936	130,350	53,780	(76,570)
3	Solano	211,830	662,657	874,487	408,424	515,817	399,832	(115,985)
3	Sonoma	106,424	322,857	429,281	200,493	498,798	196,276	(302,522)
3	Stanislaus	328,918	934,759	1,263,676	590,193	771,110	577,777	(193,333)
2	Sutter	82,899	238,249	321,148	149,990	192,235	146,835	(45,400)
2	Tehama	63,661	161,302	224,963	105,068	94,249	102,857	8,608
1	Trinity	11,380	30,418	41,798	19,522		19,522	19,522
3	Tulare	212,473	570,426	782,899	365,649	558,311	357,956	(200,355)
2	Tuolumne	28,294	76,161	104,455	48,785	158,566	47,759	(110,807)
3	Ventura	278,546	903,350	1,181,896	551,998	575,604	540,385	(35,219)
2	Yolo	112,211	345,133	457,344	213,600	190,192	209,106	18,914
2	Yuba	54,069	174,817	228,887	106,900	203,149	104,651	(98,498)
	<b>Total</b>	<b>16,451,363</b>	<b>51,244,435</b>	<b>67,695,798</b>	<b>31,616,936</b>	<b>31,616,936</b>	<b>31,616,936</b>	